



**Australian Government**

**Australian Fisheries Management Authority**

## **South East Management Advisory Committee (SEMAC) Meeting 50**

### **Meeting minutes**

**11 July 2023**

**MS Teams**

# Contents

Agenda.....	3
Agenda item 1. Preliminaries.....	4
Agenda item 2 – Fishery Updates .....	5
Agenda item 3 – Research Priorities .....	9
Agenda item 4 – Protected Species Updates and Management Arrangements.....	10
Other Business.....	11
Review of Action Items.....	11
Next Meeting.....	11
Close of meeting .....	12
Attachment A - Register of Interest .....	13
Attachment B- Action items.....	15

# South East Management Advisory Committee (SEMAC)

## Meeting 50– 11 July 2023

### Agenda

**Time (AEST):** 09:30 -13:00

**Location:** MS Teams

**Acting chair:** Barry Windle

Day 1	Item	Purpose	Lead presenter
09:30 (20 min)	<b>Agenda item 1. Preliminaries</b>		
	1.1 Welcome and apologies	For action	Chair
	1.2 Declaration of interests	For action	Chair
	1.3 Adoption of agenda	For action	Chair
	1.4 Minutes from previous meeting	For noting	Chair
	1.5 Actions arising from previous meetings	For noting	Rebecca Jol
09:50 (15 min)	<b>Agenda item 2. Fishery update</b>		
	2.1 AFMA Management	For noting	AFMA
	2.2 Industry	For noting	Industry members
10:20 (15 min)	<b>Break</b>		
10:35 (90 min)	<b>Agenda item 3. Research Priorities</b>	For Advice	
12:05 (30 min)	<b>Agenda item 4. Protected Species</b>	For noting	AFMA
12:35 (10 mins)	Other Business		
12:45 (10 mins)	Review of action items		
12:55	Next Meeting/Meeting Close		

The Chair opened the meeting at 09:30 hr AEST.

## Agenda item 1. Preliminaries

### 1.1 Welcome and apologise

1. Mr Barry Windle, the acting Chair for SEMAC, welcomed members to the meeting and made an Acknowledgement of Country paying his respects to this country's First People and Traditional Custodians of the land throughout Australia.
2. The SEMAC members noted the Acknowledgement of Country, that the meeting was being recorded and commenced proceedings.
3. Participants and apologies:

#### Membership

MR BARRY WINDLE	ACTING CHAIR
DR SARAH JENNINGS	Economic member
MS ANISSA LAWRENCE	Conservation member
MR SIMON BOAG	Industry member
MR GERRY GEEN	Industry member
MR KYRI TOUMAZOS	Industry member
MR WILL MURE	Industry member
MR JOHN HARRISON	Recreational member
MS SALLY WEEKES	AFMA member
MS REBECCA JOL	Executive officer

#### Invited Participants

James Woodhams, ABARES  
Alexander (Sandy) Morison, for scientific advice

#### Apologies

Dr Paul McShane, Scientific member  
Ms Fiona McKinnon, NSW DPI

### 1.2 Declarations of interest

- 1 SEMAC members noted the conflicts of interest requirements as outlined in [AFMA's Fisheries Management Paper 1](#). Members and participants updated their Declarations of Interest as shown in Table 1 of the Preliminaries paper and discussed specific conflicts of interest with agenda items (see **Table 1**).
4. An updated table of declared conflicts of interest is provided at [Attachment A](#).
5. SEMAC noted that the industry members declared a potential conflict for the research priorities agenda item 3.
6. The Chair sought advice on how the SEMAC should manage these conflicts, and whilst members with a perceived conflict left the meeting, remaining members agreed that the themes for discussion in this item were generic in nature and therefore did not constitute a potential conflict of interest.

7. Industry members were given the opportunity to take part in the committee's discussions and recommendations.

**Table 1. Participation of items where there are declared conflicts of interest**

Agenda Item	Potential conflicts of Interest	Discussion Participation	Recommendation Participation
3 Research Priorities	Mr Simon Boag Mr Will Mure Mr Kyri Toumazos	Present	Present

### 1.3 Adoption of agenda

8. SEMAC adopted the agenda as final.

### 1.2 Minutes of previous meeting

9. SEMAC noted that the minutes of the SEMAC 49, held in February 2023 have been finalised and are available on the [AFMA website](#).

### 1.3 Actions arising from previous meetings

10. A consolidated list of action items from previous SEMAC meetings was circulated to members prior to the meeting. Members noted progress against action items in the update provided by the Executive Officer.

11. SEMAC noted:

- that there were no unresolved actions.
- Action 49.1 will be addressed at SERAG 1 in September 2023.
- Action 45.4 is yet to start but will be addressed once work on the Gillnet, Hook and Trap (GHAT) bycatch and discard workplan is progressed. The committee noted that this work has been identified as a key priority in the 2023-24 AFMA workplan.

## Agenda item 2 – Fishery Updates

### 2.1 Managers Update

12. A written update was provided to SEMAC members on the key management issues arising since the last meeting in February 2022.
13. The update was taken largely as 'read' with the following key points identified:

#### *Climate Adaptation*

- A draft framework is being developed by AFMA to integrate climate risk into TAC/Es. This framework has since been discussed with the AFMA Commission and will be revised based on feedback received by experts and stakeholders later in 2023.

The conservation member asked to be included in the discussions with stakeholders on incorporating climate risk into AFMA's management procedures.

#### *Spatial closures in the Commonwealth Trawl Sector (CTS)*

- The five spatial closures in the CTS took effect on 1 May 2023 through amendments to the Fisheries Management (Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery and Small Pelagic Fishery Closures) Direction 2021, specifically the addition of schedule closures 40–44.
- Revised Danish seine gear controls also came into effect on the same day through changes to Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) Trawl Boat concession conditions. AFMA will undertake regular inspections to ensure compliance with the new Danish seine gear controls.
- Industry have raised concerns regarding the eastern boundary line of the Babel closure, reporting that this boundary runs through deeper water than the topography maps suggest. The South East Trawl Fishing Industry Association (SETFIA) have written to AFMA requesting that the depth of the eastern boundary is re-examined to better match rebuilding stock depth distributions. SEMAC noted that AFMA was yet to respond to SETFIA's letter regarding the eastern boundary of the Babel closure.

### ***EM update***

- AFMA staff are examining the role of electronic monitoring in some fisheries and looking to expand the program to meet data and monitoring requirements for each fishery.
- The Senior Manager of the AFMA EM team - Mike Gerner, has resigned. Further updates will be provided to the committee regarding Mike's replacement as soon as they are available.
- In reference to AFMA's commitment to expand the EM program across all Commonwealth fisheries AFMA agreed to circulate an outline of the EM programs workplan and deliverables against that investment if there was one that was publicly available.

<p><b>Action 1:</b> AFMA to circulate the EM workplan and deliverables against the investment when available.</p>
---

### ***AFMA's MAC and RAG review***

- A review of AFMA's MAC and RAG consultative framework has been completed. The outcomes were presented to the AFMA Commission in early July and the report will be publicly available in coming months.

### ***South East Trawl Fishery structural adjustment package***

- The Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry received tenders to surrender 21 Trawl Boat Statutory Fishing Rights (SFRs) from 18 entities at a cumulative cost of \$19.5 million (M). All contracts to surrender the SFRs have now been signed and the process is now complete.
- The committee noted that the buyout process was for boat **SFRs** and not for boats (although some boats are linked).
- Predicting the impact of the adjustment is confounded by fleet dynamics such as boats nominated against multiple statutory fishing rights (SFRs) and multiple boats nominated against a single SFR. The outcome will also be influenced by the number of latent SFRs and changes in leasing arrangements within the industry.
- There is an expectation that both catch and effort will decrease and that the remaining boats will shift their effort, resulting in a change to catch composition.
- As the fishing season progresses, additional information will become available to assess these changes and determine the economic impact on the remaining fleet.

Industry member, Simon Boag noted that at the time of the meeting SETFIA membership had not changed. (Note: membership has changed since this meeting).

## 2.2 Industry Update

14. The Chair asked industry members to provide an update on any items arising since the last meeting in February 2023. SEMAC noted the following updates:

**Will Mure** (Mures Fishing P/L) noted:

- Pink ling catches are good and stable. The market has been good also.
- Blue Eye – seeing positive signs, the low catch RBC that was put in place is starting to have some effect, there is no latent effort and a demand for quota.
- Killer whale depredation continues to be an issue.

**Kyriakos (Kyri) Toumazos** – Industry Representative Shark Industry noted:

- The fishery seems to be very robust with consistent catches.
- Weather has limited fishing in South Australia, but overall catches have been good.
- The fishery looks very healthy.

**Simon Boag** (Atlantis Fisheries Consulting Group) discussed:

**Country of Origin Labelling:** The Australian government have announced that country of origin labelling will become mandatory. A regulatory impact statement (RIS) has just been completed. This is good news for Australian fishers as it will ensure premium price for fresh local Australian fish and will highlight the numerous and significant current pressures facing Australian fisheries including shared marine space issues.

**Shared space projects:** 37 applications have been received for the Gippsland Windfarm Energy zones. There are potentially up to 14,000 km<sup>2</sup> of windfarm being proposed. If these zones are fully developed, the shark fishery is most likely to be affected with up to a 20% loss of its. There will be further windfarm areas designated in southern NSW that (with regard to the SESSF) will impact the SPF and trawl fisheries. A 5,000 km<sup>2</sup> area near the Portland/South Australia border is also under consideration. The Portland zone windfarm is likely to remove most of the inshore trawl shots off Portland if areas deeper than 150m are declared within it. This is a major concern affecting the fishing industry as the competition for marine space escalates on a number of fronts.

**Blue-eye trevalla:** A meeting has been called to discuss Blue-eye trevalla which has prompted industry to collect more biological samples under the SIDAC contract. 160 samples have been collected to date against the plan of 2,400 over the last two years. In readiness for the meeting there has been a burst of sampling activity over the last few days.

**Gummy shark:** Catch rates, demand, price and yield for Gummy shark remain very good.

**Blue grenadier:** There has been a slow start to the blue grenadier season with one boat currently fishing and a second boat on its way. The fishery's levy model is of concern if blue grenadier catches continue to decline as the fishery will be forced to pay higher levy amounts at a time when the buy-out levy subsidy is also coming to an end. A very hard landing is at stake for industry in the next few years.

**Eastern Ling:** Some quota owners have expressed their strong dislike of the eastern commitment scheme. They have invested in ling quota, but some are unable to extract value given the ability to catch ling in the east is dictated by vessel allocation distributed by SETFIA, noting that some vessels own no quota. SETFIA will report to the Board about an option to manage ling by quota owner

given the consolidation of 95% of quota by 30% of holders. However, tracking quota flow will be challenging, and there are other concerns as well, such as the time it will take to resolve this issue and at what additional costs to Industry?

The economic member noted that the long-term management arrangements of eastern ling have been an issue for some time and asked for an update on AFMA's approach to this issue? In response, AFMA agreed that the management arrangements in place are an interim arrangement noting that in the past actions to address this issue, were not supported by Industry due to high cost implications. SETFIA noted that AFMA's view on regionalisation is not clear. SETFIA also noted that AFMA have on at least two occasions started a regionalisation project that ended without notice. AFMA are in discussions with SETFIA regarding this issue and are looking at options and potential costs associated with regionalisation.

**Competing for marine space, carbon storage, more shared space projects:** Mr Boag provided a final point on the work involved in ensuring representative involvement with shared space projects (adding carbon storage to the mix of current shared space issues). Representation at these forums is consuming most of SETFIA's time and resources, reducing its capacity to engage on other fisheries management issues. Timeframes for these consultation processes are often short (noting that the timeframe for the Gippsland windfarm consultation period was just 40 days), which is not enough time to make informed proposals.

Contending for shared space projects and agreements has been a long-standing issue. Industry are seeking more support to assist with representation and management processes.

The committee all agreed that unity and connectivity across the federal government is a key approach needed to ensure that shared space for all sectors is considered and equitable. The committee noted several integrated initiatives involving industry and government representation that are already underway and encouraged AFMA to be involved. The programs discussed included:

- A national Sustainable Ocean Plan for Australia (DCCEEW)
- **'Measuring what matters'** framework – trying to develop a wellbeing budget for Australia including a measure for nutrition and the benefits that industry provide to the general public (The Treasury).
- **'The futures of seafood'** (Seafood Australia).
- The Commonwealth Fisheries Association (CFA) is also having a summit to prioritise representation and key management issues.

The Portland/SA area is currently under consideration as a renewable energy zone. SETFIA urged the importance for AFMA to be actively involved (noting that the consultation period was just 60 working days).

SEMAC noted that AFMA and DAFF are actively participating in integrated ocean management processes and will continue to engage in this space.

**Action 2:** AFMA to provide a summary outlining the higher-level planning and management activities that it is involved in for shared marine space and integrated ocean planning processes.

**Recommendation 1:** SEMAC Industry members to provide a summary outlining what integrated ocean planning processes they are engaging in for the next SEMAC meeting.

**John Harrison** (Recreational member) reported: no new updates or requests for clarification of minutes or action items in relation to recreational fishing matters from SEMAC deliberations.



## Agenda item 3 – Research Priorities

15. SEMAC noted the updates to the 2024-25 annual research plans for the SESSF and the SPF and were asked to review and approve the following annual research statements:

- SESSF Annual Research Statement for 2024–25 and associated research scope forms (noting the SESSF Five Year Strategic Research Plan 2021–25).
- SPF Annual Research Statement for 2024–25 and associated research scope forms, noting the SPF Five Year Strategic Research Plan 2022–2026.

16. SEMAC noted:

- the annual research statements for each fishery will be provided to the AFMA Research Committee (ARC) in August 2023 for consideration for funding in 2024–25.

### 3.1 SESSF Annual Research Statement 2023–24

17. SEMAC noted the approved research priorities currently underway or recently completed as outlined in SESSF Annual Research Statement.

18. SEMAC noted the newly identified research needs and discussed the following points:

#### **Blue Grenadier acoustic survey for 2024 (high priority):**

Blue grenadier is a high value species with a large TAC (17, 084 t). The committee noted that whilst stock biomass estimates are well above target and likely to be above virgin biomass levels, there is potential for recruitment-driven fluctuations in the biomass prompting the need to monitor the stock at regular intervals.

SEMAC supported ongoing annual surveys and considered it as a **high priority** to inform future stock assessments.

#### **Evaluating contributing factors to catch per unit effort (CPUE) standardisation in the SESSF (Part 1 - high priority):**

There was concern amongst the members that the scope was very complex, focussing on multiple problems that are not all associated with CPUE standardisation.

The cost of the project was another concern for Industry given the complexity of the scope and the ongoing uncertainty of CPUE in a shrinking fishery and the amount of resources already spent on this issue.

Acknowledging the abovementioned concerns, AFMA noted that CPUE remains the only index of abundance for some assessments and until we have other indicators of abundance (such as CKMR or other fishery independent data collection programs/surveys to support stock assessments), we need to ensure that CPUE is as good as it can be.

The outputs of the Multi Species Harvest Strategy (MSHS) will identify indicator species (including non-indicator species) and will inform which species we need CPUE for and to what extent. Part 1 of this proposal will use these outputs to identify priority species for further analysis. Part 2 will depend on the outcomes of Part 1 and funded separately.

The MSHS report is due in December 2023, prior to the start of this project which (if supported) will commence on 1 July 2024.

SEMAC supported the project and considered it as a **medium priority** noting that more clarity was needed in relation to the project scope, in particular:

1. How is the project going to engage with the resource assessment groups and invested stakeholders to prioritise species? and;
2. How is the project going to integrate with the multi species harvest strategy?

**Pink Ling stand-alone stock assessment 2024/25 (high priority):**

SEMAC supported the project as a high priority noting that the South East Research Assessment Group (SERAG) recommended that the next stock assessment for pink ling be de-coupled from the suite of assessments for species within the SESSF.

The stand-alone assessment of Pink Ling is required in the 2024/25 financial year to inform the TAC setting process.

**Independent review of Blue Grenadier Tier 1 stock assessment in 2024/25 (high priority):**

SEMAC supported the Tier 1 stock assessment review as a high priority noting that SERAG recommended that independent reviews be conducted on Tier 1 assessments and that blue grenadier has not yet been independently assessed.

**Deepwater shark habitat characterisation (medium priority):**

SEMAC supported the project as a Medium priority with high feasibility noting that:

It will provide useful insight into the effectiveness of the closures and potential options for a deepwater shark stock assessment and management.

Diurnal migration patterns should be considered to understand levels of protection afforded by the closures.

### **3.2 SPF Annual Research Statement 2024–25**

19. SEMAC noted the approved research priorities currently underway or recently completed as outlined in the SPF Annual Research Statement.
20. SEMAC noted the newly identified research needs and discussed the following points:

- **Blue mackerel east DEPM (high priority):**

SEMAC supported this research proposal as a **high priority** noting that the outputs of this survey directly inform the TAC determination for this species.

- **Development of harvest control rules (HCRs) (high priority):**

SEMAC supported the proposal as a **high priority** noting that the intent of this project is to develop a HCR that adjusts the RBC relative to stock status and will provide greater confidence when setting TACs, particularly when estimated biomass is below the limit reference point.

### **Agenda item 4 – Protected Species Updates and Management Arrangements**

21. SEMAC noted the recorded interactions presented in the tables for the SESSF and the Small Pelagic Fishery (SPF) and discussed the following points:
  - Additional information needs to be provided in the protected species interaction tables in order for the MAC to make any recommendations regarding emerging issues.

- The type of information requested included: 1) a summary of boats with multiple interactions; 2) spatial and temporal patterns in interactions; 3) closer examination of the most threatened species; and 4) information on other protected species interactions in addition to dolphins, seabirds and sea lions.
- AFMA acknowledged that this agenda item has served different purposes at times and that expert advice or more detailed investigation of issues is done outside of the MAC.
- AFMA agreed to provide more detail in the dolphin interaction summaries against the SPF and Gillnet dolphin strategy, including maps showing spatial and temporal patterns (when the data is available) noting that any further detail starts getting into the type of analyses that needs dedicated resources to undertake properly and is therefore pursued via different mechanisms and/or dedicated projects.
- SEMAC noted that the purpose of this agenda item is for 'noting' and recommended changing the purpose of this agenda to 'for advice' to facilitate informed discussion and the provision of management recommendations.
- AFMA agreed to develop the paper further, ensuring that the purpose is clarified for the annual paper, also noting that 'for advice' items are to be clearly identified what advice is being requested and why.

**Action 3:** AFMA to ensure that the purpose of the protection species interaction paper is made clearer and provide a greater level of detail to support its purpose, the next time it is provided.

### Seabird Interactions – hooks

- AFMA noted that the seabird interaction rate was exceeded in the Summer TAP season (01 September 2022 – 30 April 2023). AFMA is currently reviewing the EM TEP clips and will respond according to what it finds.
- Species-level seabird identification remains a challenge.
- In an effort to improve seabird species identification, the Australian Antarctic Division (AAD) is now embarking on a seabird identification project. Alongside this project, AFMA have expanded the use of feather kits to all hook vessels and vessels with EM systems in operation. In the event of a seabird interaction that results in mortality, the bird must be held up to the camera to assist with the correct identification of that seabird. The feather sample kits will assist in the collection of genetic samples to support this project.

## Other Business

22. There were no other business items reported.

## Review of Action Items and Recommendations

23. The Executive Officer presented the action items and recommendations from the meeting and revised them after addressing the committee's comments.

24. Action items and recommendations arising from this meeting are outlined in [Attachment B](#).

## Next Meeting

25. The next meeting is scheduled for November 2023 with a date to be set in the following weeks.

## **Close of meeting**

26. The Chair thanked the MAC for their contribution and closed the meeting at 13:20 PM.

## Attachment A - Register of Interests

Declared interests - Last updated: July 2023	
Members	
Mr Barry Windle	Acting Chair - No interest pecuniary or otherwise
Ms Anissa Lawrence	No pecuniary interest Director of TierraMar Ltd Independent consultant Undertakes contracts for a number of Conservation NGOs, government departments, non-government agencies and the private sector on a range of fishery related matters Conservation member on SharkRAG, SPFRAG, SEMAC, Spencer Gulf Prawn RAC and the South Australian Rock Lobster MAC Chair of Ocean Future Fund Inc.
Mr Gerry Geen	No pecuniary interest. Industry member of SPFRAG
Mr Will Mure	Sole Director of Mures Fishing P/L Commonwealth fish receiver permit Tasmania fish processing licence Scalefish hook boat SFR, SEQ Quota Holding Permits, Auto longline fishing permit High Seas permit Blue eye trevalla SFRs, Ling SFRs, Ribaldo ITP Mixed species Individual Transferable Quotas (ITQs) and SFRs Member of various fishing related associations including Seafood Industry Australia (SIA), South East Trawl Fishing Industry Association (SETFIA), Southern Shark Industry Alliance (SSIA), Tasmanian Seafood Industry Council (TSIC) Industry member on SERAG
Dr Paul McShane	Chair of SERAG and a member of SEMAC and SESSFRAG. No pecuniary interest in the SESSF. Principal of Global Marine Resource Management Pty Ltd. Adjunct Professor (Fisheries and Aquaculture) College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University.
Dr Sarah Jennings	Economics member on SERAG and SESSFRAG. Economics coordinator, FRDC Human Dimensions Sub-Program. Member of AFMA Economics Working Group. Independent economics consultant. No pecuniary or other interest.
Mr John Harrison	Panel Member of the Independent Review of the Domestic Commercial Vessel Safety Legislation and Cost and Charging Arrangements.
Mr Simon Boag	Industry member on SERAG. Executive Officers to SETFIA, SSIA and SPFIA. SETFIA and SSIA receives funding from AFMA to complete projects under co-management agreements. Undertakes contracts as an independent consultant.

Mr Kyriakos Toumazos	<p>CEO (South Australian Northern Zone Rock Lobster Fishermen’s Association Inc.);</p> <p>Director of Southern Sea Eagles Pty Ltd;</p> <p>Director of Southern Fisheries Pty Ltd;</p> <p>Director Health Balance Pharmacies Pty Ltd;</p> <p>Member South Australian Boating Facility Board;</p> <p>Member of SharkRAG and SEMAC (AFMA);</p> <p>Member of AMSA Regional Safety Committee;</p> <p>Director Southern Shark Industry Alliance;</p> <p>Director PACK Investments Pty Ltd;</p> <p>Director Cruickshank’s Corner Developments Pty Ltd;</p> <p>Director Cruickshank’s Corner Commercial Pty Ltd;</p> <p>Director Seafood Industry Australia;</p>
Ms Sally Weekes	<p>AFMA Demersal and Midwater Senior Manager (Acting) – AFMA SEMAC member – no interest pecuniary or otherwise.</p>
<b>Invited participants</b>	
Mr James Woodhams	<p>Employed by ABARES.</p> <p>On behalf of ABARES, has a minor financial stake in the project ‘2019-036: Implementation of dynamic reference points and harvest strategies to account for environmentally-driven changes in productivity in Australian fisheries’.</p> <p>Non-financial roles on the steering committee for the Multi species harvest strategy project led by CSIRO, the Reviewing biological parameters project led by CSIRO and Alternate indicators for the SESSF (working group reporting to SESSFAG).</p>
Ms Fiona McKinnon	<p>NSW DPI (Fisheries)– no interest pecuniary or otherwise</p>
Alexander (Sandy) Morison	<p>Director of Morison Aquatic Sciences.</p> <p>Chair of SharkRAG.</p> <p>Contracted by government departments, non-government agencies and companies for a range of fishery related matters including research and for MSC assessments of AFMA managed and other Australian and international fisheries.</p> <p>Have undertaken work for SETFIA in 2021 reviewing a report on matters unrelated to the shark fishery.</p> <p>No pecuniary or other interest in the SESSF shark fishery.</p>
<b>Executive Officer</b>	
Ms Rebecca Jol	<p>AFMA – Senior Management Officer, Demersal and Midwater Fisheries. No interest pecuniary or otherwise.</p>
<b>AFMA Attendees</b>	
Dr Mark Grubert	<p>Employed by AFMA, South East Trawl &amp; Great Australian Bight Trawl Manager – no interest, pecuniary or otherwise.</p>
Dr Lara Ainley	<p>Employed by AFMA, Manager- Gillnet, Hook and Trap (GHAT) and High Seas (SPRFMO) Fisheries, – no interest, pecuniary or otherwise.</p>
Mr Steve Hall	<p>AFMA – Manager SPF, Scallop and Squid – no interest, pecuniary or otherwise.</p>
Yvette Lamont	<p>AFMA – Senior Management Officer, Demersal and Midwater Fisheries – no interest, pecuniary or otherwise.</p>

## Attachment B- Action items

Table 2. Actions from meeting 50

Agenda Item	No.	Recommendation	Agency/Person Responsible	Timeframe
2	1	AFMA to circulate the EM workplan and deliverables against the investment when available.	AFMA	SEMAC 51
2	2	To provide SEMAC with a summary outlining AFMA's involvement in higher level planning projects and the management of shared marine spaces.	AFMA	SEMAC 51
4	3	AFMA to ensure that the purpose of the protection species interaction paper is made clearer and provide a greater level of detail to support its purpose, the next time it is provided.	AFMA	2024

Table 3. Recommendations from meeting 50

Agenda Item	No.	Recommendation	Agency/Person Responsible	Timeframe
2	1	SEMAC Industry members to provide a summary outlining what integrated ocean planning processes they are engaging in at the next SEMAC meeting.	All SEMAC members	SEMAC 51