



Australia's National
Science Agency

Integrated stock assessment for Macquarie Island Patagonian toothfish using data up to and including 2024

For discussion at SARAG, 18–19th August 2025, Hobart,
Tasmania.

P. Bessell-Browne & R. Hillary

4th August 2025

Citation

Bessell-Browne, P. and Hillary, R. (2025) Integrated stock assessment for Macquarie Island Patagonian toothfish using data up to and including 2024. Technical paper presented to the SARAG, 18-19 August 2025, Hobart, Australia

Copyright

© Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation 2025. To the extent permitted by law, all rights are reserved and no part of this publication covered by copyright may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means except with the written permission of CSIRO.

Important disclaimer

CSIRO advises that the information contained in this publication comprises general statements based on scientific research. The reader is advised and needs to be aware that such information may be incomplete or unable to be used in any specific situation. No reliance or actions must therefore be made on that information without seeking prior expert professional, scientific and technical advice. To the extent permitted by law, CSIRO (including its employees and consultants) excludes all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this publication (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it.

CSIRO is committed to providing web accessible content wherever possible. If you are having difficulties with accessing this document please contact csiroyenquiries@csiro.au.

Contents

Contents	2
1 Acknowledgments.....	4
2 Executive Summary.....	5
3 Introduction	6
3.1 The Fishery.....	6
3.2 The fishery	6
3.3 Previous assessments	8
3.4 Modifications to the previous assessment.....	11
4 Methods.....	12
4.1 Data	12
4.1.1 Catch data	12
4.1.2 Length frequency data	15
4.1.3 Age data	17
4.1.4 Tag recapture data	18
4.2 Biology	20
4.3 Population dynamics model	20
4.3.1 Length related variables.....	21
4.3.2 Candidate selectivity functions	21
4.4 Likelihood functions	22
4.4.1 Length frequency data	22
4.4.2 Conditional age-at-length data	22
4.4.3 Tagging data	22
4.4.4 Overall likelihood and objective function	22
4.5 Estimated parameter options.....	22
4.6 Model dimensions	23
5 Results.....	24
5.1 Bridging.....	24
5.2 Reference assessment model.....	25
5.3 Fitting summary for reference model	26
5.4 Relative data “weighting” estimates	36
5.5 Population dynamic summaries from MCMC	37
5.6 Key sensitivity runs	41

5.7	Recommended TAC scenarios	42
6	Discussion.....	44
7	References	45
8	Appendix	47

1 Acknowledgments

Many thanks to AFMA, CSIRO and industry for funding and assistance, and to Tim Lamb and the AAD for providing the data.

This document was internally reviewed by Dr Ashley Williams and Kristin Privitera-Johnson.

2 Executive Summary

This paper presents results from an integrated stock assessment of Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) at Macquarie Island using data collected from 1994 up until and including August 2024, but only including conditional age-at-length data until August 2023. The assessment uses a spatial model that fits to data from the entire Macquarie Island toothfish fishery, and assumes a single reproductive stock, but takes into account spatial structuring of the population within the region. Two areas, northern and southern, are incorporated into the model, with movement of fish between areas, and recruitment to both areas. A single Total Allowable Catch (TAC) for the entire Macquarie Island region is calculated using the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) harvest control rule.

This assessment uses Template Model Builder (TMB) and fits to data obtained from the tag-recapture program since 1995, to length composition information from 1994–2022, and to age-at-length data obtained from aged otoliths (1997–2021). It is an update of the 2023 assessment (Bessell-Browne and Hillary, 2023a). The assessments are based on a length-age structured population dynamics model, with maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods used to fit the available data.

The model designates five fleets: Aurora Trough trawl (ATT); Northern Valley trawl (NVT); Aurora Trough longline (ATL); Northern Macquarie Ridge longline (NMRL); and Southern Macquarie Ridge longline (SMRL). Fits to the length composition data are acceptable and the fits to the age at-length data are good. The model fits the tag-recapture data well, with good accord between the total number of expected recaptures from both the release and recapture year perspective. There is some spatial divergence in the most recent years (over-predicting returns in the North and under-predicting them in the South) that may be linked to spatial recruitment trends but nothing outside the predictive distribution. The assessment presented here estimates a lower female spawning stock biomass (*SSB*) stock status of 0.66 relative to unfished levels (0.60–0.73 95% credible intervals) than the 2023 assessment (median of 0.73 with 0.66–0.81 95% credible intervals). Average recruitment is almost identical to the previous assessment and the most recent recruitment estimates remain above average, albeit highly uncertain.

The two new years of length frequency data include an additional 5,856 fish in 79 hauls for Aurora Trough Longline, 2,619 fish in 81 hauls for Northern Macquarie Ridge Longline and 8,368 fish in 244 hauls for Southern Macquarie Ridge Longline. The remaining length frequency data were amended to ensure that no lengths from tagged fish were included as these may bias length frequency distributions since they were not randomly sampled. New conditional age-at-length data were also available for 2022/23 and 2023/24, with an additional 44 ages in the north and 266 ages in the south in 2022/23 and 95 ages in the north and 271 ages in the south in 2023/24. Age data from 2024/25 were not available for inclusion in this assessment.

New tag recaptures from the 2021/22, and 2022/23 data included 220, 26 and 196 recaptures respectively by the Aurora Trough, North Macquarie Ridge and South Macquarie Ridge Longline fleets. This makes a total of 442 tag recaptures. In addition, there were 599, 73 and 246 new tag releases in 2022/23 in the Aurora Trough, North Macquarie Ridge and South Macquarie Ridge respectively, and 398, 145 and 440 new tag releases in 2024/25 in those same regions.

The recommended TACs range from 395 to 428 t with an average of 408 t, a 11% decrease from the 2023 average of 459 t. This is driven by a lower stock status estimate compared to that in 2023.

3 Introduction

3.1 The Fishery

The Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) is a large, long-lived, bottom-dwelling species inhabiting the continental shelf waters of sub-Antarctic islands, oceanic ridges and the southern South American continent. Patagonian toothfish is a highly prized table fish with significant imports to Japanese, North American and European Union markets.

Patagonian toothfish have been known to grow to over 2 m in length and may live to more than 50 years of age. They inhabit depths from approximately 300 m to 2,400 m, with juveniles generally found in shallower water. They feed on small fish and squid in the mid-water and various fish and crustaceans on the bottom. Patagonian toothfish are believed to reach sexual maturity at around 10 years of age, and possibly older for Macquarie Island fish (Constable and Morrison, 2001; Goldsworthy and Lamb, 2001).

Patagonian toothfish lack swim-bladders so often reach the surface in good condition even though they may have been caught from depths of 2,400 m. This has allowed the development of an extensive tagging program at both Macquarie Island and the Heard Island and McDonald Islands (HIMI). Tagging studies have increased knowledge of the species movement, growth and available abundance (Tuck and Constable, 2003; Williams, 2001).

3.2 The fishery

Bottom-set longline and trawl fisheries for the Patagonian toothfish developed in the waters of several of the Southern Ocean's sub-Antarctic islands during the late 1980s and early 1990s. At this time trawl fisheries for toothfish were established within Australian Commonwealth waters around HIMI and Macquarie Island, however longline has become the predominant fishing methods since around 2009.

Macquarie Island lies approximately 1,500 km to the southeast of Tasmania (Figure 1). The fishery off Macquarie Island began in November 1994. Two major trawl fishing grounds have been discovered: Aurora Trough and the Macquarie Ridge Northern Grounds region. A tagging experiment began in 1995/96 within the Aurora Trough and the following season within the Macquarie Ridge region.




Figure 1 The location of Macquarie Island (54°30'S, 158°57'E) and Heard Island and McDonald Islands (53°06'S, 73°30'E) relative to New Zealand and Australia.

A Total Allowable Catch (TAC) for the fishery was first introduced in the 1996/97 fishing season (Table 1, Figure 2). The TAC for the 1996/97 fishing season was based on the catches of the first two fishing seasons and the tagging experiment in the 1995/96 fishing season. The setting of TACs after the 1996/97 fishing season was then based on results from a tagging-based stock assessment model. For the Aurora Trough region, commercial TACs for the trawl fishery were 750 and 200 t for the 1996/97 and 1997/98 fishing seasons respectively, and were zero after the 1997/98 fishing season (but with a 40 t research TAC to continue the tagging experiment and monitoring). In 2003/04, following indications of improved stock status from the assessment, Aurora Trough was re-opened to commercial fishing with a 354 t TAC. However, the assessment in the following year suggested that the stock had fallen marginally below the threshold for a commercial fishery so once again, the commercial fishery closed and a research quota was instigated. Since then, a commercial fishery has existed in every season except for 2009/10 (Table 1).

For the Macquarie Ridge sector, the annual trawl TAC reduced steadily in the years following the 1,500 t TAC of 1998. However, the TACs between 1998/99 and 2006/07 were allowed to increase within the fishing season if the catch rates exceeded 10 t/km² over three consecutive fishing days. If this catch rate dropped below the trigger level, then the TAC fell to the lower TAC. If the lower TAC had been reached, then fishing ceased.

In July 2007 the AFMA Board agreed to the commencement of longline fishing for Patagonian toothfish in the Macquarie Ridge sector of the Macquarie Island Toothfish Fishery (MITF) for a trial period of three years, with annual reviews, and subject to conditions and specific limits for incidental mortality of seabirds. In 2009, the Aurora Trough quota was also taken by longline. Longline fishing continued for the 2010/11 season, with continued high catch rates in both the Aurora Trough and Macquarie Ridge Sectors. Tagging rates have been high, and there have been longline recaptures of fish tagged in the trawl fishery. Since 2009/10 the catch has been taken entirely by longline.

Since 2012/13, a single TAC has been set for the whole of the Macquarie Island region. The 2018/19 and 2019/20 TAC was set at 450 t, with a recommendation to catch a little more than half of this total TAC in Aurora Trough (250 t), and 60% of the remainder taken from North Macquarie Ridge (120 t) and the rest from South Macquarie Ridge (80 t). The actual catch in 2017 was around 90 t

below the TAC, with around 145 t more than the recommendation of the catch taken from South Macquarie Ridge, but with much less than the recommended catch taken in the other two regions (Table 1).

In 2018, the actual catch was within two tonnes of the TAC, with the regional spread of catches close to that recommended in the 2017 assessment (Table 1). This was the second largest catch by longline in North Macquarie Ridge up until 2018, indicating that considerable effort was made to match the recommended spatial distribution of catches, particularly in the north (Table 1). In both 2019/20 and 2020/21, the actual catches were close to the TAC, and the catches in North Macquarie Ridge were even higher than the 2018/19 North Macquarie Ridge catch in both years, ensuring good representation of the catch between northern and southern regions. In 2021/22 catches were below the combined TAC and this divergence increased in 2022/23, where the catch was around 200 t below the TAC. In these two years the proportion of catch taken in North Macquarie Ridge have also fallen substantially (Table 1). In 2023/24 catches were again below the TAC, however, remained stable in 2024/25 and were similar to the TAC, which had declined (Table 1).

3.3 Previous assessments

Prior to 2010, TAC determination for the Macquarie Island Patagonian toothfish stock had been based on stock assessments using the tag-recapture model developed initially by de la Mare and Williams (1997), and modifications described in Tuck et. al., (2003). This tag-recapture model estimated pre-tagging available abundance and annual net changes in available abundance between fishing seasons for the major fishing grounds of Macquarie Island (Tuck and Lamb, 2009). In 2004, a new model that expanded upon the traditional tag-based model was introduced (Tuck and Lamb, 2006). This “integrated” assessment included information on length-frequency and tagging data in an age-structured model that allowed estimation of annual spawning biomass and cohort strength. In 2008/09 work commenced on using the integrated assessment platform of Stock Synthesis for the assessment of Aurora Trough Patagonian toothfish (Fay and Lamb, 2009; Tuck and Methot, 2008). This model development continued and the Stock Synthesis assessment was used to set the TAC for the Aurora Trough component of the fishery for the 2010/11 fishing season (Fay and Tuck, 2010).

Table 1 Time series of Patagonian toothfish TAC (t) by fishing year.

Fishing season	Administrative period	TAC Aurora Trough	TAC Macquarie Ridge	TAC Entire Region
1994/95	none			
1995/96	none			
1996/97	1 Sept 1996 - 31 Aug 1997	750	1,000	
1997/98	1 Sept 1996 - 31 Aug 1997	200	1,500	
1998/99	1 Jan 1999 - 31 Dec 1999	40	600	
1999/00	1 Jan 2000 - 31 Dec 2000	40	510	
2000/01	1 Jan 2001 - 31 Dec 2001	40	420	
2001/02	1 Jan 2002 - 31 Dec 2002	40	242	
2002/03	1 Jan 2003 - 30 Jun 2003	40	205	
2003/04	1 Jul 2003 - 30 Jun 2004	354	174	
2004/05	1 Jul 2004 - 30 Jun 2005	60	148	
2005/06	1 Jul 2005 - 30 Jun 2006	255	125	
2006/07	1 Jul 2006 - 30 Jun 2007	241	100	
2007/08	1 Jul 2007 - 30 Jun 2008	390	86	
2008/09	1 Jul 2008 - 30 Jun 2009	312	150	
2009/10	1 Jan 2009 -14 Apr 2010	60	150	
2010/11	15 Apr 2010 - 14 Apr 2011	140	150	
2011/12	15 Apr 2011 - 14 Apr 2012	150	360	
2012/13	15 Apr 2012 - 30 Apr 2013			455
2013/14	1 May 2013 - 30 Apr 2014			415
2014/15	1 May 2014 - 14 Apr 2014			410
2015/16	15 Apr 2015 - 14 Apr 2016			460
2016/17	16 Apr 2016 - 14 Apr 2017			450
2017/18	15 Apr 2017 - 14 Apr 2018			450
2018/19	16 Apr 2018 - 14 Apr 2019			450
2019/20	15 Apr 2019 - 14 Apr 2020			450
2020/21	16 Apr 2020 - 14 Apr 2021			555
2021/22	15 Apr 2021 - 14 Apr 2022			555
2022/23	16 Apr 2022 - 14 Apr 2023			635
2023/24	17 Apr 2023 - 14 Apr 2024			635
2024/25	18 Apr 2024 - 14 Apr 2025			468

The 2010 Aurora Trough assessment base case model estimated the 2010/11 female spawning stock biomass (*SSB*) to be 2,004 t or 54% of unfished spawning biomass (Fay and Tuck, 2010). Trawl available biomass was estimated to be well above 66.5% pre-tagging (1995) levels, which had previously been used as the limit reference point for the Aurora Trough toothfish fishery. The

2010/11 TAC for Aurora Trough was set to 140 t, based on projections under the CCAMLR harvest control rule. The TAC for 2010/11 season for the Macquarie Ridge sector was set at 150 t, as for the previous season, given the absence of an assessment.

The development of stock assessment models that fitted to data from both the Aurora Trough and Macquarie Ridge was presented to SARAG in November 2009 (Fay et. al., 2010). Several versions of the models were developed which primarily differed in the model structure in terms of accounting for the spatial nature of the fishery. These analyses included: a single area model which designated different fleets to capture the spatial and gear-dependent differences in availability but assumed a homogeneous resource, and two- and three-area models which accounted for heterogeneity in toothfish availability between the northern, southern, and ridge areas of operation of the fishery, with movement among areas. All models were able to fit the length data and age-at-length data equally well, however the models differed in their ability to mimic the patterns of tag recaptures by fleet. The single area models indicated that current *SSB* was around 64% of unfished conditions, with the spatial models suggesting a slightly less depleted stock, with 2010/11 *SSB* being 67% and 72% of unfished equilibrium respectively. The time series of *SSB* showed a steady decline over the duration of the fishery for all models. Models which used multiple areas in addition to multiple fleets estimated larger stock sizes, and larger current stock size relative to those in unfished conditions. Uncertainty in the estimation of movement rates in the spatial models reflected the low numbers of tag recaptures outside the area of release, and also the generally low numbers of recaptures of fish released in the Northern Valleys Macquarie Ridge trawl grounds.

The 2011 assessment used the same models as in 2010, but the base case assessment assumed alternative model parameters (Fay, 2011; Fay and Haddon, 2011). The Aurora Trough assessment estimated 2011/12 female *SSB* to be 58% of unfished conditions, while the two-area model estimated the 2011/12 *SSB* for the whole of Macquarie Island to be 72% of unfished. The projected catches that met the CCAMLR harvest control rule were 150 t from Aurora Trough and 360 t from Macquarie Ridge (assuming a 70:30 split between the southern and northern Macquarie Ridge).

From 2012/13 a single TAC was set for the whole of Macquarie Island, and the two-area model used as the base case. The 2012 assessment estimated the 2012/13 female *SSB* for the whole of Macquarie Island to be 70% of unfished *SSB* (Wayte and Fay, 2012), the 2013 assessment estimated the 2013/14 female *SSB* for the whole of Macquarie Island to be 69% of unfished (Wayte and Fay, 2013), with further estimates of 68% for the 2014 assessment (Day and Hillary, 2014), 69% for the 2015 assessment (Day and Hillary, 2015), 67% for the 2016 assessment (Day and Hillary, 2016) and 69% for the 2017 assessment (Day and Hillary, 2017).

The 2019 assessment initially estimated the 2019/20 female *SSB* for the whole of Macquarie Island to be 70% of unfished (Hillary and Day, 2019a) using the same model structure as Day and Hillary (2017), but with the assessment in TMB rather than Stock Synthesis. However, this estimate for 2019/20 female *SSB* was subsequently revised to 85% using an updated maturity curve (Hillary and Day, 2019b), prior to setting the TAC. The change from Stock Synthesis to TMB was made to allow for improved incorporation of tag data in the assessment. The 2021 assessment again performed the assessment using TMB and estimated the 2021/22 female *SSB* to be 85%, the same as the 2019 assessment.

The 2023 assessment initially estimated the 2023/24 female *SSB* for the whole of Macquarie Island to be 73% of unfished (Bessell-Browne and Hillary, 2023a) using the same model structure as the

2021 assessment. The larger decline than anticipated was due to a large number of tag returns in the northern region of the model, which allowed biomass in this region to be more accurately estimated at a lower level.

3.4 Modifications to the previous assessment

The following data have been added to the current assessment:

1. 2023 and 2024 catches
2. 2023 and 2024 length compositions
3. 2023 and 2023 tag recaptures
4. 2022 and 2023 age-at-length compositions

Ageing data from 2024 were not made available in time for inclusion in this assessment.

4 Methods

4.1 Data

The four primary data inputs to the model are:

1. **Catch:** in tonnes, per fleet, (1994–2024).
2. **Length frequency:** for each fleet, and using the number of hauls (not fish sampled) as the initial sample size, (1994–2024).
3. **Conditional age-at-length:** for each fleet and sex, we have the number of fish of a given age conditional on the length class samples came from, (1996–2000, 2002, 2003, 2005–2010, 2013–2023).
4. **Tagging:** release events are now characterised by a length class and area of release, with recapture data being subsequent total recaptures (across all recapture lengths) in each of the spatial regions of the model, from the tag-release-recapture program, begun during the 1995/96 season.

4.1.1 Catch data

This stock assessment treats the annual catches as known and exact. These data are therefore directly input into the model and are not fitted. The catch history by fishing year is distributed across two fishing methods, trawl and longline, within the five fleets considered by the stock assessment model: Aurora Trough trawl (ATT), Northern Valley trawl (NVT), Aurora Trough longline (ATL), northern Macquarie Ridge longline (NMRL), and southern Macquarie Ridge longline (SMRL) (Table 2, Figure 2).

Annual catch data used in earlier assessments comprised the total catch, which included a small proportion of fish that were caught and released (including fish released with tags) as well as fish that were retained. Since the 2017 assessment, the catch data were adjusted to exclude any released fish.

TAC history is listed in Table 1 with catches by fleet and area are shown in Table 2.




Figure 2 Catch history and total TAC by fishing year, with catches stacked by fleet and the black line representing the combined TAC (with TACs summed for Aurora Trough and Macquarie Ridge from 1996–2011). Fleets in blue colours operate in the southern region and those in oranges operate in the northern region. There was a small research quota in the Aurora Trough from 1998–2002 and in 2004.

Table 2 Time series of Patagonian toothfish catches (t) by fishing year and fleet, including total catch (removals only) over all fleets and combined TAC (combined over both regions up to 2011/12).

Fishing season	Trawl AT	Trawl NV	Longline AT	Longline NMR	Longline SMR	Total Catch (t)	Combined TAC (t)
1994/95	427.3	0.0				427	
1995/96	932.9	0.0				933	
1996/97	486.3	500.3				987	1,750
1997/98	188.2	382.8				571	1,700
1998/99	58.5	40.5				99	640
1999/00	9.0	6.6				16	550
2000/01	25.4	0.6				26	460
2001/02	0.0	0.0				0	282
2002/03	36.4	3.3				40	245
2003/04	352.8	0.7				353	528
2004/05	56.8	0.6				57	208
2005/06	264.5	7.9				272	380
2006/07	237.3	0.0				237	341
2007/08	236.8	0.0	5.4	9.0	69.2	320	476
2008/09	306.1	0.0	0.0	37.1	109.8	453	462
2009/10			66.6	8.7	138.2	214	210
2010/11			120.2	0.0	143.6	264	290
2011/12			148.2	27.4	181.9	358	510
2012/13			167.3	14.5	149.7	332	455
2013/14			258.5	13.8	131.3	404	415
2014/15			141.2	248.0	19.6	409	410
2015/16			160.8	81.1	82.6	324	460
2016/17			202.4	98.9	133.0	434	450
2017/18			104.1	28.5	225.0	358	450
2018/19			227.8	111.7	108.7	448	450
2019/20			227.9	143.5	79.7	451	450
2020/21			292.8	192.9	51.6	537	555
2021/22			252.1	34.6	171.4	458	555
2022/23			262.9	37.2	139.2	439	635
2023/24			186.0	66.4	204.1	457	635
2024/25			221.5	32.4	211.5	465	468

4.1.2 Length frequency data

Samples of the length composition of the catch were available for all fishing seasons (1994/95 to 2024/25). Each annual length composition is based on the measurement of several hundreds (or thousands) of fish (Tables 3 and 4). However, it is unlikely that the number of fish measured in each year is an appropriate metric of the effective sample size, due to expected high correlations among fish lengths within individual hauls/shots. Thus, input assessment sample sizes for the individual length compositions are set at the number of hauls sampled for the trawl data, and the number of shots for the longline data. For all fleets the over-dispersion factor (that scales the initial sample sizes to the correct value) is estimated within the model.

Table 3 Number of length samples by fleet and season for the trawl fleets, both in terms of number of shots from which samples were taken, and the total number of fish measured.

Fleet	Season	Number of shots	Number of fish	Mean number per shot
AT trawl	1994/95	126	3,414	27
	1995/96	257	6,721	26
	1996/97	103	2,725	26
	1997/98	81	1,409	17
	1998/99	54	3,354	62
	1999/00	38	831	22
	2000/01	20	1,415	71
	2001/02	2	1	1
	2002/03	19	733	39
	2003/04	96	4,580	48
	2004/05	19	702	37
	2005/06	124	3,368	27
	2006/07	72	765	11
	2007/08	94	1,461	15
	2008/09	131	2,199	17
NV trawl	1994/95	3	18	6
	1995/96	43	2,250	52
	1996/97	139	2,393	17
	1997/98	78	2,031	26
	1998/99	42	638	15
	1999/00	13	350	27
	2000/01	2	1	1
	2001/02	24	390	16
	2002/03	6	83	14
	2003/04	13	274	21
	2004/05	27	548	20
	2005/06	3	14	5

Table 4 Number of length samples by fleet and season for the longline fleets, both in terms of number of shots from which samples were taken, and the total number of fish measured.

Fleet	Season	Number shots	Number fish	Mean number per shot	Fleet	Season	Number shots	Number fish	Mean number per shot
AT	2007/08	2	200	100	SMR	2007/08	28	1,589	57
	2009/10	9	548	61		2008/09	44	1,750	40
	2010/11	18	1,066	59		2009/10	50	1,886	38
	2011/12	45	1,779	40		2010/11	34	1,546	45
	2012/13	52	1,916	37		2011/12	96	3,388	35
	2013/14	79	3,046	39		2012/13	126	4,080	32
	2014/15	62	2,216	36		2013/14	94	3,107	33
	2015/16	84	2,950	35		2014/15	18	561	31
	2016/17	94	3,376	36		2015/16	76	2,404	32
	2017/18	66	2,254	34		2016/17	123	3,865	31
	2018/19	93	3,335	36		2017/18	174	5,527	32
	2019/20	93	3,245	35		2018/19	76	2,464	32
	2020/21	98	3,583	37		2019/20	35	1,260	36
	2021/22	96	3,186	33		2020/21	32	1,021	32
	2022/23	129	4,518	35		2021/22	75	2,381	32
	2023/24	82	3,035	37		2022/23	97	3,059	32
	2024/25	74	2,821	38		2023/24	127	4,353	34
NMR	2007/08	5	160	32		2024/25	117	4,015	34
	2008/09	13	406	31					
	2009/10	7	246	35					
	2011/12	26	829	32					
	2012/13	31	838	27					
	2013/14	11	340	31					
	2014/15	70	2,570	37					
	2015/16	96	2,739	29					
	2016/17	128	3,337	26					
	2017/18	57	1,368	24					
	2018/19	104	3,045	29					
	2019/20	141	4,075	29					
	2020/21	159	4,748	30					
	2021/22	50	1,240	25					
	2022/23	42	1,165	28					
	2023/24	52	1,765	34					
	2024/25	29	854	29					

Disaggregation of the length data by sex is possible, and the model could allow for the inclusion of composition data from both sexed data and data for which the sex is unknown, with the expectation that the latter is a random sample from the catch and is a combination of the individual compositions by sex. The percentage of the seasonal length samples that were sexed has varied considerably over the duration of the fishery. Additionally, inspection of the data suggests that the unsexed fish sampled for length are quite different from the male and female portions of the length composition for some years (Fay, 2010). Consequently, length data were aggregated by sex for all years. Length bin structure is at 10 cm intervals between 0 and 30 cm, 5 cm intervals between 35–150 cm, and at 10 cm intervals above this range up to 190 cm.

4.1.3 Age data

Age-at-length samples are available from aged fish that were captured in 1996–2000, 2002, 2003, 2005–2010 and 2013–2021 (Table 5. New ageing data from 2022 and 2023 were added this year, but the 2024 conditional age-at-length data were not available.

Table 5 Sample sizes of aged fish from the southern and northern areas of the fishery by year and gender. Tag recaptured fish not included.

Year	Sex	North	South	Total
1997/98	F	19	52	71
	M	21	47	68
1998/99	F	80	179	259
	M	103	155	258
1999/00	F	13	7	20
	M	16	11	27
2000/01	F	87	1	88
	M	118	2	120
2001/02	F	3	42	45
	M	7	53	60
2002/03	F	31	1	32
	M	32	2	34
2003/04	F	0	0	0
	M	0	0	0
2004/05	F	0	165	165
	M	2	108	110
2005/06	F	0	7	7
	M	0	4	4
2006/07	F	28	159	187
	M	38	91	129
2007/08	F	7	261	268
	M	3	167	170
2008/09	F	23	300	323
	M	1	258	259
2009/10	F	51	388	439

Year	Sex	North	South	Total
2010/11	M	32	294	326
	F	0	285	285
2013/14	M	0	161	161
	F	15	185	200
2014/15	M	9	88	97
	F	85	107	192
2015/16	M	23	59	82
	F	76	129	205
2016/17	M	19	57	76
	F	67	185	252
2017/18	M	32	90	122
	F	20	196	216
2018/19	M	12	85	97
	F	49	166	215
2019/20	M	26	70	96
	F	92	123	215
2020/21	M	14	94	108
	F	53	141	194
2021/22	M	17	92	109
	F	22	142	164
2022/23	M	22	96	118
	F	34	170	204
2023/24	M	10	96	106
	F	57	160	217
Total	M	38	111	149
		1,507	5,842	7,349

4.1.4 Tag recapture data

Between the 1995/96 and 2024/25 fishing seasons, 22,555 Patagonian toothfish were tagged at Macquarie Island, of which 3,687 have been recaptured (Table 6, Table 11, Table 12, Table 13). Fish are still being recaptured from releases in the early years of the fishery. The recapture rates by region in 2021/22 and 2022/23 follow similar patterns to those seen in earlier years, with the number of recaptures of fish released in the north much lower than the number of recaptures of fish released in the south.

Table 6 Total numbers of tag recaptures by fleet of release (rows) and recapture (columns), for fish at liberty for greater than 180 days. These releases and recaptures are aggregated over all years.

Released by	AT - trawl	NV - trawl	AT - longline	NMR - longline	SMR - longline
AT trawl	851	1	170	2	41
NV trawl	8	72	1	7	6
AT longline	0	0	1,112	4	163
NMR longline	0	0	6	103	37
SMR longline	0	0	207	18	878

To allow for mixing of tagged fish with the untagged population, and to prevent the loss of too many tag recapture events in the early data-limited assessments for all stock assessments up until 2015, recaptures within the year of release were removed from previous assessment release data if the recapture occurred within 10 days of release (c.f. Tuck and Lamb (2009)). Given the quantity of tag data now available to the assessment and to ensure full mixing of tagged and untagged fish for all stock assessments after 2016, recaptures were removed from the release data if the recapture occurred within 180 days of release. This effectively removes recaptures of any fish tagged within the same fishing season. The same 180 day mixing period, as first applied to the 2016 assessment, was continued in this current assessment. As with the length data, the over-dispersion factor for the tag data is internally estimated within the assessment to account for spatiotemporal release and recapture correlation.

Tag-recapture experiments rely on the tags being discovered and reported when the fish are captured. This may not occur if tags are lost from the fish, or if tagged fish are not reported. From the recapture of multiple tagged fish in this fishery, estimates of tag loss rates indicate that the probability of losing both tags is negligible. Likewise, many individual fish have been recaptured several times. The rates of tag loss and tagging mortality were assumed to be zero. This is consistent with previous assessments of toothfish at Aurora Trough and Macquarie Island. A sensitivity to this assumption is included.

The non-detection of tagged toothfish has been a problem, especially with electronic tags. The detection of visible tags also relies upon the vigilance of the crew and observers. Estimates of the tag detection rate by season are available for the trawl fishery (Figure 3, data from Tuck and Lamb (2009)), and were input to the model in order to implement a time-varying detection rate. In the absence of additional information, the tag detection rate for the longline fleet was assumed to be 0.94 (the average of the calculated annual values from the trawl fishery) for all years.




Figure 3 Estimated tag detection rate (points) by fishing season (Tuck and Lamb 2009). Dotted line corresponds to the mean detection rate (0.938) over the time series.

4.2 Biology

There have been a number of updates to the growth and maturity relationships for this stock over the years. Growth is now estimated externally to the assessment using a conditional age-at-length approach (Hillary, 2021). Updated growth estimates had higher estimates of L_{∞} than in the previous assessment (Bessell-Browne and Hillary, 2025). In 2019 the maturity-at-length relationships for males and females was also revised (Hillary, 2021), resulting in a significant decrease in the length at 50% and 95% maturity for females. These estimates were calculated using updated data for this assessment (Bessell-Browne and Hillary, 2025). The updated estimate of 89.96 cm and 77.5 cm are almost identical to the 2021 estimates of 90.48 cm and 78.31 cm, respectively (Bessell-Browne and Hillary, 2023b).

The length-weight relationship is the same as previously employed:

$$w_l = al^b$$

where $a = 4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $b = 3.14$ and weight is measured in tonnes, with length measured in centimeters. The age-independent value of natural mortality is $M = 0.13$, with the $M = 0.155$, the value assumed in the HIMI assessment, explored as a sensitivity. For the steepness parameter of the stock-recruitment relationship (the key resilience parameter with respect to recruitment overfishing) the default value assumed is $h = 0.75$ with values of 0.6 and 0.9 explored as sensitivity scenarios.

4.3 Population dynamics model

The assessment framework uses the Template Model Builder (TMB) package in R (Kristensen et al., 2016). This is, at present, the most efficient and flexible statistical modelling package available. It allows for highly complex statistical models (including the use of random effects) to be efficiently

and robustly estimated. The *tmbstan* R package is used for the MCMC runs used to generate the key probabilistic summaries of the assessment variables (Monnahan and Kristensen, 2018). This links models written in TMB to the currently accepted most efficient MCMC sampler (the No U-turns or NUTS algorithm) and, for the models explored, runs in just over 90 minutes.

The full details of the assessment method can be found in Hillary and Day (2019a).

4.3.1 Length related variables

All the key data series used in the assessment involve size-specific predicted quantities: length distributions in the catch, age-given-length, and length-specific recapture probabilities. As the population dynamics model is primarily age-based we need to translate a number of age-based quantities into length, these include:

1. Predicted length frequency (aggregated across sexes) for each fishery.
2. Predicted distribution of age-given-length, accounting for ageing error, in each of the fisheries and for both sexes.
3. Predicted sex ratio-at-length for each region.
4. Predicted spatial recapture probability-at-length, derived from length-based harvest rates and the growth transition matrices for each sex.

For the tagging likelihood we need to calculate a sex-specific growth transition matrix given the length-based nature of this part of the model. This is done following the method outlined in Hillary (2011) that deals with both the differing size of the length bins, and the stochastic uncertainty in the expected growth increments of the fish, given the growth curve. The transition matrix, $G_{l,l',s}$, is the probability that a fish in length bin l after a given time τ (taken to be one year here) will be in length bin l' (and $\sum_{l'} G_{l,l',s} = 1$).

4.3.2 Candidate selectivity functions

Selectivity is assumed to be inherently length-based and not sexually dimorphic, even though differences in selectivity-at-age by sex are possible given the different growth curves for males and females. We explored three potential selectivity functions:

- **Double-logistic:** a fully smooth function that encompasses the features of the double-normal and double-normal plateau functions.
- **Generalised gamma:** uses a modified gamma distribution-type kernel that is a reduced parameter dome-shaped distribution to avoid over-parameterisation and convergence issues of the double-logistic function when the plateau-type dynamics are absent.
- **Logistic:** conventional logistic function that has no potential for dome-shaped dynamics.

4.4 Likelihood functions

4.4.1 Length frequency data

The underlying distribution we assume is a Dirichlet-multinomial for the sex-combined length frequencies, where the over-dispersion factor ϕ_f by fishery f is estimated with all the other parameters.

4.4.2 Conditional age-at-length data

The underlying distribution assumed for the age data are multinomial for a given length bin - i.e. the distribution of age within a given length bin is assumed to be random and, therefore, no over-dispersion factors are required.

4.4.3 Tagging data

For the tag recapture model, we derive fits within what would be considered a *multi-state mark-recapture model*. This assumes there are a number of probabilistic states a tagged fish can inhabit over the recapture period of a given release event, including: which length class it is in, what spatial region it is in, what sex it is, and whether it has been recaptured or not. The release covariates are year, length class and region; the recapture covariates are year and region of recapture. Both size at recapture and sex-at-release are integrated over within the tagging model (we do not use the sexed tag recapture information).

The base likelihood for the tagging data is essentially the multinomial distribution, which is known loosely as the Brownie model (size and spatially structured in this case, Hillary and Eveson, 2015). This follows the recapture history of a given release event and has been shown to be more informative on both abundance and migration, relative to the previous two-stage likelihood (Hillary and Day, 2017). Tagging data are, however, well known to be often over-dispersed (i.e. more variable than the underlying base distribution would predict). To accommodate this process, we again use the Dirichlet multinomial (D-M) distribution to model the likelihood of a given tagging event's recapture history.

4.4.4 Overall likelihood and objective function

The overall log-likelihood of the data is simply the sum of all three log-likelihoods of the data sources:

$$\ln \Lambda^{tot} = \ln \Lambda^l + \ln \Lambda^{a|l} + \ln \Lambda^{tag}$$

The full objective function to be maximised includes the recruitment prior and additional penalties to prevent harvest rates and tag recapture probabilities exceeding pre-specified maximum levels.

4.5 Estimated parameter options

The core set of estimated parameters are:

- Unfished total recruitment, R_0

- Selectivity parameters for each fleet
- Recruitment deviations for a pre-specified subset of years
- Spatial recruitment parameters, η_r
- Overall recruitment deviation SD, σ_r
- Parameters of the migration matrix, Φ
- Over-dispersion parameters φ_f and φ^{tag}

4.6 Model dimensions

This section deals with some high-level summaries of the input data, as well as the relevant dimensions of the model (years, ages, size classes etc.) and settings of the different parameterisations for the various model processes. The model runs from 1985 to 2025 (i.e. 10 years before fishing began) and includes fish aged 1 to 52. Size-classes range from 0 to 190 cm: 0 to 30 in 10 cm bins, 30 to 150 cm in 5 cm bins, and from 160 to 190 cm in 10 cm bins. The model is run as a two region model with a Northern and Southern region (with the same latitudinal separator for these regions as used in previous assessments). There are five fleets:

1. Aurora Trough trawl (ATT): assumed in region 2 (Southern region) and with an assumed time-invariant double-logistic selectivity
2. Northern Valley trawl (NVT): assumed in region 1 (Northern region) and with an assumed time-invariant generalised gamma selectivity
3. Aurora Trough longline (ATL): assumed in region 2 (Southern region) and with two possible selectivity options: generalised gamma or logistic
4. North Macquarie ridge longline (NMRL): assumed in region 1 (Northern region) and with two possible selectivity options: generalised gamma or logistic
5. South Macquarie ridge longline (SMRL): assumed in region 2 (Southern region) and with two possible selectivity options: generalised gamma or logistic

5 Results

This section summarises:

- Bridging from the previous assessment with incremental inclusion of new data
- Reference model configuration and fits to the various data sets
- Population dynamic summaries from the MCMC runs for the reference model
- Impact of the outlined sensitivity scenarios

5.1 Bridging

A bridging exercise was undertaken to sequentially include new data since the 2023 assessment to determine the impact of the different data sources on the resulting stock assessment outcomes. The order of bridging was:

1. 2023 basecase
2. update growth
3. update maturity
4. add catches
5. add lengths
6. add ages
7. add tags

Results of this analysis demonstrate that there is variation in estimates of female SSB with the addition of data, however, including the updated tagging data brings estimates back inline with estimates from the 2023 assessment, albeit with a slightly higher estimate of female SSB_0 (Figure 4).




Figure 4 Impacts of bridging the updated growth and maturity parameters and data inputs from the 2023 assessment on female *SSB* and stock status estimates.

5.2 Reference assessment model

For the base case, or reference, assessment model, we assume that the reference ages for the Schnute parameterisation of the von Bertalanffy growth function to be $a_1 = 5$ and $a_2 = 20$. This ensures that they are (a) are within the observed data range, and (b) are not too close or too far apart, relative to the data range. For the reference model we keep the growth parameters *fixed*, estimating them using the conditional age-at-length method detailed in Hillary (2021). Therefore, these data are used to inform the model on population size and age structure (including recruitment), not growth. The input growth parameters are detailed in Table 7.

Table 7 Maximum likelihood estimates (and approximate standard errors in brackets) of the growth parameters used in the reference model. The values used in 2023 are included below the most recent estimates for comparison purposes.

Variable	k	l_1	l_2	L_∞	t_0	σ_l	ϕ_l
Female	0.041 (0.002)	50.54 (0.38)	116.45 (0.49)	194.18 (6.38)	-2.37 (0.20)	0.146 (0.013)	6.89 (0.31)
Male	0.057 (0.004)	49.38 (0.33)	103.99 (0.68)	144.42 (4.51)	-2.34 (0.23)	0.141 (0.017)	6.34 (0.24)
Female (2023)	0.055 (0.002)	49.58 (0.003)	115.74 (0.004)	166.75 (0.03)	-1.37 (0.15)	0.15 (0.008)	1.05 (NA*)
Male (2023)	0.069 (0.002)	49.12 (0.002)	101.67 (0.006)	130.58 (0.03)	-1.83 (0.16)	0.144 (0.012)	1.05 (NA*)

A detailed summary of the estimation of the growth parameters can be found in Bessell-Browne and Hillary (2025), but Table 7 shows the estimate used as model inputs in the reference case. As seen in previous analyses, males grow faster initially, but to a smaller asymptotic length; as a result, size-at-age (and weight) of females is greater than males from about age five onwards. The key parameters (k , l_1 , and l_2) are all very accurately estimated (Table 7). Variability in mean length-at-age is very well estimated in both cases and is the same for both sexes. The standard errors are informative and suggest that uncertainty in growth is smaller than in all the other parameters used as inputs to the model or estimated therein (see later). For the female maturity-at-length relationship estimated in Bessell-Browne and Hillary (2025) the associated lengths at 50% maturity were 90.0 cm for females and 77.5 cm for males. As with the key growth parameters, the estimated accuracy of these parameters is high enough that considering them effectively fixed inputs to the model is highly unlikely to cause underestimation of the overall level of uncertainty in the key stock status outputs.

5.3 Fitting summary for reference model

The fits to the length frequency data for the two trawl fleets are in Figure 5, and for the three longline fleets in Figure 6, Figure 7 and Figure 8. Due to variability among years, particularly for the trawl fleets, some of the fits are poor, however, this is to be expected given the level of variability and overall fits to the length data are good.




Figure 5 Fits to the ATT (left) and NVT (right) trawl fisheries length data. Shaded area is the observed data, and the lines the predictions.




Figure 6 Fits to the ATL longline fisheries length data. Shaded area is the observed data, and the lines are the predictions.




Figure 7 Fits to the NMRL longline fisheries length data. Shaded area is the observed data, and the lines are the predictions.




Figure 8 Fits to the SMRL longline fisheries length data. Shaded area is the observed data, and the lines are the predictions.

Figures 9 and 10 show the fits to the female conditional age at length data for the Aurora Trough trawl fleet for males and females and Figure 11 and 12 show the same for Northern Valley Trawl fleet. Figure 13 and 14 show the fits to the female conditional age at length data for the Aurora Trough longline fishery, Figure 15 and 16 show the same for Northern Macquarie Ridge longline fishery, and Figure 17 and 18 show the Southern Macquarie Ridge longline fits.




Figure 9 Fits to the ATT trawl fisheries age-given-length data for females. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 10 Fits to the ATT trawl fisheries age-given-length data for males. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 11 Fits to the NVT trawl fisheries age-given-length data for females. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 12 Fits to the NVT trawl fisheries age-given-length data for males. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 13 Fits to the ATL longline fisheries age-given-length data for females. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 14 Fits to the ATL longline fisheries age-given-length data for males. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 15 Fits to the NMRL longline fisheries age-given-length data for females. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 16 Fits to the NMRL longline fisheries age-given-length data for males. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 17 Fits to the SMRL longline fisheries age-given-length data for females. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.




Figure 18 Fits to the SMRL longline fisheries age-given-length data for males. Points are the observed mean age, and the lines and shaded area are the predicted median and 95th percentile.

The fits to the tagging data (Figure 19–Figure 22) are summarised in four key ways:

1. successive recaptures for each year of releases
2. total recaptures for each year of release
3. total recaptures for each year of recapture
4. total recaptures for each year and region of recapture

All these summaries aggregate across the size spectrum of releases and recaptures for visual brevity, and also because size-at-recapture is not an explicit part of the tagging likelihood.




Figure 19 Fits to the tagging data for recaptures following year of release. Observed and predicted recaptures are shown in tan and blue, respectively.




Figure 20 Fits to the total recaptures for each year of release. Observed and predicted recaptures are shown in tan and blue, respectively.




Figure 21 Fits to the total recaptures for each year of release. Observed and predicted recaptures are shown in tan and blue, respectively.




Figure 22 Fits to the recaptures for each year and region of recapture. Observed and predicted recaptures are shown in tan and blue, respectively.

Residuals of the fits to the tagging data are presented in the Appendix, in Figures 32–33.

5.4 Relative data “weighting” estimates

A key feature of the assessment model is that data weighting is achieved via internally estimated parameters, not an ad hoc tuning approach, as is often used in integrated assessments. The results of this model weighting process show that for the ATT and NVT fleets there is clear down-weighting of the haul data - more so for the NVT fleet. For the longline fleets, SMRL is down-weighted very little, but the ATL and NMRL fleet are clearly down-weighted (Table 28). For the ATT data this appears to result from random variation whereas the downweighting for the NVT data appears driven by a systematic lack of fit (cf. clear decrease in mean length over time coupled with the assumption of time-invariant selectivity). For the NMRL data by convention we assume logistic selectivity for this and the SMRL fleet to avoid the appearance of cryptic spawner biomass in the population. While logistic selectivity is the mode of choice for the ATL, and would be for SMRL if permitted the choice, the right-hand limb of the length frequency curve is consistently over-estimated in the last five years of data for the NMRL fleet.

Table 8 Estimates of the over-dispersion factors for the size data for each fleet, and the tagging data, φ^{tag} .

Variable	ATT	NVT	ATL	NMRL	SMRL	φ^{tag}
Estimate	2.79	3.95	2.58	3.41	1.52	1.45

For the tagging data, the estimate of $\varphi^{tag} = 1.45$ clearly suggests that the tagging data are over-dispersed, relative to the assumption of a straight multinomial recapture likelihood. For the conditional age-at-length data, we assumed a multinomial distribution, given the assumption that age data from within a given length class would be random. The reality of whether this is true can only be determined once the model has been fitted to the data. Examining the fits to the data for each sex and fishery (Figure 10–Figure 18) it is apparent that, barring a few isolated examples, the observed mean length-at-age sits within the predicted 95% interval and does not systematically appear above or below the predicted mean. When examining the standardised residuals for over-

dispersion (e.g. do they systematically appear greater than 1) there is no evidence that a move to the over-dispersion model (Dirichlet-multinomial) is required. This suggests that:

- the multinomial distribution assumed for these data appears valid
- the model's predictions of age-given-length are clearly statistically consistent with the data and the assumed growth model
- at least for these data, the model has enough freedom to adequately explain the observations
- it would seem to validate the underlying assumption that size (not age) is the right underlying variable to parameterise selectivity

5.5 Population dynamic summaries from MCMC

For the reference assessment base case, we used the *tmbstan* R-based MCMC package Monnahan and Kristensen (2018) to sample from the posterior distribution. The package uses the Hamiltonian MCMC algorithm, designed to solve common problems with traditional MCMC algorithms relating to sampling from complex high-dimensional posterior surfaces. As a result, a convergent MCMC sample from the posterior (1,000 iterations) can be obtained in about 90 minutes. The key female *SSB* summaries can be found in Figure 26; total recruitment and the key spatial parameters (recruitment fraction to North, η_1 , and migration rates between regions) can be found in Figure 27 and Figure 28.




Figure 23 Posterior median and 95% credible intervals for total female *SSB*.




Figure 24 Posterior median and 95% credible intervals for female *SSB* relative stock status.




Figure 25 Posterior median and 95% credible intervals for spatial female *SSB*.




Figure 26 Posterior median and 95% credible intervals for spatial female *SSB* relative stock status.




Figure 27 Posterior median and 95% credible intervals for total recruitment.




Figure 28 Posterior median and 95% credible intervals for the marginal posteriors for the three spatial parameters.

The current (*ca.* 2025) median estimate (and 95% credible interval) of overall female *SSB* stock status is 0.66 (0.60–0.73). As with previous assessments, the estimated overall level of female *SSB* is consistently higher in the Northern region relative to the Southern region. Spatially, the depletion in the Northern region is 0.83 (0.76–0.91); in the Southern region it is 0.36 (0.32–0.40). Total recruitment has generally varied randomly around the mean level, with short periods of higher or lower recruitment, but not sustained periods of either (showing intermediate levels of positive temporal auto-correlation *ca.* 0.3).

The spatial recruitment fraction to the Northern region has a median (and 95% credible interval) of 0.32 (0.24–0.40) – the same as the previous estimate from 2023 (Bessell-Browne and Hillary, 2023a). Migration point estimates are similar (around 1% *per annum*) from North to South, and 5% from South to North) – the same as in 2024.

Differences between the relative sizes of the Northern and Southern regions largely depend on the metric chosen. In terms of current female *SSB*, clearly the model estimates more biomass in the North than in the South. This difference between the regions is similar to that observed in the 2024 assessment. When comparing the difference between exploitable abundance currently accessible by the longline fleets, then the estimated difference between the regions is narrower. The spatial abundance in the North is by far the most uncertain given the much lower level of tag recaptures there relative to the South. Coupled with the low movement rates between regions this results in the abundance in the North - estimated to be the largest region - being a considerable source of variation in estimates of absolute abundance over time. Whereas there is little change in the absolute abundance in the South relative to the 2023 assessment, as there are over a thousand recent tag recaptures with consistent rates of recaptures per unit of catch. Due to increasing number of recaptures in the North (as seen in the last 4–5 years) the abundance will become more

accurately estimated, but also prone to changes in the estimated mean as the accuracy increases, relative to the South.

5.6 Key sensitivity runs

We focus on four key sensitivity tests:

1. using the estimates of tag shedding rates instead of the previous assumption of effectively zero tag loss over time
2. assume a lower steepness of $h = 0.6$
3. assume a higher steepness of $h = 0.9$
4. assume the HIMI natural mortality of $M = 0.155$

For the tag shedding sensitivity test, we assumed what is effectively the worst-case scenario: where the tag shedding is defined as in Hillary (2019) and this defines π_t^{tag} ; as a result, we are at the expected lower-bound of tag retention (for the purposes of detection post-capture). For the alternative natural mortality scenario (HIMI value of $M = 0.155$) we see the most difference in parameter and biomass estimates across the tested scenarios (Table 9). Unsurprisingly, the estimate of R_0 increases to accommodate the higher rate of attrition of recruits given the higher M value (Table 9). The stock status is lower than for the reference case, around 0.53, with the change driven by differences in spatial recruitment fraction and migration estimates (Table 9). Overall, the fit is better for the higher M value as it has been in previous assessments but, given we impose asymptotic selectivity on all the long-line fleets, this is also highly likely due to the model using additional freedom in the parameter to better fit to the age-given-length and tag data via dome-shaped selectivity (Table 9). The alternative steepness scenarios change little in terms of stock status or other key parameters - the reference steepness value of 0.75 is the best fit to the data but given how little contrast there is in the recruitment- SSB relationship over time this result is unlikely to be significant (Table 9). For the tag shedding scenario we see a very slightly lower stock status of 0.65 (Table 9). The change in female SSB and stock status for each of the scenarios is presented in Figure 29.

Table 9 Sensitivity test summaries.

Sensitivity	Stock Status	R_0 (x 10^5)	Likelihood - Length	Likelihood - Age at length	Likelihood - Tags	Likelihood - Total
Base	0.66	13.06	13,813	15,318	15,221	44,354
M=0.155	0.53	13.34	13,675	15,314	15,212	44,202
h=0.6	0.66	13.07	13,433	15,318	15,221	43,873
h=0.9	0.66	13.08	13,433	15,318	15,221	43,973
Tag shedding	0.65	13.04	13,822	15,319	15,219	44,361




Figure 29 Female spawning stock biomass (SSB) and stock status for each of the sensitivity tests.

5.7 Recommended TAC scenarios

The CCAMLR decision rule is currently used for Macquarie Island toothfish in relation to calculating recommended TACs. As in previous calculations we explored spatial scenarios where the catch in the Aurora Trough was fixed at a given value, and then the remaining catch was shared between the North and South, given an assumed percentage for each. For the Aurora Trough we explored 200, 250 and 300 tonnes with 10:90, 20:80 and 50:50 percentage splits for the North and South remainder, the 20:80 split represents the average catch split over the past 3 years. Table 10 details the recommended TACs for these spatial catch scenarios.

Table 10 Recommended TAC scenarios for the various spatial catch distribution scenarios explored.

Aurora Trough	NMRL	SMRL	NMRL %	SMRL %	TAC
150	25	228	10	90	403
200	20	179	10	90	399
250	14	130	10	90	395
150	52	208	20	80	410
200	41	163	20	80	404
250	30	120	20	80	400
150	139	139	50	50	428
200	110	110	50	50	420
250	81	81	50	50	412
Average					408

The recommended TACs range from 395 to 428 tonnes with an average of 408 tonnes, around a 11% decrease from the 2023 average of 459 t. This is driven by the lower stock status estimates in the current assessment compared to that in 2021, as is expected when you are moving the stock down towards the target reference point.

A final piece of information to be considered when implementing the recommended TACs is detailed in Figure 30. The recommended TACs in Table 10 all meet the requisite target in 35 years, however, they reach that target on a downward trajectory, not in an equilibrating sense. This is because the starting stock status of 0.66 required a catch higher than the equilibrium catch when at target, and this level of catch will likely cause the stock to decrease below the target. This outcome assumes that estimates of abundance will not change in future assessments, which we know is not the case. This highlights the sensitivity of management advice to the CCAMLR harvest control rule.




Figure 30 Projection for one of the recommended TAC scenarios. The line is the median and shaded area is the 95% credible intervals for female stock status. The green dashed line is the target reference point and the red dashed line is the limit reference point. The vertical grey dashed line shows the start of projections.

6 Discussion

In this paper we detail an update of the adopted assessment model for the Patagonian toothfish fishery around Macquarie Island first detailed in Hillary and Day (2019a). From the key management variable, female *SSB* based stock status has a median value of 0.66 relative to unfished levels with a 95% credible interval of 0.60–0.73, lower than the 0.73 estimate from the 2023 assessment. Fits to the various data sources (size, age given length, tags) are all acceptable and show no obvious model structure problems.

In terms of sensitivities, the steepness alternatives and the tag shedding scenario had negligible impact on model outcomes. Only the higher $M = 0.155$ showed any real difference, with a lower estimate of stock status at 0.53, driven by changes in the spatial recruitment fraction and migration estimates for this scenario. Future development of the model would benefit from exploring a more nuanced spatial recruitment model, where deviations are spatiotemporal in nature, not just estimated for the whole population and then divided between North and South by a time-independent multiplier. Such an approach would estimate not just recruitment variability but also temporal and spatial correlation and hopefully do a better job at teasing out spatial recruitment patterns if they exist (which they appear to in the tag data).

A range of recommended TACs were calculated (from 395 t–428 t) with an average of 408 t - a 11% decrease from 2023 driven by the lower stock status estimate. The CCAMLR rule will likely continue to cause short-term variability in the TAC as estimates move over time, despite there being no significant changes in overall status from one assessment to the next. MSE work, which will be presented to the SARAG in 2025, will explore possible alternatives to this rule for setting management advice, and whether they can ameliorate some, if not most, of these issues encountered for both the Macquarie Island and HIMI toothfish fisheries.

7 References

- Bessell-Browne, P., Hillary, R.M., 2025. Updated biological relationships for 2025 stock assessment of Macquarie Island toothfish. CSIRO report to the SARAG.
- Bessell-Browne, P., Hillary, R.M., 2023a. Integrated stock assessment for Macquarie Island toothfish using data up to and including 2022. CSIRO report to the SARAG.
- Bessell-Browne, P., Hillary, R.M., 2023b. Updated biological relationships for 2023 stock assessment of Macquarie Island toothfish. CSIRO report to the SARAG.
- Constable, W., A. J., Morrison, S., 2001. Biology and growth of toothfish. *Pages 85–102 of* He, X. and Furlani, D.M. (eds), Ecologically sustainable development of the fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) around Macquarie Island: population parameters, population assessment and ecological interactions. Fisheries Research and Development Council. Project 97/122.
- Day, H., J., Hillary, R., 2017. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including August 2016. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Day, H., J., Hillary, R., 2016. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including August 2015. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Day, H., J., Hillary, R., 2015. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including August 2014. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Day, W., J., Hillary, R., 2014. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including August 2013. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Fay, G., 2011. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including June 2010. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Fay, G., 2010. Evaluating a tag-recapture based integrated stock assessment model for Macquarie Island Patagonian toothfish: Testing tagging assumptions using Aurora Trough. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Fay, G., Haddon, M. and Tuck, G.N. 2010. Revised base-case results for 2010 stock assessment of Aurora Trough Macquarie Island toothfish, using data up to and including July 2009. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Fay, G., Tuck, G.N. and Haddon, M., 2011. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including June 2010 – addendum. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Fay, G., Lamb, T., 2010. Revised base-case results for 2010 stock assessment of Aurora Trough Macquarie Island toothfish, using data up to and including July 2009. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Fay, G., Tuck, G.T., Lamb, T., 2009. Integrated stock assessment for the Aurora Trough Macquarie Island toothfish fishery, using data up to and including 2008/09. In Tuck, G.N. (ed), Stock assessment and management strategy evaluation for sub-Antarctic fisheries: 2007 – 2009. Australian Fisheries Management Authority; CSIRO Marine; Atmospheric Research. 112 p.
- Goldsworthy, H., S. D., Lamb, T., 2001. Physical and biological oceanography. *Pages 28–58 of* He, X. and Furlani, D.M. (eds), Ecologically sustainable development of the fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) around Macquarie Island: population parameters, population assessment and ecological interactions. Fisheries Research and Development Council. Project 97/122.
- Hillary, R.M., 2021. Updated biological relationships for 2021 stock assessment of Macquarie Island toothfish. CSIRO report to the SARAG.
- Hillary, R.M., 2019. Estimates of tag shedding in the Macquarie Island toothfish tagging program. Technical report to the SARAG 59.
- Hillary, R.M., 2011. A new method for estimating growth transition matrices. *Biometrics*. 67: 76–85.

- Hillary, R.M., Day, J., 2021. Integrated stock assessment for Macquarie Island toothfish using data upto and including 2020. CSIRO report to the SARAG 63.
- Hillary, R.M., Day, J., 2019b. TAC options given the revised stock assessment and maturity-at-length relationship. Technical report to the SARAG 60.
- Hillary, R.M., Day, J., 2019a. Proposed new assessment structure for Macquarie Island toothfish using data upto and including 2018. CSIRO report to the SARAG 59.
- Hillary, R.M., Day, J., 2017. Impact of spatial tagging rates for key estimates coming from the Macquarie Island toothfish assessment. Technical report to the SARAG 56.
- Hillary, R.M., Eveson, J.P., 2015. Length-based Brownie mark-recapture models: Derivation and application to Indian Ocean skipjack tuna. *Fisheries Research*. 163: 141–151.
- Kristensen, K., Nielsen, A., Berg, C.W., Skaug, H., Bell, B.M., 2016. TMB: Automatic differentiation and laplace approximation. *Journal of Statistical Software* 70, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v070.i05>
- Mare, W.K. de la, Williams, R., 1997. Abundance of Patagonian toothfish at Macquarie Island estimated from tagging studies. Technical report to SARAG 97/6.
- Monnahan, C.C., Kristensen, K., 2018. No-U-turn sampling for fast Bayesian inference in ADMB and TMB: Introducing the adnuts and tmbstan R packages. *PLoS ONE*. 13(5): e0197964.
- Tuck, de la M., G. N., Constable, A., 2003. An exact time of release and recapture stock assessment model with an application to Macquarie Island Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*). *Fisheries Research*, 63: 179–191.
- Tuck, G.N., Lamb, T., 2009 Abundance estimation and TAC setting for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) at Macquarie Island. In Tuck, G.N. (ed), *Stock assessment and management strategy evaluation for sub-Antarctic fisheries: 2007 – 2009*. Australian Fisheries Management Authority and CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research. 112 p.
- Tuck, G.N., Methot, R.D., 2008. Progress on the transition of the Macquarie Island Patagonian toothfish assessment to SS3. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Tuck, P., G. N., Lamb, T., 2006. An integrated assessment of Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) in the Aurora Trough region of Macquarie Island: 2006 update. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Wayte, S.E., Fay, G., 2013. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including August 2012. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Wayte, S.E., Fay, G., 2012. Stock assessment of the Macquarie Island fishery for Patagonian toothfish (*Dissostichus eleginoides*) using data up to and including August 2011. Technical report to the SARAG.
- Williams, T., R., 2001. Movement, growth and available abundance to the fishery of *Dissostichus eleginoides*. *CCAMLR Science*, 9: 33–48.

8 Appendix




Figure 31 Residuals of fits to the tagging data for recaptures following year of release.




Figure 32 Residuals of fits to the tagging data for recaptures following total recaptures for each year of release.




Figure 33 Residuals of fits to the recaptures for each year and region of recapture.

Table 11 Numbers of tagged fish released from trawl fleets and recaptured following at least 180 days at liberty, by release fleet and season.

Release season	Release fleet	Num released	199 6/97	199 7/98	199 8/99	199 9/00	200 0/01	200 2/03	200 3/04	200 4/05	200 5/06	200 6/07	200 7/08	200 8/09	200 9/10	201 0/11	201 1/12	201 2/13	201 3/14	201 4/15	201 5/16	201 6/17	201 7/18	201 8/19	201 9/20	202 0/21	202 1/22	202 2/23	202 3/24
1995/96	ATT	428	57	28	3		1	1	1							1													
1995/96	NVT	4																											
1996/97	ATT	452		42	7		2		9	1	3		1	1															
1996/97	NVT	536		53	5	1			2																				
1997/98	ATT	550			18	3	4	5	21	4	15	1		2	3	1	2	2			1							1	
1997/98	NVT	502			9				1					1		1													
1998/99	ATT	661				4	5	2	30	2	9	2	2	7		1	1		1										
1998/99	NVT	315																				1							
1999/00	ATT	697					3	1	35	6	12	1	4	6	2	5	1	5						1					
1999/00	NVT	302																1											
2000/01	ATT	370						1	23	3	5	1	1	9															
2000/01	NVT	134						1			1																		
2002/03	ATT	494							60	8	29	6	15	24	2	3	10	1	6	2				1			1		
2002/03	NVT	17															1												
2003/04	ATT	674								9	23	8	4	13	2	3	2	1	1										1
2003/04	NVT	60									3																		
2004/05	ATT	572									46	7	16	43	4	4	6	3	4	1		1				1			
2004/05	NVT	264									2		1	1						1	1								
2005/06	ATT	610										25	18	27	2	5	4	4	3	1	1	1	1				1		
2005/06	NVT	290															1			2	3	1							
2006/07	ATT	467											26	13		1			4		2	1	2						
2006/07	ATT	355												31	2		2	1	3	1		2							
2008/09	ATT	727													2	6	12	10	19	6	8	8	1	4		1	1		

Release season	Release fleet	Num released	199 6/97	199 7/98	199 8/99	199 9/00	200 0/01	200 2/03	200 3/04	200 4/05	200 5/06	200 6/07	200 7/08	200 8/09	200 9/10	201 0/11	201 1/12	201 2/13	201 3/14	201 4/15	201 5/16	201 6/17	201 7/18	201 8/19	201 9/20	202 0/21	202 1/22	202 2/23	202 3/24
2008/09	NVT	15																											

Table 12 Numbers of tagged fish released from longline fleets from 2007/08--2015/16 and recaptured following at least 180 days at liberty, by release fleet and season.

Release season	Release fleet	Num released	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
2007/08	NMRL	26		1		3	2				1								
2007/08	SMRL	189	15	4	3	6	6	4		1	4	3	1						
2008/09	NMRL	82		2		7		1	1										
2008/09	SMRL	386		9	9	18	21	11	2	2	2	6	1		1	1			
2009/10	ATL	300			27	13	9	13	4	2	3	2			1				
2009/10	NMRL	60				5	5			2	1				1	1			
2009/10	SMRL	396			26	25	8	20	2	2	4	5	3	2	1			1	1
2010/11	ATL	480				11	31	45	6	4	4	1		1		1			
2010/11	SMRL	509				27	42	34	5	8	10	8	2	1				1	1
2011/12	ATL	307					10	37	7	7	12	6	3	3	1	3			
2011/12	NMRL	116					1	2	1	3	2	1	1			1	4	1	1
2011/12	SMRL	504					9	25	4	18	10	9	7	3		1		2	
2012/13	ATL	311						37	12	12	6	6	9	7	1	1			1
2012/13	NMRL	57											1	1			1		
2012/13	SMRL	307						20		9	3	5		1		1		1	1
2013/14	ATL	532							9	26	23	16	12	11	5	3		1	
2013/14	NMRL	36								3			1				1		
2013/14	SMRL	256							9	10	1	10	6	7	1	5	1		
2014/15	ATL	300								9	19	11	13	8	3	2			
2014/15	NMRL	499									4		4	6	3	1			
2014/15	SMRL	39										2	1	1					
2015/16	ATL	361									17	13	27	21	7	6	3	3	

Release season	Release fleet	Num released	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
2015/16	NMRL	171									2	1	5	3			1	1	1
2015/16	SMRL	172									12	4	10	4	4	2	2	4	2

Table 13 Numbers of tagged fish released from longline fleets from 2016/17 on and recaptured following at least 180 days at liberty, by release fleet and season.

Release season	Release fleet	Num released	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
2007/08	NMRL	26		1		3	2				1								
2007/08	SMRL	189	15	4	3	6	6	4		1	4	3	1						
2008/09	NMRL	82		2		7		1	1										
2008/09	SMRL	386		9	9	18	21	11	2	2	2	6	1		1	1			
2009/10	ATL	300			27	13	9	13	4	2	3	2			1				
2009/10	NMRL	60				5	5			2	1				1	1			
2009/10	SMRL	396			26	25	8	20	2	2	4	5	3	2	1			1	1
2010/11	ATL	480				11	31	45	6	4	4	1		1		1			
2010/11	SMRL	509				27	42	34	5	8	10	8	2	1				1	1
2011/12	ATL	307					10	37	7	7	12	6	3	3	1	3			
2011/12	NMRL	116					1	2	1	3	2	1	1			1	4	1	1
2011/12	SMRL	504					9	25	4	18	10	9	7	3		1		2	
2012/13	ATL	311						37	12	12	6	6	9	7	1	1			1
2012/13	NMRL	57											1	1			1		
2012/13	SMRL	307						20		9	3	5		1		1		1	1
2013/14	ATL	532							9	26	23	16	12	11	5	3		1	
2013/14	NMRL	36								3			1				1		
2013/14	SMRL	256							9	10	1	10	6	7	1	5	1		
2014/15	ATL	300								9	19	11	13	8	3	2			
2014/15	NMRL	499									4		4	6	3	1			
2014/15	SMRL	39										2	1	1					

Release season	Release fleet	Num released	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25
2015/16	ATL	361									17	13	27	21	7	6	3	3	
2015/16	NMRL	171									2	1	5	3			1	1	1
2015/16	SMRL	172									12	4	10	4	4	2	2	4	2

**As Australia's national science
agency and innovation catalyst,
CSIRO is solving the greatest
challenges through innovative
science and technology.**

CSIRO. Unlocking a better future
for everyone.

Contact us

1300 363 400
+61 3 9545 2176
csiroenquiries@csiro.au
<https://www.csiro.au>

Environment

Pia Bessell-Browne
+61 3 6362 5100
Pia.Bessell-Browne@csiro.au
<https://www.csiro.au/>