Australian Government
Australian Fisheries Management Authority

Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF)

Species Summaries 2026

For SEMAC, February 2026

Securing Australia's fishing future
www.afma.gov.au

Contents

Summary of Total Allowable Catch (TAC)

Species	Agreed TAC (t) for 2025–26	AFMA recommendation for 2026–27 TAC (t)	Change in TAC
Alfonsino	1,017	1,017	0
Bight redfish	935	873	-62
Blue eye trevalla	282	279	-3
Blue grenadier	17,084	14,650	-2,434
Blue warehou	30	30	0
Deepwater flathead	940	940	0
Deepwater shark (eastern)	24	24	0
Deepwater shark (western)	327	327	0
Elephant fish	114	114	0
Flathead	2,333	2,333	0
Gemfish (eastern)	100	100	0
Gemfish (western)	180	180	0
Gummy shark	1,564	1,595	+31
Jackass morwong	50	50	0
John dory	60	60	0
Mirror dory	334	334	0
Ocean perch	318	318	0

Species	Agreed TAC (t) for 2025–26	AFMA recommendation for 2026–27 TAC (t)	Change in TAC
Orange roughy (Albany and Esperance)	50	50	0
Orange roughy (Cascade)	397	397	0
Orange roughy (Eastern)	880	TBA	TBA
Orange roughy (Southern) including Pedra Branca	98	31 Southern & Pedra Branca TBA	TBA
Orange roughy (Western)	60	60	0
Oreo, basket	137	137	0
Pink ling	1,541	1,539	-2
Redfish	30	TBA	TBA
Ribaldo	393	393	0
Royal red prawn	628	462	-166
Sawshark	525	525	0
School shark	207	446	+239
School whiting	1,202	1,202	0
Silver trevally	25	25	0
Silver warehou	350	350	0
Smooth oreo dory (Cascade)	150	150	0
Smooth oreo dory (other)	90	90	0
Non-quota Species			

Species	Agreed TAC (t) for 2025–26	AFMA recommendation for 2026–27 TAC (t)	Change in TAC
Boarfish[1] (ECDWT)	200	200	0
Orange roughy[2] (ECDWT)	50	50	0
Hagfish[3]	80	80	0

[1] Subject to a 10 t catch trigger and a 6-year time trigger following the trigger species approach.
[2] Catch limit proposed through the annual review of rebuilding species TAC undertaken by SERAG.
[3] No new information received in 2025 to support a change to the Hagfish catch limit.

Purpose

These species summaries provide information on quota and non-quota species assessed by the various Resource Assessment Groups (RAGs) for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) including the South East RAG (SERAG) and SharkRAG[4].

The summaries contain information on stock status[5], catch trends, assessment results, and Recommended Biological Catches (RBCs) from the relevant RAGs, Total Allowable Catch (TAC) advice from the South East Management Advisory Committee (SEMAC) and AFMA TAC advice for the 2026-27 SESSF season (1 May 2026 to 30 April 2027).

The summaries are designed to be a quick reference and should be read in conjunction with relevant RAG and MAC minutes and the applicable species stock assessments – links to the relevant documents are provided throughout where available.

The SESSF Harvest Strategy Framework 2009 (the SESSF Harvest Strategy) provides the basis for TAC calculations. Other relevant considerations are included in this paper.

TAC considerations

Ecological considerations

Fishery Harvest Strategy (commercial & byproduct)

A new SESSF Harvest Strategy is currently being developed. This follows the introduction of the *Commonwealth Fisheries Harvest Strategy Policy 2009* (HSP) and is in response to significant changes in the fishery since the current Harvest Strategy was implemented in 2009 including:

- structural adjustment reducing the number of boats in the fishery
- changing fisher behaviour with fewer species being targeted
- greater use of multi-year RBCs/TACs, and
- environmental change.

[4] Consultative arrangements for the Great Australian Bight Trawl Sector changed in mid-August 2025 following a decision by the AFMA Commission to merge the Great Australian Bight Resource Assessment Group into the South East Resource Assessment Group and the Great Australian Bight Management Advisory Committee into the South East management Advisory Committee.
[5] Due to a change in the release schedule for the ABARES SESSF chapters, an updated SESSF ABARES Fishery Status Report, and therefore updated species status, will not be available for the 25/26 SESSF season. These updates are expected to be available in 2026

The Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) Project 'Development and evaluation of multi-species harvest strategies in the SESSF' (MSHS) (FRDC 2018-021) developed and evaluated options for multi-species harvest strategies, including monitoring and assessment options identified in the SESSF Monitoring and Assessment Research Project (SMARP) (Knuckey, et al., 2017).

The project conducted analyses to expand the options for several of the components needed to construct a multi-species harvest strategy, recognising that the ability of a harvest strategy to satisfy the objectives of fisheries management relates to the data available and how those data are analysed.

The MSHS project identified a number of options but only undertook management strategy evaluation for a few species in the SESSF, as a proof-of-concept approach. Elements of this project that need exploration include, among other things, dynamic reference points, climate resilience and risk equivalency. Further specification and testing is required to understand how these approaches could be applied, and to what extent they would be effective in the SESSF. This additional work has been funded and is underway (FRDC 2024-065).

The SESSF Harvest Strategy 2009 was amended in 2024 to include the trigger species category. The criteria for categorisation as a trigger species are outlined below, as are the catch and time triggers that initiate reviews of TAC and assessment options for this group.

Ecological Risk Assessment results (bycatch & protected species)

Ecological Risk Assessments (ERAs) were undertaken between 2019 and 2021 for the sectors of the SESSF identified below and were supported by the South East Management Advisory Committee (SEMAC) and Great Australian Bight MAC (GABMAC). The reports for the following sectors are available on the AFMA website:

- Commonwealth Trawl Sector (CTS) otter board fleet
- CTS Danish seine fleet
- Great Australian Bight Trawl Sector (GABTS)
- Gillnet Hook and Trap Sector (GHATS) Shark Gillnet fleet
- Gillnet Hook and Trap Sector (GHATS) Auto Longline fleet
- Gillnet Hook and Trap Sector (GHATS) Manual Longline fleet

An update to the ERA for the otter trawl fleet in the GABTS is currently underway and updates to the ERAs of the other SESSF sectors is scheduled for 2026-27.

Other relevant considerations

Climate change

Information on the sensitivity of each species to climate change has been included in the summaries in a row titled "Climate Sensitivity". The information presented for each species draws upon three types of assessments conducted by CSIRO:

1. Atlantis ecosystem modelling of the effect of climate on key species in the SESSF fishery, as reported in 'Fulton, E. A., Mazloumi, N., Puckeridge, A., Hanamseth, R., Modelling perspective on the climate footprint in south east Australian marine waters and its fisheries, *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 2023; https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad185'

2. Preliminary biomass trajectories from species distribution models and various ecosystem models as reported in Summary of Commonwealth Fishery Climate Sensitivity (Appendix to 'Fulton, E.A. et al (2021) Guidance on Adaptation of Commonwealth Fisheries management to climate change. CSIRO Report for FRDC. Hobart.') These preliminary projections utilise quantitative models, similar to a level 3 ERA.

3. Climate sensitivity assessments following the method of Pecl. et al (2014) models as reported in Summary of Commonwealth Fishery Climate Sensitivity. This assessment is based purely on life history characteristics and could be considered an "information poor" assessment.

These assessments represent cascading levels of sophistication, with the Atlantis ecosystem model (point 1 above) representing the most sophisticated analyses and providing the most robust results, while the climate sensitivity assessment (point 3) is the least sophisticated. In the species summaries, the most robust results available for each species are included in the "Climate Sensitivity" row.

Non-recovering species

The prevalence of non-rebuilding stocks in the SESSF is strongly suggestive that the various versions of the SESSF Harvest Strategy are not as robust to uncertainties as we thought. The single species Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) that have been relied on to test the effectiveness of the various harvest control rules have apparently failed to capture the full effects of fishing and/or the impacts of other processes (including but not limited to climate change) that have been important determinants of stock trajectories.

Discussions at RAG meetings so far have focussed on fishing mortality and climate change as the cause/solution for non-recovering stocks. While these are the obvious and significant drivers, what might be missing is an appreciation of the changes in ecosystem properties that might also be impeding recovery. For example, within the trophic structure of the ecosystem, these stressors might have non-intuitive ramifications such as release/increase of depredation or competition that leads to non-recovery of a species. The stressors or drivers might be anthropogenic or "natural" causes – e.g., directly from extraction, climate change, rebuilding of higher trophic level predators to pre-exploitation levels (e.g., fur seals and whales) but now within a changed environment as a result of not only climate change, but also coastal development, aquaculture, and associated activities.

Taking a broader cumulative ecosystem approach may explain the non-recovery of some species, and perhaps an acceptance of non-recovery or permanent change in state. To this end, ecosystem models are being produced which might allow exploration of various factors, including teasing apart the environmental factors from fishery driven factors, and determine which are most influential on stock dynamics. However, these are not used directly to inform the TAC setting process under the current SESSF Harvest Strategy.

Economic considerations

Reports on Gross Value Production (GVP) for the SESSF are available in the Australian Bureau of Agriculture and Resource Economics and Sciences (ABARES) annual status reports (Butler, et al, 2024). Due to a change in the release schedule for the ABARES SESSF chapters, an updated SESSF ABARES Fishery Status Report was not available for the 24/25 SESSF season but are expected to be in 2026.

Social considerations

In November 2017, a new objective was introduced in the *Fisheries Management Act 1991* requiring AFMA to have regard to the interests of commercial, recreational, and Indigenous fishers and ensure these interests are taken into account.

Commonwealth fisheries are a shared resource that provide a range of benefits to the Australian community. With many groups accessing Commonwealth fisheries, sharing these resources fairly has been a priority for the Government and Australian fishers for many years. To address this priority, the Commonwealth Fisheries Resource Sharing Framework (the Framework) was released in 2020. The Framework outlines the Government's approach to sharing fisheries resources across commercial, recreational, and Indigenous fishing sectors and between the Commonwealth, the States and the Northern Territory. The Framework provides a principles-based approach to creating resource sharing arrangements to manage access and allocation issues in Commonwealth fisheries. No resource sharing arrangements have yet been developed that impact the SESSF sectors. However, other sources of mortality on a stock, such as recreational catch, is accounted for in the TAC setting process where there are reliable estimates of those catches.

Commercial fishers' interests

SESSF operators have raised concerns about resource sharing and access rights between sectors, jurisdictions and between other user proponents and are concerned with the potential impact on Commonwealth TAC's.

Recent concerns raised have been in relation to:

1. The take of key Commonwealth managed species including gummy shark and school shark in South Australia (SA) and Western Australia (WA).

SA successfully strengthened measures in 2024 to ensure the catches of shark remain within SA's agreed allocation. AFMA is continuing to work with WA regarding its take of school shark.

2. Increasing competition for access and use of marine space is threatening to 'squeeze' Commonwealth fishing businesses out of well established, productive fishing grounds as well as constrain industry's ability to adapt its operations to account for environmental variability caused by climate change.

Key competing pressures are the emerging offshore renewable energy footprint, petroleum acreage releases, seismic surveys (to detect fossil fuel reserves), and recent changes to

protection within existing Commonwealth marine parks or an expansion of parks and/or fishing closures. AFMA is increasing engagement with proponent processes to provide data, advise of important fishing areas and propose modifications to proposals to mitigate fishery impacts. Additionally, AFMA is contributing to a range of research through the Blue Economy CRC, FRDC and the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) to explore marine spatial planning and better account for all users of the marine environment needs.

Recreational fishers' interests

Recreational fishing members on SEMAC and SERAG contribute recreational fishing knowledge and expertise to committee deliberations, including the catch-setting processes.

The SESSF Harvest Strategy provides for recreational catches to be deducted from the RBC to produce a TAC, where recreational catches are known and included in the assessment.

Recreational catch information is listed in the relevant species summary below. Estimates for most species are currently uncertain and not available consistently through time. For species in this category, recreational catches have not been incorporated into the assessment and TAC calculations. Where reliable data is available, such as recreational survey information, it may be considered by the relevant RAG as a line of evidence when recommending RBCs and TACs and / or incorporated into the assessment.

There is a paucity of recreational catch data, particularly for recreationally important species such as gummy shark, school shark, blue-eye trevalla, and silver trevally. The Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) continues to liaise with each of the state agencies to obtain all available state data and emphasise the need for regular and ongoing surveys to obtain reliable data to inform assessments and management decisions.

Indigenous fishers' interests

AFMA is in the process of establishing how best to consider Indigenous fishers' interests and ensure that they are considered appropriately. In 2012, the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) established an Indigenous Reference Group (IRG) to assist in working towards a Fisheries Research, Development and Extension (RD&E) plan for indigenous Australians.

In February 2020, the *Integrating indigenous fishing: extending adoption pathways to policy and management project* (FRDC 2019-168) commenced, which will identify impediments and opportunities for Indigenous engagement and adoption of IRG project outputs across fisheries management jurisdictions in Australia.

In June 2023, the Development of an Indigenous Engagement Strategy for fishing interests with a focus on Commonwealth fisheries (FRDC 2021-024) was completed, which developed a strategy to guide effective engagement between Indigenous fishing interests and management agencies responsible for Commonwealth fisheries, and with applicability to appropriate state and local level processes.

AFMA is monitoring and engaging with the current further research work into for incorporating Indigenous interests into fisheries management. As at the date of this paper,

no Indigenous fishers' interests have been identified that would impact on SESSF TACs for the 2026–27 fishing year.

Intersection with State and International fisheries

Where State catches are included in an assessment, estimates of State catches for the upcoming fishing year are deducted from the RBC to produce a Commonwealth TAC. Future State catches are estimated using a four-year weighted average of catches reported by the relevant State fisheries agency. However, the impact of management changes in State fisheries can be considered in forecasting the State catch in the upcoming fishing year.

In 2019, NSW introduced TACs for a range of species, some of which are also subject to a Commonwealth TAC[6]. When accounting for total mortality of a species in the stock assessment and then calculating the Commonwealth TAC, the key consideration is actual catches, not the NSW TAC. For this reason, the four-year weighted average for NSW catches is considered when making Commonwealth TAC recommendations in this paper for species where NSW State catches are deducted.

No developments in fisheries on the high seas or other countries' exclusive economic zones have impacted on these Commonwealth TAC recommendations.

Key fishery projects and developments relating to TACs

A number of research projects relevant to stock assessments and the TAC setting process are underway, many of which are interlinked and at various stages of completion. A summary of the relevant projects is provided below.

Implementation of dynamic reference points and harvest strategies to account for environmentally-driven changes in productivity in Australian fisheries (FRDC 2019-036). This project is considering the use of dynamic reference points and will make recommendations on future stock assessment approaches, data requirements, harvest control rules and management approaches incorporating environmental indicators and dynamic productivity and dynamic reference points. The project uses four SESSF stocks[7] as case studies covering a variety of different recruitment patterns and historical trends in dynamic B_0. The outcomes of this project will be considered as part of the development of a new Harvest Strategy.

Revisiting biological parameters and information used in the assessment of Commonwealth fisheries: a reality check and work plan for future proofing (FRDC 2019-010) aimed to identify the origin of current biological information used in assessments, assess the implications and risks associated with using dated and borrowed information in

[6] This includes the following species relevant to the SESSF: big eye ocean perch, blue eye trevalla, gemfish, hapuku, pink ling, blue spotted flathead, eastern school whiting and stout whiting (combined), silver trevally and tiger flathead.

[7] Redfish, Jackass Morwong east, Silver Warehou and Blue Grenadier

assessments, and identify the methods that might be applied to update priority biological parameters. The Final Report was published in December 2022.

Developing a harvest control rule to use in situations where depletion can no longer calculated relative to unfished levels (FRDC 2022-006) aims to develop a Harvest Control Rule (HCR) for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) that can be used without a measure of B_0. The project provides examples of how suitable HCRs perform for a generic SESSF teleost species and a shark species (school shark).

Biological parameters for stock assessments in South Eastern Australia – an information and capacity uplift follows on from the previous project and aims to develop a series of projects that directly address priority areas for updating biological parameters for target species in the SESSF.

Identifying biological stocks of Silver Trevally and Ocean Jackets for assessment and management aimed to resolve tock uncertainty for two priority species across their national distributions, being Silver Trevally and Ocean Jackets to benefit future collaborative research, stock assessments and management arrangements.

An updated understanding of Eastern School Whiting stock structure and improved stock assessment for cross-jurisdictional management aims to fill uncertain stock structure of Eastern School Whiting, mixed species composition of whiting in northern NSW and representativeness of biological parameters currently used in the joint stock assessment to increase confidence in stock assessment outputs and help develop appropriate cross-jurisdiction management arrangements.

Improving and promoting fish-trawl selectivity in the Commonwealth Trawl Sector (CTS) and Great Australian Bight Trawl Sector (GABTS) of the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) aims to quantify the performance of discard and bycatch reduction strategies in the GABTS and SET sector and additionally providing recommendations for reducing discards and increasing net economic return and boat level profits in the trawl fisheries.

The South East Australian Maine Ecosystem Survey: untangling the effects of climate change and fisheries aims to establish new biological and environmental baselines, updates of completed voyages can be found on the CSIRO website.

Trials of oceanographic data collection on commercial fishing vessels in SE Australia aiming to increase the spatial resolution of oceanographic data collected in Australia's inshore, shelf, upper-slope and offshore waters.

Climate Adaptation Program

The effects of climate change on Commonwealth fisheries are becoming increasingly evident, to the point where management advice that does not consider this change could be rendered invalid. AFMA is undertaking a range of activities to ensure that management of Commonwealth fisheries is adaptive to the impacts of climate change.

Climate and Ecosystem Status Report for the SESSF

AFMA is working with CSIRO to prepare Climate and Ecosystem Status Reports for key fisheries to support discussions on climate and environmental variables that may influence the fishery. This report incorporates readily accessible indicators and forecasts of environmental variables relevant to the SESSF and is to be used as contextual information in the RAGs consideration of stock assessments and TACs. The information in the report is general and preliminary in nature, to support discussion and feedback on relevant indicators. It is intended that these reports will be refined over time, based on RAG and MAC feedback, and building upon relevant research as it becomes available.

Climate Risk Framework

The Climate Risk Framework (the Framework) was developed to assess the potential impacts of climate change on Commonwealth-managed fisheries. The Framework utilises the most robust information available to understand how climate change may impact a species and then prompts an exploration of adaptation responses using the tools that are available within the existing scientific, management and industry adaptation pathways.

The development of these species assessments has been informed by expert advice from the Climate Risk Framework Working Group (the Working Group), Shark Resource Assessment Group (SharkRAG), South East Resource Assessment Group (SERAG), Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery Resource Assessment Group (SESSFRAG) and South East Management Advisory Committee (SEMAC).

As illustrated in Figure 1, RAGs are responsible for completing Steps 1 through 4, including providing advice to the AFMA Commission from a scientific perspective. MACs can review the risk score established at Step 1 but are largely responsible for validating or adding to the measures identified at Step 2, validating the residual risk score at Step 3, and providing advice to the AFMA Commission from a management perspective. Oversight of the assessment process is provided by the Working Group.

Some SESSF species have been assessed using the above-described framework and the outcomes can be found under the “Climate Risk Framework Summary” heading. Full species assessments can be found on the AFMA website.



Figure 1 Four-step process, including the role of RAGs, MACs and the AFMA Commission when applying the Climate Risk Framework.

Explanation of RBC and TAC calculations

RBC and TAC recommendations

For the purpose of scheduling stock assessments and setting TACs, SESSF species are categorised into one of three groups based on current stock status (or estimate of fishing mortality - *F*), percentage of TAC caught, and whether they are a commercial species likely to be nominated as indicators or non-indicators under a revised multi-species harvest strategy (MSHS)[8].

Each year, SESSFRAG identifies species belonging to one of the categories and provides advice regarding TACs, including timeframes and assessment options.

Trigger Species

Trigger species are those that meet the following criteria:

- Stock status is estimated to be above the target reference point (TRP), or F<FMSY, and
- TAC is less than 75% caught, and
- Flagged as a non-indicator species under MSHS approach.

[8] Multi-species Harvest Strategy (MSHS) approach – see FRDC Project Report and SESSF Harvest Strategy Transitional Arrangements.

TACs are maintained for trigger species, subject to a 6-year threshold since the last stock assessment, at which point the relevant RAG will review available fishery indicator data and provide advice about stock assessment options and TACs for the following 6-year period.

Table 1 below includes a summary of the species in the trigger category, the year each species is scheduled to be reviewed, TAC, and review 'trigger' that prompts early consideration of the species by the relevant RAG. More detailed, historical assessment information for trigger species is available in the SESSF species summaries 2025.

Multi-year RBC species[9]

Multi-year RBC species are those that meet the following criteria:

- Stock status is estimated to be between the limit reference point (LRP) and target reference point (TRP), or
- TAC is more than 75% caught, or
- Flagged as a commercial indicator species under a MSHS approach.

The way in which the RBCs and TACs are applied depends on the assessment tier and length of the RBC period, as outlined below.

Note - the TAC recommendations for 2026-27 are based on proposed amendments to the SESSF HSF that the Commission will be asked to adopt, just prior to making the TAC decisions. The proposed changes include re-instating Tier 2 assessments, revised definition of Tier 3 assessments, and the adoption of discount factors associated with each Tierr level when setting the TAC.

- **Tier 1**: The RBC is based on modelled-projections from the most recent stock assessment, as either a single-year or multi-year RBC, for the year following the stock assessment through to the end of the recommended RBC period (or until the next scheduled assessment).
- **Tier 2 (yet to be agreed by Commission)**: The RBC is based on modelled-projections from the most recent stock assessment, as either a single-year or multi-year RBC, for the year following the stock assessment through to the end of the recommended RBC period (or until the next scheduled assessment).Tier 2 assessments follow the same fundamental modelling approach as Tier 1 (e.g. integrated assessments) but are classified separately due to reduced robustness such as diagnostic concerns, significant methodological changes or being newly developed or unproven.
- **Tier 3 (yet to be agreed by Commission)**: The RBC is recommended based on the single-year RBC from the most recent stock assessment, for the year following the stock assessment through to the end of the recommended RBC period (or until the next scheduled assessment). Tier 3 assessments use estimates of fishing mortality

[9] Previously known as MYTAC species. AFMA has adopted the nomenclature "multi-year RBC" to limit confusion as the deduction of state catches and discards often produced different TACs during a MYTAC period. AFMA will update this nomenclature in historical documents as they are reviewed or replaced.

derived from age-structured catch information, annual total catch, and key biological parameters, and may also incorporate data-limited population dynamic or simple surplus production models.

- **Tier 4**: The RBC is recommended based on the single-year RBC from the most recent stock assessment, for the year following the stock assessment through to the end of the recommended RBC period (or until the next scheduled assessment). The Dynamic Tier 4 (DT4) method is used for stocks that have limited available information, namely catch and standardized catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), akin to the long-standing traditional empirically based Tier 4 assessment method (Little et al., 2009) that has been used in the SESSF for many stocks for over 10 years. A major difference between these two methods is that the DT4 is based on a Biomass Dynamics Model (BDM) which can be fitted to multiple CPUE series, while the traditional Tier 4 method is empirically based. Both methods rely on the reference period, where the stock is assumed to be at the target reference point.
- **Tier 5 and 'weight-of-evidence' approach:** RBCs are based on the outputs of the 'preferred' Tier 5 methods identified using the FishPath tool. If harvest control rules cannot be specified using this approach, a weight-of-evidence approach may be used without an RBC being recommended.

TACs are then calculated by applying discount factors to the RBC (where required) and deducting all other known sources of mortality as follows:

- **Discount factors** - the SESSF Harvest Strategy provides for application of a discount factor to RBCs of 5% for Tier 2, 10% for Tier 3, 15% for Tier 4, and 20% for Tier 5. However, exceptions may be considered where demonstrable alternative equivalent precautionary measures are in place. Detail relevant to the application of the discount factor is included in each of the individual species' summaries below. Trigger species are subject to triggers at 25% of the agreed TAC, which effectively acts as a buffer, and are not subject to additional discounts.
- **Discards, State and recreational catch and research catch allowances (RCAs)** - When other sources of mortality arising from discarded catch, catch taken by States, recreational or an RCA are included in an assessment, they are subtracted from the RBC to produce a Commonwealth TAC.

Depleted Species

Depleted species are those that have a biomass estimated to be below the limit reference point (LRP). A single-year bycatch is recommended each year in accordance with the relevant Rebuilding Strategy.

Table 1. Trigger species summary (footnotes overleaf)

Species/stock	Previous assessment or RAG review	Next review due	Fishing mortality	Biomass	Climate sensitivity	TAC or catch limit (t)	Catch trigger (t)	25-26 Catch (t) @ 05/01/2026	25-26 % of TAC caught @ 05/01/2026	RAG review outcome
Elephant Fish	2020	2026				114	86	17.5	15%	-
Smooth Oreo - other	2020	2026				90	68	0.06	0%	-
Ocean Perch	2020	2026				318	236	61.6	19%	-
Ribaldo	2020	2026				393	295	53.4	14%	-
Saw Shark	2020	2026				525	395	74.0	14%	-
Blue-eye Trevalla seamount	2021	2027				36	27	7.0	19%	-
Gemfish - West	2022	2028				180	135	117.8	65%	-
Boarfish ECDWT (catch limit)	2023	2029				200	10	0	0%	-
Orange Roughy Cascade	2024	2030				397	295	0	0%	Addition
Alfonsino	2024	2030				1,017	50	0	0%	No change
Smooth Oreo – Cascade	2024	2030				150	50	0	0%	No change
Royal Red Prawn[1]	2025	TBC				TBC				

Table 1 Footnotes

2025 RAG review outcomes

1) An “out-of-cycle” assessment on Royal Red Prawn (see “Slope Species” chapter) was undertaken in 2025 to satisfy a condition on the Marine Stewardship Council certification for this species. A decision on whether or not this species remains a “trigger species” will be made at the March 2026 Commission meeting.

Explanation of colour coding used in Table 1.

ABARES stock status from Butler *et al.* 2024.
Uncertain
No overfishing (Fishing mortality)
Not overfished (Biomass)

Climate sensitivity from Fulton *et al.* 2024
Uncertain
Low
Medium
High

Great Australian Bight Species

Bight Redfish

Centroberyx gerrardi



Species Summary						
Stock Assessment	Tier 2 assessment – last assessed by GABRAG in 2022					
Stock Structure	Assessed as a single stock.					
Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	2	2022	66	66	41	20
	1	2019	64	64		
	1	2015	62	60		
Stock trend and other indicators	Modelling suggests a slow decline in spawning biomass, consistent with the fish-down of a developing fishery to near the target in 2009. Annual catches peaked in the mid-2000s, before declining to 200-300 t p.a. which has resulted in a steady increase of the stock to an estimated biomass of 67% B0 at the start of 2023-24. Depletion of the stock occurred more rapidly in the mid-2000s, when substantial fishing effort occurred, but the stock has never fallen below the Maximum Economic Yield (MEY) biomass target. Annual standardised CPUE trend is flat since 1992 and oscillating above and below average and this is despite major changes in the distribution of the log (CPUE) from 2012–2022. The number of vessels involved in the fishery are now low (< 10 since 2006), so the interpretation of CPUE should also consider which vessels are fishing and where (Sporcic, 2022).					
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach**					
	The current season (2025–26) is the 3rd year of a 3-year RBC period. The next assessment is scheduled for 2028.					
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**		
	2025-26	935	1031	-		
	2024-25	959	1055	246		
	2023-24	959	1048	209		
Economics	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**		

(Primary) Great Australian Bight Trawl	2022-23	0.77	8.78	8.77
	2021-22	0.94	9.45	9.95
	2020-21	1.08	10.64	10.15
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**	
Climate Risk Framework Summary				
Step 1: risk score	**Low**. While Bight Redfish is considered to be at 'High' risk from climate change, the 2022 stock assessment estimated the biomass to be 'Above Target' which results in an overall risk score of 'Low'.			
Step 2: Adaptation measures	N/A as species assessed as 'Low' risk at Step 1			
Step 3: residual risk	N/A as species assessed as 'Low' risk at Step 1			
Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SERAG (October 2025) noted that for Bight redfish, climate change is not expected to have an immediate impact on the stock. SERAG agreed that no immediate response, beyond periodic review of existing data collection and monitoring programs, is required. SERAG also noted that uncertainty in the current stock assessment is expected to be addressed shortly through an update to the assessment as well as the implementation of the Interim SESSF Harvest Strategy that will re-introduce Tier 2 stock assessments and associated discount factor, to account for assessment uncertainty where required. SEMAC advice pending.			
Assessment summary				
Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	Single stock (Zone 80). Two sex model. One fleet: Trawl (separated for different sources of length data – ISMP, Industry, GAB-FIS). Selectivity is allowed to vary between the GAB-FIS and the trawl fleet. Discards are minimal and not included in the assessment. Natural morality rate (M): estimated at 0.1049 (well estimated, range 0.091-0.107). Recruitment deviations: estimated (1960-61 – 2007-08).			
Significant Changes to data inputs	The catches from South Australia were aggregated by financial year. The catch series was revised to include catches from southern Western Australia (535 t total). Male and female Bight Redfish were assumed to have different growth parameters including growth coefficient, asymptotic length, & length at age-0.			

Assessment results and RAG comments	GABRAG (Dec 2022) noted the following from Curin-Osorio & Burch (2022): - The likelihood profile for natural mortality indicates that M is quite well estimated and similar to the 2019 assessment. The age data are most influential, with the index (higher mortality) and length data (lower mortality) showing some conflict. The estimated range (95% CI) is from 0.091 to 0.107 which is slightly lower than the 2019 assessment. - The likelihood profile for steepness of the Beverton Holt stock recruitment relationship (h) suggests it is poorly estimated, flat, and uninformative. The likelihood profile shows h cannot be estimated and is therefore fixed at h=0.75 in the base case. - The model is unable to fit the recent GAB-FIS indices and the recent trawl CPUE estimates between 2016-17 and 2021-22. - The 2022 base case assessment estimated the unexploited female spawning stock biomass (B0) to be 4,535 t, compared to 4,019 in the 2019 assessment. Average estimated length for females was 42.54 cm and for males was 38.98 cm. The length at one year old for females was estimated at 19.66 cm and males at 19.87 cm. The growth rate is estimated to be 0.07 for females and 0.08 for males. Spawning biomass declined from 2005-06 until 2009-10. From 2010-11 until 2021-22 there has been a reduction of fishing mortality with an increase in biomass to well above the target level. Fishing pressure was above the target from 2005-06 to 2008-09, however has since dropped below the target level over the last 13 years. Under the 20:35:41 harvest control rule, the 2023-24 RBC is 1,056 t, with a 3-year average of 994 t and a long-term yield of 595 t per annum. The projected 2023-24 spawning stock biomass is estimated to be 67% SSB_0, compared with 68% in the 2022 preliminary base case and 64% for 2020-21 in the 2019 assessment. At its October 2023 meeting, GABRAG noted the potential impacts of climate change on Bight Redfish (as suggested by the Atlantis model) as well as the fact the TAC has been significantly under caught for many years. Reasons for this undercatch include that: - Fleet size is small (generally four or fewer vessels) - Catches of Bight Redfish are seasonal and are of lower unit value compared to Deepwater Flathead - Some operators work under a self-imposed trip limits to avoid flooding the market with Bight Redfish and reducing the price, and - Deepwater Flathead is the key targeted species in the GAB as it holds its value even when large volumes enter the market. GAB operators tend to fish their quota for Deepwater Flathead before they target other species. At its November 2024 meeting, GABRAG considered a request from the Great Australian Bight Industry Association (GABIA) for an increase in the undercatch provisions for Bight Redfish and Deepwater Flathead during the 2024–25 season (as this determines the percentage of the TAC that can be carried over to the subsequent season).

	This request followed mechanical issues with two of the three otter trawlers in the fleet and the recent retirement of the single Danish seiner, which significantly reduced fishing effort (and consequently catch) during the first six months of the 2024–25 season. Catch rates of both species were high when the boats were operational, potentially due a strong Bonney upwelling. The AFMA Commission considered this request when it met in March 2025 but did not vary the undercatch provision (from 10%) on the basis that adequate quota will be available to cover a 2-3-fold increase in catch (if this were to occur) during the 2025-26 season.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommended rolling over the 3-year average RBC of 994 t for Bight Redfish into the 2026-27 SESSF season. The RAG noted that the Bight Redfish assessment has been deferred until 2028, that the stock is well above the TRP, and that it has been lightly fished in recent years due to operational reasons.

A SERAG working group met in December 2025 to provide guidance on the classification of the 2022 Bight Redfish assessment under the interim SESSF Harvest Strategy The working group recommended that 2022 assessment be classified as a Tier 2 assessment due to poor fits to the data and uncertainty in assessment outputs.

The 3-year RBC value produced by the 2022 assessment will now be subject to a 5% discount factor.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC (t)	2025-26	934	Static 3-year RBC of 994 t based on the 3-year average RBC from the 2022 assessment
	2024-25	993	
	2023-24	1,056	
	3-year average	994	
Discount Factor (t)	50	5% discount factor as per the Interim SESSF Harvest Strategy.	
State catches (t)	71	State catches from SA and southern WA waters are deducted from the RBC.	
Discards (t)	N/A	Estimates of discards are considered low and are not deducted from the RBC.	
Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	Recent estimates of recreational catch for SA or WA are not available. Recreational catches are not included in the assessment and are not deducted from the RBC.	
RCA (t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	

Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy	873 t

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends a TAC of 873 t for Bight Redfish during the 2026–27 season with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10% and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
935				

Deepwater Flathead

Neoplatycephalus conatus



Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 Species - last assessed by GABRAG in November 2023.					
Stock Structure	Assessed as a single stock.					
Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2023	44	44	43	20
	1	2019	45	45		
	1	2016	45	34		
Stock trend and other indicators	Estimated spawning biomass has decreased since the last assessment (in 2019) and is now just above the target of 43% Annual standardized CPUE has been cyclical in the early years following the increases and decreases in catches (prior to 2007) and relatively flat and mostly below average since 2005, despite the small increases since after 2017. The 2023 standardized CPUE is slightly above the long-term average, the first time since 2010. The total GAB catch of 522 t in 2023 is the highest since 2016.					
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach** The current season (2025-26) is the 2nd year of a 3-year RBC period. The next assessment will be conducted in 2026.					
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth retained catch (t)**		
	2025-26	940	1,034	-		
	2024-25	940	1,064	646		
	2023-24	1,238	1,357	721		
Economics (Primary) Great Australian Bight Trawl	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**		
	2022-23	4.32	8.78	49.20		
	2021-22	5.50	9.45	58.20		
	2020-21	5.92	10.64	55.64		
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**			

Climate Sensitivity

Medium



Atlantis modelling suggests that both fishing and climate change have influenced the state of the stock.

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters

Description	Parameter		
Years	y	1988/89 – 2022/23	
Recruitment Deviates	r	estimated 1980 - 2016	
Fleets		Trawl and DS	
Abundance indices		GABFIS, Trawl CPUE	
Discards		negligible, not fitted	
Age classes	a	0 – 29 years	
Sex ratio	p_s	0.5 (1:1)	
Natural mortality	M	estimated (male and female equivalent)	
Steepness	h	0.75	
Recruitment variation	σ_r	0.7	
Female maturity		40 cm (TL)	
		Female	Male
Growth	L_{max}	fitted	fitted
	K	fitted	fitted
	L_{min}	fitted	fitted
	CV young	fitted	fitted
	CV old	fitted	fixed
Length-weight (based on standard length)	f_1	0.002 cm (TL)/gm	0.002
	f_2	3.332	3.339

Significant Changes to data inputs

GABRAG reviewed the preliminary base case for the Tier 1 assessment of Deepwater Flathead in October 2023 and accepted the inclusion of Danish seine as a separate fleet in the assessment model, noting that the likelihood profiles and retrospectives are not likely to be very different.

Assessment results and RAG comments	The assessment projected that Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) at the start of the 2024–25 season will be 44% of virgin stock biomass. This concurs with the SSB estimates from the three previous Deepwater Flathead assessments (in 2013, 2016 and 2019) which have ranged from 44–45% of virgin stock biomass. The 2024–25 RBC for Deepwater Flathead under the 20:35:43 harvest control rule is 1,220 t. The average RBC over the four-year period 2024–25 to 2027–28 is 1,209 t. The long-term RBC is 1,199 t. While reviewing the preliminary base case, GABRAG noticed that the estimated recruitment deviations were below the long-term average in 8 of the 10 years up to the point where they are fixed in the model (i.e., from 2017 onwards). GABRAG requested that a sensitivity be conducted using the average of the estimated recruitment deviations from 2007–2016 (hereafter referred to as the 'recent 10-year average recruitment') applied from 2017 onwards. Fixed catch scenarios were then projected under the recent 10-year average recruitment scenario, with values chosen between current catches and the 2024 RBC. GABRAG reviewed these projections when it met again on 22 November 2023 and noted that fixed catches of approximately 940 t will maintain the stock near the target of 43% of virgin spawning biomass under the recent 10-year average recruitment scenario. Fixed catches of 1070 t and 1200 t were predicted to reduce the relative spawning biomass over time, but it would take 20 years of constant catches of 1200 t (under the recent 10-year average recruitment scenario) to reduce SSB below 30% of virgin stock biomass The RAG noted that using projections with most recent biological parameters, including recruitment, is considered best practice globally, but ultimately accepted the finalised base case and associated 4-year average RBC value of 1,209 t. The decision to adopt this RBC value was not unanimous, with one member suggesting that the RBC be set at 940 t on the basis that recent recruitment appears to be below average and that the TAC is not currently constraining catches (which have averaged around 560 t over the last decade). The AFMA Commission (March 2024) ultimately set the TAC for Deepwater Flathead at 940 t to limit the likelihood of the stock falling below the biomass target. At its November 2024 meeting, GABRAG considered a request from the Great Australian Bight Industry Association (GABIA) for an increase in the undercatch provisions for Bight Redfish and Deepwater Flathead during the 2024–25 season (as this determines the percentage of the TAC that can be carried over to the subsequent season). This request followed mechanical issues with two of the three otter trawlers in the fleet and the recent retirement of the single Danish seiner, which significantly reduced fishing effort (and consequently catch) during the first six months of the 2024–25 season. Catch rates of both species were high when the boats were operational, potentially due a strong Bonney upwelling. The AFMA Commission considered this request when it met in March 2025 but did not vary the undercatch provision (from 10%) on the basis that adequate quota will be available to cover a 2-3-fold increase in catch (if this were to occur) during the 2025-26 season.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommended a maintaining the TAC at 940 tonnes for the 2026-27 season and noted that Deepwater Flathead is scheduled for assessment in 2026.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC (t)	2027	1,200	3-year RBC of 1,209 t based on the 4-year average RBC from the 2023 assessment
	2026	1,204	
	2025	1,211	
	2024	1,220	
	4-year average	1,209	
Discount Factor (t)	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.	
State catch (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of State catches.	
Discards (t)	N/A	Discards are considered low and are not included in the RBC.	
Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.	
RCA (t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		1,209 t (noting the Commission decision to set the TAC based on a constant catch projection of 940 t in 2024-25).	

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends a TAC of 940 t for Deepwater Flathead during the 2026–27 season (the 3rd year of a 3-year TAC period), with undercatch and overcatch provision set at 10% and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	

MAC advice and any dissenting views			
Undercatch (%)	**Overcatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**TAC (t)**

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
940				

Orange Roughy Albany and Esperance



ABARES (2012): Line Drawing – Rosalind Poole

Hoplostethus atlanticus

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	No quantitative assessment undertaken to date.			
Stock Structure	The stock structure of Orange Roughy in the AFZ remains unresolved. Multiple regional stocks of Orange Roughy are assumed. Orange Roughy in the GAB is managed as a non-target, bycatch only species.			
Stock trend and other indicators	In 2006, the SESSF (including the GAB but excluding the Cascade Plateau) was closed to targeted Orange Roughy fishing, due to stocks being below the limit reference point. Biomass was uncertain but predicted to be below 20%B_0 based on depletion of other Orange Roughy stocks.			
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach** Annual bycatch TAC set in accordance with the Orange Roughy Stock Rebuilding Strategy 2022.			
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**
	2025-26	50	50	-
	2024-25	50	50	0
	2023-24	50	50	0
Economics[10] **(Primary)** **Great Australian Bight Trawl**	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	0.42	8.78	4.78
	2021-22	0.18	9.46	1.90
	2020-21	0.002	10.64	0.02
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Uncertain**	

[10] *Note numbers have been updated since 2024-25 Species summaries due to calculation error.*

Climate Sensitivity **Neutral**	 Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a minor influence on the biomass of Orange Roughy. Depletion by fishing in the 1980s-1990s affected the species much more strongly than any climate related shifts.

Assessment summary

Assessment results and RAG comments	No quantitative stock assessment has been conducted for Orange Roughy in the GAB (including in the Albany and Esperance Quota Zones), as the available data are spatially and temporally dispersed, with no recent surveys or representative catch-trend data available to determine stock abundance. Albany and Esperance Orange Roughy are subject to an incidental bycatch TAC, implemented under the Orange Roughy Rebuilding Strategy.

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the bycatch TAC at 50 tonnes for Orange Roughy Albany and Esperance Quota zones during the 2026-27 SESSF season.

SERAG (November 2025) also supported a base RCA of 200 t for the 2026-27 SESSF season with an additional 200 t RCA to be granted if at least 150 t of the base RCA is caught.

RBC (t)	0	The RBC is set at zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor (t)	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to the incidental bycatch TAC
State catch (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of State catches.
Discards (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of discards.
Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	There are no known recreational catches for Orange Roughy.
RCA (t)	200	A base 200 t RCA to be issued under the Orange Roughy Research Plan for the GAB Trawl Fishery.

		The issue of an additional 200 t RCA will only be considered if 150 t of the base RCA is caught.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		50 t - incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA recommends an incidental bycatch TAC of 50 t for Orange Roughy Albany and Esperance during the 2026–27 season, with under/overcatch provisions set at 0 t, and a determined amount of 2 t.

AFMA also recommends a 200 t RCA for Orange Roughy Albany and Esperance during the 2026–27 season, with an additional 200 t RCA to be issued (within this season) if at least 150 t of Orange Roughy is caught in one or two of the more accessible Orange Roughy Management Zones (near Port Lincoln). The additional 200 t RCA would only apply to those remaining zones where minimal research fishing has occurred. These arrangements mirror those in place for the current season.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
50				

Shark Species

Gummy Shark

Mustelus antarcticus

Fisheries Research & Development Corporation (2012)

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 species – last assessed by SharkRAG in December 2023.					
Stock Structure	Gummy shark is endemic to southern Australia. It is considered a single genetic stock across the SESSF extending from Bunbury in WA to Jervis Bay in NSW. The single genetic stock is assessed as 3 separate sub-stocks within broad regions on the continental shelf of Bass Strait, Tasmania, and South Australia.					
Bass Strait Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2023	50	50	48	20
	1	2020	48	47		
	1	2016	59	49		
Tasmania Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2023	69	69	48	20
	1	2020	68	69		
	1	2016	83	67		
South Australia Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2023	63	63	48	20
	1	2020	67	66		
	1	2016	69	61		
Stock trend and other indicators	Spawning biomass Pup production is used as a proxy for spawning biomass; this is the number of pups, on average, expected to be produced each year by the stock's mature females. Pup depletion is the pup production in any year compared the unfished pup production and is the value used in the harvest control rule. Estimated pup production shows an increasing trend in recent years in South Australia and, while it has been steady in Bass Strait and Tasmania, it is now increasing in these areas. The 2023 preliminary base case model indicates pup depletion is well above the 48% target reference point (TRP) in South Australia and Tasmania according to the base case model (63% and 69% respectively). For the Bass Strait, the base case model estimates depletion to be just above the					

	TRP (50%). Pup depletion is above the 20% limit reference point (LRP) for all sub-stocks and all sensitivity models. The combined 2023 gillnet catch was the highest in the series since 2018 and corresponds to an 19% increase relative to 2018. The gillnet CPUE of the South Australia sub-stock was at the long-term average in 2022 but decreased in 2023 to be below average. Gillnet CPUE of the Bass Strait sub-stock is cyclical and was above the long-term average between 2021-2022 but is on average in 2023. Trawl CPUE has increased above the long-term average since 2012 despite the decrease in the most recent estimate. Manual line CPUE has mostly exceeded the long-term average between 2018-2023 while Danish seine CPUE has been mostly increasing above the long-term average since 2010 (Sporcic 2024).
Species Category Multi- year RBC	**TAC setting approach** The current season (2025–26) is the 2nd year of a 3-year RBC. The next assessment is scheduled for 2027.

Catch and TAC

SESSF fishing season	Agreed TAC (t)	TAC after unders/ overs (t)	Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)
2025-26	1,564	1,693	-
2024-25	1,558	1,710	1,542
2023-24	1,672	1,771	1,579

Economics (Primary) Gillnet, Hook and Trap

Financial Year	Species GVP ($m)	Fishery GVP ($m)	% Fishery GVP
2022-23	19.99	23.92	83.57
2021-22	18.41	21.06	87.42
2020-21	22.25	24.84	89.57

ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing Mortality: Not subject to overfishing**	**Biomass: Not overfished**

Climate Risk Framework Summary

Step 1: risk score	**Very Low** The impacts of climate change are considered to be 'Neutral' for gummy shark, and the stock status is estimated to be 'Above Target' which results in an overall risk score of 'Very Low'.
Step 2: Adaptation measures	N/A as species assessed as 'Very Low' risk at Step 1
Step 3: residual risk	N/A as species assessed as 'Very Low' risk at Step 1
Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SharkRAG (November 2025) noted that for gummy shark, climate change is not expected to have long-term impact on the species and agreed that no response is required at this time. SEMAC advice pending.

Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	**Stock Assumptions:** • Models three sub-stocks (Bass Strait, South Australia and Tasmania), each with its own recruitment series and CPUE power parameter, but with shared adult natural mortality parameter, gear selectivity's, and productivity/density dependence. • Model's sexes separately with fixed sex-specific growth rates, but shared adult natural mortality parameter. • Catch data for 1927 to 2022 for each stock and gear. • Stock-specific standardised gillnet CPUE data where effort is reported in net length (for combined mesh sizes) for Bass Strait (1976-2022), South Australia (split into 1984-1995 and 1996-2009 – series ends at 2009 because of management changes to protect Australian Sea Lions), and Tasmania (1990-2022). • Standardised trawl CPUE for Bass Strait (split into 1996-2005 and 2008-2022 to recognize the effect of management changes from 2005), South Australia (1996-2022) and Tasmania sub-stocks (1996-2022). • Shallow (<183m) line standardised CPUE for all stocks combined (bottom line CPUE from Sporcic (2023) using data for 0-200m). • Uses stock, sex, and gear-specific age composition data from 1986-2008 for 6-inch and 7-inch gillnet with a plus group of 10 years. • Conditional age-at-length data for 1995-2022, plus a group of 20 years. • Uses stock, sex, and gear specific length frequency data from 1974-2022. • Tag-recapture data for releases between 1952-2004. • Estimates effort saturation for each stock. • Does not estimate selectivity for gillnet, but does estimate logistic selectivity for trawl, shallow line, and deep line. • Estimates adult natural mortality for all stocks combined. • Does not use Danish seine fleet. • Does not use port length data (apart for SIDaC combined with ISMP onboard observer data). • Uses both age and length measurements when shark vertebrae are sampled and are available but only uses age data when length measurements are unavailable. **Number of fleets:** 7 fleets (6, 6.5, 7, 8-inch gillnets, trawl, shallow and deep line). **Natural Mortality:** 0.18 **Stock recruitment:** Above average recruitment for South Australia and Bass Strait sub-stocks in recent years. Tasmania sub-stock is also above average but is more recent and less pronounced. Recruitment deviations are at, or near, maximum estimated values for all stocks**.**
Significant Changes to data inputs	Changes to the base case model in 2023 from the 2020 base case model: • Updated input data (e.g., catch, CPUE, length, age-at-length) from 2020 up to, and including, 2022, greatly increasing the number of annual length frequencies for shallow line. • Corrections made to data processing that impacted data to 2019, including several corrections for condition age-at-length, which had only minor impact on model results. • Extended the plus age group in the model from 10 to 20, improving model fits to the conditional age-at-length data. • the gillnet CPUE time series of the South Australia sub-stock was split at 1995 into two sections for 1984-1995 and 1996-2009 to reflect improvements in fishing efficiency

	possibly due to the introduction of colour sounders or a switch in targeting away from school shark towards gummy shark – this improved model fit.
Assessment results and RAG comments	**Model Fits:** Generally, fits for the various parameters in the model are solid. However, the data did not fit well to the female growth curve as it is mis-specified with the model underestimating the ages of females at larger sizes. Sensitivities were tested that applied different growth curves but were not successful. Acknowledging the uncertainty, as the estimates of productivity are well above the LRP, SharkRAG were comfortable with the outcomes of the assessment. In addition, SharkRAG recommended that there is work undertaken to expand the sample collection from the South Australia and Tasmania sub-stocks, and to undertake work to improve the female growth curve before the next assessment. **Discards:** 4.9% discard rate **Stock Status:** The base model has the stock status above the target reference point for all three sub-stocks (Bass Strait, Tasmania and South Australia). **RBC outputs:** Unusually, despite the stock status remaining above target levels, the three-year average gummy shark RBC option (at 1,733 tonnes) is lower than the long-term RBC (at 1,755 tonnes). **Sensitivity testing:** The model is stable, with all sensitivity tests being relatively similar and above the TRP of 48%. Only two sensitivities for the Bass Strait sub-stock (using updated growth figures for both sexes and updated for only females) were below the TRP.

RAG Recommendations

SharkRAG (November 2025) recommended adopting the '3-year average' RBC of 1,733 tonnes as the basis for setting the TAC, noting that the precautionary adjustments applied in the previous two SESSF fishing seasons, when the Commission applied the first year (with an 8% reduction) and second year of the 'annual' RBC were intentionally temporary adjustments introduced specifically to reduce the incidental by-catch of school shark. Updated GLM analyses of the CKMR dataset indicate a high probability (>85%) that the school shark population has been increasing at a rate exceeding 5% per year since 2006. SharkRAG advised that this sustained positive population trend removes the scientific rationale for continuing to constrain the gummy shark TAC as a mechanism for mitigating school shark mortality. SharkRAG concluded that adopting the 3-year average RBC presents minimal risk, noting that the 8% TAC reduction applied in the 2024-25 fishing season to limit school shark by-catch resulted in the accumulation of 136 tonnes of uncaught ('banked) gummy shark quota.

RBC option	Year	Bass Strait	South Australia	Tasmania	Total
Annual	2024	1,026 t	597 t	208 t	1,831 t
	2025	971 t	548 t	189 t	1,708 t
	2026	956 t	525 t	179 t	1,660 t
3-year average	984 tonnes		557 tonnes	192 tonnes	1,733 tonnes

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC	2026	Annual – 1,660 t 3-year – 1,733 t	A multi-year RBC was recommended by SharkRAG (December) 2023; however, a one-year RBC was set subject to review in light of the need to constrain the school shark catch. SharkRAG (2025) recommended the
	2025	Annual – 1,708 t 3-year – 1,733 t	

	2024	Annual – 1,831 t 3-year – 1,733 t	3-year average RBC to be used to determine the TAC for the 2026-27 season.
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.	
State catch	**100 t**	The 2021-2024 weighted average State catches was estimated at 100 tonnes. Historically, State allocations established under the MOU with South Australia and Victoria were deducted from the RBC. However, in 2018, SharkRAG recommended deducting the 4-year weighted average State catch (comprising catches from South Australia, Victoria and Tasmania) from the RBC, consistent with approach applied to other species within the SESSF. Catches from New South Wales and Western Australia are not included in the assessment.	
Discards	38.5 t	The 2021-2024 weighted average discards for trawl and GHAT was estimated at 38.5 tonnes. Because a trawl discard estimate was not available for 2024, the 2023 discard rate was used in the calculation.	
Recreational Catch	N/A	Estimates of recreational catches are available from South Australia in 2001 and 2008 but are considered uncertain and as such are not included in the assessment or deducted from the RBC.	
Research Catch Allocation	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		1,595 tonnes (3-year average RBC)	

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a TAC of 1,595 tonnes for gummy shark for the 2026–27 SESSF fishing season. The recommendation is based on the 3-year average RBC and represents an increase of 31 tonnes from the 2025-26 TAC.

AFMA management also recommends an undercatch provision of 10 per cent, an overcatch provision of 10 per cent, and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
1,564 tonnes				

School Shark

Galerhinus galeus

Fisheries Research & Development Corporation (2012)

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 species – last assessed by SharkRAG in 2018 using an age-structured close-kin mark-recapture (CKMR) assessment model.				
Stock Structure	Tagging and genetic data suggests a relatively well mixed stock with some evidence for localisation of stocks, or reproductively isolated sub-stocks.				
Stock status against reference points %B_0 in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2018	50 000 mature individuals in 2000 and increasing at ~ 3% p.a. Status unknown relative to B_0	48	20
	1	2016	<20		
	1	2012	<20		
Stock trend and other indicators	The age-structured CKMR assessment model by Thomson et al., (2020) provided an estimate of current absolute abundance with trends back to 2000. It did not provide an estimate of depletion relative to B_0. This model indicated that the stock had recovered slightly during the period from 2000 to 2017 although the CV on the trend of abundance was so large a downward trend could not be ruled out. Gillnet CPUE is no longer considered a reliable index of abundance for school shark due to changes in fisher behaviour driven by management constraints. Strict incidental catch limits create strong incentives for fishers to actively avoid school shark, thereby introducing avoidance bias that causes CPUE to diverge from true stock abundance trends. Despite representing a minor component of total catch, trawl CPUE has shown an increasing trend since 2003. Thomson et al (2020) estimated an average annual increase of ~8% between the mid-2000s and mid-2010s. Since 2016, annual standardized trawl CPUE has remained above the long-term average. While the 2023 estimate shows a decline relative to the previous year, it remains above average the long-term average (Sporcic 2024a). In 2016, SharkRAG noted that this increasing trend suggests the stock is rebuilding and was consistent with industry reports that school shark, particularly juveniles, are in relatively high abundance.				
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach**				
	Annual bycatch TAC set in accordance with the School Shark Rebuilding Strategy.				

<table>
<tr><td rowspan="4">Catch and TAC</td><td>SESSF Fishing Year</td><td>Agreed TAC (t)</td><td>TAC after unders/ overs (t)</td><td>Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</td></tr>
<tr><td>2025-26</td><td>207</td><td>207</td><td>-</td></tr>
<tr><td>2024-25</td><td>197</td><td>196</td><td>192</td></tr>
<tr><td>2023-24</td><td>225</td><td>225</td><td>219</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">Economics (Secondary)

Gillnet, Hook and Trap</td><td>Financial Year</td><td>Species GVP ($m)</td><td>Fishery GVP ($m)</td><td>% Fishery GVP</td></tr>
<tr><td>2022-23</td><td>2.89</td><td>23.92</td><td>12.08</td></tr>
<tr><td>2021-22</td><td>2.06</td><td>21.06</td><td>9.78</td></tr>
<tr><td>2020-21</td><td>1.96</td><td>24.84</td><td>7.89</td></tr>
<tr><td>ABARES Status (2024 report)</td><td colspan="2">Fishing Mortality: Subject to overfishing</td><td colspan="2">Biomass: Overfished</td></tr>
<tr><td>Climate Sensitivity
Medium</td><td colspan="4">Preliminary projections indicate a decline of up to 20% in abundance through to 2040, with high confidence, due to climate sensitivity.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Assessment summary</td></tr>
<tr><td>Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters</td><td colspan="4">The CKMR assessment model assumes there is one well mixed stock.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Significant changes to data inputs</td><td colspan="4">The Shark Industry Data Collection (SIDaC) program continues to collect kin samples as a key input to the CKMR assessment.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Assessment results and RAG comments</td><td colspan="4">Stock assessments conducted during the 1990s and 2000s consistently indicated that the school shark stock was below the limit reference point (LRP) of 20% of unfished biomass. These assessments primarily relied on gillnet CPUE as an abundance index; however, management measures introduced to protect the species reduced the reliability of gillnet CPUE, as early as the mid-1990s.

To promote recovery, a School Shark Stock Rebuilding Strategy was implemented in 2008 and revised in 2015, with the objective of rebuilding the stock to B_{20} within a biologically reasonable timeframe of three generations (66 years). Under the current rebuilding strategy, school shark TACs are set at minimum incidental by-catch levels to prevent targeting fishing.

Recognising that gillnet CPUE was no longer a reliable indicator of stock abundance, SharkRAG (September 2012, November 2012 and March 2013) recommended identifying alternative abundance indicators for school shark. This evaluation resulted in the adoption of CKMR as the preferred assessment method for school shark, as it provides an estimate of absolute abundance independent of fishing behaviour.

SharkRAG (October 2018) endorsed the age-structured CKMR assessment, noting high confidence in the absolute abundance estimates but lower confidence in the estimated trend. While the assessment was accepted, it only provided projected by-catch TACs for three years, covering up to the 2021–22 SESSF fishing season.</td></tr>
</table>

	In the absence of updated TAC projections, by-catch TACs for the past three fishing seasons have been determined using a largely consistent, log-book based methodology (the logbook method). This method accounts for the minimum unavoidable by-catch of the Commonwealth gummy shark fishery, associated discards and state catch, while incorporating an assumed 3% annual population growth rate (derived from Thomson et al 2020) applied over a two-year projection period. Development of the updated age-structured CKMR assessment model has been delayed until 2026. In the interim, recent analyses of CKMR data using two generalised linear models (GLMs) estimated an annual population growth rate of 4-7.5% over the period 2006-2020, with an 85% probability of exceeding 5% under the more sophisticated of the two GLM models that accounts for ageing error (Thomson et al. 2025). This latter estimate is substantially higher than the previously assumed 3% annual population growth rate and is considered more robust as it incorporates a broader range of cohorts. Given the delays in finalising the age-structured CKMR assessment model and the inability for the GLMs to perform forward projections, SharkRAG again applied the logbook method to determine the by-catch TAC. However, it adopted a population growth rate of 5% rather than the previously used 3% to update the CKMR mortality threshold, as this was considered both the most robust estimate from the GLM analyses (with 85% confidence that the real value is this large or greater) and a precautionary approach. The process involved: • calculating the average annual catch between 2006 and 2010: **246.6 tonnes**. This time period was chosen to be consistent with the GLM analyses. • projecting this forward at 5% per annum, starting in 2011 through to 2028. • determining the average projected catch for 2026–2028: **565 tonnes** (proxy for CKMR mortality threshold). The forecasted State removals (S) and Commonwealth discards (D) were subtracted from the CKMR mortality threshold using the formula provided below to calculate the TAC of 446 tonnes (summarised in table below). **TAC = CKMR mortality threshold – S – D**, where: • D is expected future Commonwealth discards, calculated as 0.885 times (assumes a live release survival rate of 11.5% from Braccini et al 2012) the 4-year weighted average of historical Commonwealth discards, then scaled forward over a two-year projection period using an annual population growth rate of 5%. • S is expected future State removals, calculated as the 4-year weighted average of State catch adjusted by an additional 4% to account for the discarding of lice-damaged fish, then scaled forward over a two-year projection period using an annual population growth rate of 5%. Note that for the 2025-26 season catch by South Australia is assumed to be 13 tonnes, as it is a more reliable representation of recent catches following the introduction of management measures to constrain the catch of school shark in this state.

Logbook method	
CKMR mortality threshold for 2026-28	565 tonnes
Forecasted STATE removals (S)	51 tonnes
Forecasted COMMONWEALTH discards (D)	68 tonnes
TAC for school shark	**446 tonnes**

RAG Recommendations

SharkRAG (November 2025) recommended setting the incidental by-catch TAC for school shark at 446 tonnes for the next three SESSF fishing seasons (2026-27, 2027-28 and 2028-29). This represents an increase of 239 tonnes (115%) from the current TAC of 207 tonnes.

In formulating this advice, SharkRAG considered the large change rule under the Commonwealth Fisheries Harvest Strategy Policy (CFHSP) which is designed to limit year-to-year variations in TACs of greater than 50%, could be applied. However, SharkRAG agreed not to apply this rule, as updated scientific information indicates that the stock is rebuilding at a faster rate than previously assumed. A higher by-catch TAC was therefore deemed consistent with the latest evidence of stock status and recovery trajectories. The recommended TAC adjustment aims to align management with the most current data, while still maintaining a precautionary approach.

SharkRAG (November 2025) considered the use of a 5% annual population rate from 2006 to be precautionary, noting that trawl CPUE increased by ~8% per annum between the mid-2000s and mid-2010s (Thomson et al. 2020) and appears to have sustained a similar trend over the past decade. It also aligns with reports from industry that school shark are increasingly difficult to avoid and helps explain why discard levels have reached record highs over the past two years.

To uphold a precautionary management approach over the three-year period, SharkRAG proposed that the incidental by-catch TAC be governed by a set of breakout rules. If any of these rules are triggered in a given year, the TAC will be reviewed and, where appropriate, adjusted to ensure continued alignment with the School Shark Rebuilding Strategy.

These proposed breakout rules are:

- *Biological Performance Trigger #1*: if the age-structured CKMR model indicates population growth rate is <4% or >9%, the by-catch TAC will be reviewed and adjusted, if required, to ensure that rebuilding objectives continue to be met or the by-catch TAC is not unnecessarily constraining.
- *Biological Performance Trigger #2*: If stock status, as indicated by the Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) project, remains below the Limit Reference Point (LRP) or well above it, the by-catch TAC will be reviewed and adjusted, if required, to ensure the requirements of the CFHSP are met.
- *Catch Performance Trigger*: If discards are >50% of the catch in any single year, a review will be conducted to assess whether fishing mortality is higher than anticipated and an adjustment is needed to support continued rebuilding.

	○ *Assessment Quality Trigger*: If there are significant changes to the assessment methodology, data availability, or model assumptions that result in substantial revision of historical population estimates or population growth rate projections, the by-catch TAC will be reviewed and adjusted, if required, to ensure it remains consistent with the best available science. ○ *General Change Trigger* If there are significant changes to the fishery (e.g. environmental, operational, or other relevant factors.) the by-catch TAC will be reviewed and adjusted, if required, to ensure it remains consistent with the best available science. A multi-year by-catch TAC was recommended by SharkRAG (November 2025) to achieve a better balance of AFMA's objectives under the *Fisheries Management Act 1991* and the *Fisheries Administration Act 1991*, regarding ecological sustainability, maximising economic returns and efficient and cost-effective management. It will achieve this by: • continuing to support stock rebuilding, consistent with the intent of the School Shark Rebuilding Strategy, including: ○ maintaining the TAC as a by-catch TAC (i.e. non-targeted). ○ applying the methodology agreed by SharkRAG to set the by-catch TAC, with the revised rate of population increase, and accounting for other known sources of mortality (e.g. State catch and discards). • improving catch utilisation by transitioning discarded fish to retained fish, thereby reducing waste and increasing economic benefits to the fishery. • reducing unnecessary management-driven economic inefficiencies such as having to fish in marginal areas to avoid school shark or incurring reduced earnings due to high necessary discards. • providing stability for industry and time for the SharkRAG to develop more robust and enduring arrangements. This includes completing the age-structured CKMR population model (in 2026) and the MSE project, which will update stock status and support implementation of a Harvest Control Rule (HCR) for future TAC determinations. Additionally, the increase in by-catch TAC represents additional revenue for the fishery, supporting reinvestment in science to inform future management decisions.

RAG Recommendations

SharkRAG (November 2025) recommended setting the incidental by-catch TAC for school shark at 446 tonnes for the 2026-27, 2027-28 and 2028-29 SESSF fishing seasons.

This by-catch TAC was determined using the logbook method and maintains a precautionary approach with low biological and management risk. The inclusion of break-out rules provide multiple safeguards to ensure responsive management.

This approach offers stability for industry while key scientific research is completed and aligns with AFMA's legislative obligations by ensuring:

- continued stock rebuilding;
- improved economic returns to industry and the Commonwealth; and
- adaptive, evidence-based management.

RBC	0 t	The RBC is set at zero for all depleted species
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to bycatch TACs.
State catch	51 t	This is the 4-year weighted average of state catches (WA, NSW, Tas, Vic); for SA 13 tonnes was used because it was considered a much more reliable estimate of next year's catch due to management arrangements in place and effective.
Discards	68 t	88.5% of Commonwealth discard 4-year weighted average (assuming an 11.5% survival rate)
Recreational Catch	N/A	Recreational catch estimates are uncertain. Recreational catch is not included in the assessment and not deducted from the RBC.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		446 t – incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA recommends an incidental by-catch TAC for school shark at 446 tonnes for the 2026-27, 2027-28 and 2028-29 SESSF fishing seasons.

AFMA management also recommends no undercatch or overcatch provisions, and a determined amount of 2 tonnes.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
207				

Deepwater Species

Orange Roughy Eastern Zone

Hoplostethus atlanticus



ABARES (2012): Line Drawing – Rosalind Poole

<table>
<tr><th colspan="7">Species Summary</th></tr>
<tr><td>Stock Assessment</td><td colspan="6">Tier 1 Species - Last assessed by SERAG in 2025</td></tr>
<tr><td>Stock Structure</td><td colspan="6">Based on the existing data and fishery dynamics, multiple regional stocks of Orange Roughy are assumed, and the fishery is managed and assessed as a number of discrete regional stocks.
Recent genetic studies indicate little genetic diversity between all South East Australian stocks. However, they may be demographically separate.
For assessment purposes the eastern stock (primarily St. Helens Hill and St. Patricks Head) is assumed to also include catches taken from the Pedra Branca area in the Southern Zone.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">Stock status against reference points %B_0 in year +1)</td><td>Tier</td><td>Assessment Year</td><td>Biomass (from assessment year)</td><td>Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)</td><td>Target</td><td>Limit</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>2025</td><td>TBA</td><td>TBA</td><td rowspan="3">48</td><td rowspan="3">20</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>2021</td><td>30</td><td>30</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>2017</td><td>33</td><td>26</td></tr>
<tr><td>Stock trend and other indicators</td><td colspan="6">Stock status: The most recent assessment (2021) indicates that the stock is above the limit reference point and is estimated to be at 30% of unfished biomass (B0) in 2021 and projected to be at 30%B0 at the beginning of 2022 (Burch et al, 2021).

Biomass trend: the 2021 stock assessment indicates that biomass is continuing to increase, however revisions to the model have resulted in an overall downward revision to the estimate of relative biomass – see ‘Biomass revised in most recent assessment’ above.

Recent acoustic surveys (1999, 2006, 2010, 2012, 2013, 2016 and 2019) undertaken at St. Helen’s Hill and St. Patricks’ Head have estimated an increase in abundance, which supports the estimated increase in abundance from the Tier 1 stock assessments.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Species Category
Multi-year RBC</td><td colspan="6">TAC setting approach</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="6">The current season (2025–26) is the 4th year of four-year RBC period.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Catch and TAC</td><td colspan="2">SESSF season</td><td>Agreed TAC (t)</td><td>TAC after unders/overs (t)</td><td colspan="2">Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</td></tr>
</table>

	2025-26	880	961	-
	2024-25	879	1,320	1,235
	2023-24	975	995	538
Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	10.17	65.88	15.43
	2021-22	8.95	80.00	11.19
	2020-21	9.27	64.00	14.48
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**	

Climate Risk Framework Summary

Step 1: risk score	**Very Low** The impacts of climate change are considered to be 'Neutral' for orange roughy (East). While the stock is 'Below Target' it is considered sustainable and recovering from a previously overfished state. This results in an overall risk score of 'Very Low'.
Step 2: Adaptation measures	N/A as species assessed as 'Very Low' risk at Step 1
Step 3: residual risk	N/A as species assessed as 'Very Low' risk at Step 1
Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SERAG (October 2025) noted that for orange roughy (East), climate change is not expected to have long-term impact on the stock. Pending consideration of updated stock assessment results (next SERAG meeting January 2026), SERAG agreed that no response, beyond ongoing data collection and monitoring, is required. SERAG also noted that there is uncertainty regarding the impact of climate change on spawning aggregations and consider that bottom temperature data that is captured by the AOS could be used to assess this in the future, should it be required. Advice to be provided by SERAG and SEMAC.

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	Assessment to be completed and presented to another SERAG meeting on 28 January 2026. Results TBA
Significant Changes to data inputs	
Assessment results and RAG comments	

RAG Recommendations

SERAG recommendation TBA following 28th Jan 2026 out-of-session meeting

RBC	**Year**	**RBC (t)**	**RBC arrangements**
	2029		Yes.

	2028		(93% of the Eastern Zone Tier 1 stock assessment, with 7% apportioned to the Pedra Branca area of the Southern Zone.)
	2027		
	2026		
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.	
State catch	N/A	There are no estimates of State catches.	
Discards	TBA		
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no known recreational catches for Orange Roughy.	
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy			

AFMA Advice

AFMA management advice will be provided following assessment presentation to out-of-session SERAG meeting on the 28th Jan 2026.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
880				

Oreo basket



Species Summary

Species	Black oreodory (*Allocyttus niger*), spikey oreodory (*Neocyttus rhomboidalis*), warty oreodory (*Allocyttus verrucosus*) and other *Neocyttus spp*.
Stock Assessment	Tier 4 Species – last accepted assessment by SERAG in 2020
Stock Structure	Little is known about the stock structure of the oreo species in this quota basket. They are bentho-pelagic species that are caught mainly below 600 m. For assessment and management purposes they are treated as a single unit of stock through the SESSF.

Stock status against reference points (CTarg/CLim)

Tier	Assessment Year	$CPUE_{Recent}$	$CPUE_{Target}$	$CPUE_{Limit}$
4	2020	0.3986	0.4855	0.2023
4	2017	0.4297	0.4743	0.1976
4	2013	0.4076	0.464	0.1856

Stock trend and other indicators

Catches have been variable through time with spikes in 1992 and elevated catches from 1995–2001 after which catches declined and have remained relatively low since the implementation of the 700 m closure in 2007. The catch during the 10 years to the end of 2023 averaged 108 t. The majority of catch was taken from Orange Roughy zone 30, 20 followed by 50 (Sporcic, 2024d).

After an initial period of volatility from 1986–1994, standardised CPUE has been essentially flat since 2000. For another analysis that uses a shorter time series (i.e., from 1995–2021), the standardised CPUE has been essentially flat and mostly below average from 2002–2019. There have been increases to the long-term average between 2020 to 2022, and a subsequent decrease to below average in 2023, based on 95% confidence intervals. (Sporcic, 2024d).

Species Category
Multi-year RBC

TAC setting approach

The current season (2025–26) is the 5th year of three-year RBC period.

Catch and TAC

SESSF season	Agreed TAC (t)	TAC after unders/overs (t)	Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)
2025-26	137	144	-
2024-25	137	148	103
2023-24	137	149	68

Economics (Byproduct)

Financial Year	Species GVP ($m)	Fishery GVP ($m)	% Fishery GVP

Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	2022-23	0.38	65.88	0.57
	2021-22	1.00	80.00	1.25
	2020-21	0.40	64.00	0.67
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Uncertain**		**Biomass: Uncertain**	
Climate Sensitivity **Uncertain**	There is currently no available information regarding climate change sensitivity for mixed oreos.			

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	The Tier 4 assessment assumes there is a linear relationship between catch rates and exploitable biomass; if there is hyper-stability (catch rates remain stable while stock size changes) or hyper-depletion (catch rates decline much faster than stock size changes) then the standard Tier 4 analysis would provide biased results.
Significant Changes to data inputs	No significant changes to data inputs for 2020 assessment.
Assessment results and RAG comments	It has become apparent that spikey oreodory are being reported as 'oxeye' in logbooks. For the purpose of the Tier 4 assessment, logbook records of oxeye are assumed to be spikey oreodory. Most catches are from Zones 10 and 20. Estimated discards for 2021 were 196 t, resulting in a 4-year weighted average of 128.4 t. Once deducted from the RBC, the resulting TAC is approximately 42 t. Including discard estimates from 1986-2006 in the updated assessment has resulted in an increase in CTarg. SERAG (Dec 2020) recommended a 3-year RBC period based on the 170.2 t RBC estimated from the 2020 Tier 4 assessment. Discard estimates for Oreo basket have shown large fluctuations in recent years and have failed the validity criteria imposed by CSIRO in most instances. The last accepted discard estimate for this basket of species (using data for the 2020 calendar year) was 33.2 t. Deduction of this amount from 170.2 t RBC produced a TAC 137 t for the 2022–23 season. AFMA sought advice from SERAG in January 2023 regarding potential over-estimation of oreo discards given the broad depth range of the strata from which the discards were extrapolated. AFMA provided SERAG with recent catch and discard maps for flathead and oreos which showed a clear depth delineation between the two groups of fishes. On this basis SERAG were comfortable with maintaining the 137 t TAC for the 2023–24 season. AFMA provided updated catch and discard maps for flathead and oreos to SESSFRAG in August 2023 and the RAG recommended that the 2023–24 TAC be maintained for the 2024–25 season (as the 4th year of a 3-year RBC period).

	Investigations by CSIRO into potential “hidden” strata in zones 30, 40 and 50 began in 2024 but have since been deferred to 2026.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommended maintaining the RBC of 170 t from the 2020 assessment. SESSFRAG noted that the next assessment will be conducted, and discard strata concerns identified by SERAG investigated in 2026.

RBC	**Year**	**RBC (t)**	**RBC arrangements**
	2023	170.2	Yes. 3-year RBC.
	2022	170.2	
	2021	170.2	
Discount Factor	N/A	SERAG (December 2020) recommended not applying the discount factor due to 40 per cent of the historical oreo fishing grounds being protected by deepwater closures.	
State catch	N/A	There are no estimates of State catch.	
Discards	145.3	Four-year weighted averaged (2019-2022). See section above regarding discard estimates.	
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no known recreational catches as oreo are a deepwater species and are not targeted by recreational fishers.	
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		N/A – invalid discard estimates do not allow for calculation of a provisional TAC.	

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends maintaining the 137 t TAC for Oreo basket during 2026–27 season (using the 170 t RBC from the previous assessment and the 33 t discard estimate from 2020), as the sixth year of a three-year RBC period, with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10 per cent and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers’ interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC				
2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	**2026-27 recommended TAC (t)**	**Overcatch and undercatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**Change in TAC (t)**
137				

Deepwater Shark basket - East



Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach. A dynamic Tier 4 assessment of the deepwater shark east basket is in development.				
Stock Structure	Little is known about the stock structure of Deepwater Sharks. They are bentho-pelagic species that have been sampled in oceanic environments over the abyssal plains and are distributed widely across ocean basins and along the middle and lower continental shelves. The eastern management area extends south from NSW, clockwise around Tasmania to approximately 42ºS on its western coast, including to the centre of Bass Strait to 146º22'E.				
Stock status against reference points	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**$CPUE_{Recent}$**	**$CPUE_{Target}$**	**$CPUE_{Limit}$**
	Weight of Evidence	2021–2025	SERAG considered available indicator data each year from 2020–2023 and noted that there were no immediate risks to stock sustainability.		
	Standard Tier4	2018	0.5332	1.1592	0.4830
Stock trend and other indicators	Catches for eastern Deepwater Sharks with closures declined steadily from 1996 to a low in 2007 when the 700 m closure was introduced. Since the borders of this closure were modified in 2009 (and 2016) catches have increased again to reach an average of 34 t per annum (i.e., since 2016) with fewer vessels contributing significantly to this fishery relative to the 1990's. The 50 t catch in 2019 was the highest recorded since 2006 (i.e., for the period after 2005). Nevertheless, fishing appears to be consistent and the standardised CPUE trend has been essentially low and flat since 2010 (Sporcic, 2024d). Standardised CPUE in the open area exhibits a relatively flat and mostly below average trend since 2010. The removal of catch from the 700 m closure, made minimal differences to standardized CPUE compared to CPUE indices which included the closure in analyses. (Sporcic, 2024d).				
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach**				
	The deepwater shark basket (east) is currently managed through a single-year RBC.				
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**	
	2025-26	24	26	-	
	2024-25	24	26	16	
	2023-24	24	26	13	
Economics (Secondary)	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**	

Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	2022-23	Not Available	65.88	Not Available
	2021-22	Not Available	80.00	Not Available
	2020-21	Not Available	64.00	Not Available
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Uncertain**		**Biomass: Uncertain**	
Climate Sensitivity **Uncertain**	There is currently no available information regarding climate change sensitivity of Deepwater Sharks.			

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	The Tier 4 assessment assumes there is a linear relationship between catch rates and exploitable biomass, and that the character of the estimated catch rates has not changed significantly since the reference period to the end of the most recent year. This is a basket of species, and a key assumption is that the combined species CPUE broadly reflects the trends in CPUE for all the contributing species. Approximately 80% of the catch is *Deania calcea* (brier shark). The assessment is based on open areas of the fishery only. The catch rates used in the analysis are based on log-transformed catches rather than log-transformed catch/effort. This was a SERAG decision relating to how the sharks are fished.
Significant Changes to data inputs	N/A
Assessment results and RAG comments	SERAG (November 2018) accepted the results of the Tier 4 assessment and the 2019 RBC of 10 t. However, given that deepwater sharks are typically considered as bycatch, a reduction in the TAC would likely lead to discarding, with implications for the CPUE series. The RAG therefore recommended that the TAC be set the current catch level at the time, that is 24 t., noting that there would be minimal risk associated with maintaining current catch levels. This TAC has been applied since the 2019–20 season, initially through a 3-year TAC and then as a single-year TAC. Fishery indicators were reviewed by SERAG (Nov 2022) noting the following: • Catches are low relative to past but increasing. • Commercial CPUE is increasing. • Lengths (*D. calceus*) not showing a trend and large females are still present. • Research surveys not showing trend, (except in a past survey Kapala on the upper shelf). • Species composition is variable but not alarming (Thomson et al. 2022). Thomson et al. 2022 found that any quantitative assessment, up to an including a Tier 1-style age-structured assessment model could be applied, albeit with unavoidable uncertainty regarding catches and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), particularly during 1985–1996 as well as likely unrepresentativeness of length composition data due to spatial population structure. A Tier 4 assessment is a possibility for Deania spp., with the new ‘dynamic Tier 4’ (if MSE testing shows it to be reliable) likely to be an improvement on the traditional Tier 4 because it will estimate depletion during the reference period. It also

	identified further work that might be done to refine the estimated catch and CPUE time series, as well as to better understand the spatial structuring of the population through characterisation of habitat preferences by *Deania calceus*. A better understanding of habitat would improve understanding of the level of protection provided by the spatial closures. Declining catches between 1997 and 2000, and a significant reduction in FRV Kapala survey catch rate for Deania spp. (in 200–605 m depth off New South Wales, NSW) caused concern in the past, but current indicators of stock health (rising catches and catch rates, noisy but stable length frequencies) show no cause for concern in recent years (but do not provide a measure of Deania spp. abundance on the NSW upper slope, specifically) (Thomson et al. 2022). SERAG (Nov 2023) noted that the 2023 RBC estimate for Deepwater Shark (East) produced by the Dynamic Tier 4 assessment was ~8.2 t; less than both the reported catch in 2022 (~12.2 t) and the current (2023–24) TAC of 24 t. The RAG advised that the Dynamic Tier 4 assessment for Deepwater Shark (East) requires further refinement, a complete catch history and MSE testing before the method is accepted. SESSFRAG (Apr 2024) considered the results of the MSE of the Dynamic Tier 4 assessment method and recommended the use of this approach in preference to empirical Tier 4 assessments where practicable. The MSE showed that the Dynamic Tier 4 approach has improved performance compared to the empirical Tier 4 because it provided improved performance statistics, reduced variability in RBCs and reduced sensitivity to chosen reference years with fewer assumptions required and the option to fit multiple CPUE series. A Dynamic Tier 4 assessment of deepwater shark (east) was scheduled for 2024 but had to be deferred once it became apparent that further work was required on the catch reconstruction for both this and the deepwater shark (west) basket. Both deepwater shark baskets are scheduled for assessment in 2026.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (Nov 2025) recommended maintaining the 10 t RBC for deepwater shark east (from the 2018 Tier 4 assessment) for the 2026–27 season and supported the continued use of the 24 t TAC that has been applied in recent years to limit discarding and noted an updated assessment will be conducted in 2026.

RBC	10 t	RBC maintained since the 2018 assessment.
Discount Factor	N/A	SERAG recommended not applying a discount factor as a large proportion (>54%) of the catch (east and west combined) was historically taken in waters >700 m and most of these areas are now closed (Knuckey *et al.* 2009).
State catch	0.6 t	A small amount of deepwater shark is caught in NSW waters.
Discards	N/A	There are no reliable estimates of discards for the eastern species basket.
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.

Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy	24 t – to limit discarding when incidentally caught.

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a TAC of 24 t for the deepwater shark east basket during 2026–27 season, with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10 per cent and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
24				

Deepwater Shark basket - West



Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 4 Species - last assessed by SERAG in 2023.				
Stock Structure	Little is known about the stock structure of deepwater sharks. They are bentho-pelagic species that have been sampled in oceanic environments over the abyssal plains and are distributed widely across ocean basins and along the middle and lower continental shelves. The western management area extends from the Tasmanian west coast Latitude 42º S (approximately Strahan), around to WA.				
Stock status against reference points (C_{Lim}/C_{Targ})	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**$CPUE_{Recent}$**	**$CPUE_{Target}$**	**$CPUE_{Limit}$**
	4	2023	0.9272	0.6157	0.2565
	Weight of Evidence	2022	SERAG re-considered available indicator data and noted there were no immediate risks to stock sustainability. A Tier 4 assessment will be completed for Deania spp. in 2023.		
	Weight of Evidence	2021	SERAG considered available indicator data and noted there were no immediate risks to stock sustainability.		
Stock trend and other indicators	Catches of western Deepwater Sharks with closures decreased from a high in 1997 and 1998 to a low in 2007 after the introduction of the 700 m closure, picking up again after the modifications in 2009 and 2016, with a mean of ~99 t over the last five years. The 108 t catch in 2020 was the highest recorded since 2005 (i.e., for the period after 2004). Standardised CPUE of western Deepwater Sharks in open areas has been cyclic since about 2007 with lows over 2012-2014 period, and since then, there has been an overall increasing trend reaching the long-term average in 2018 and between 2020-2022, based on 95% confidence intervals. The most recent standardised estimate is below average. There were no discernible differences between this year's and last year's standardized CPUE series. The removal of catch from the 700 m closure, made minimal differences to standardised CPUE compared to CPUE indices which included the closure in analyses (Sporcic, 2024d).				
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach** The current season (2025–26) is the 2nd year of a three-year RBC period for deepwater shark west.				
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**	

	2025-26	327	353	-
	2024-25	327	344	80
	2023-24	235	252	82
Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	Not Available	65.88	Not Available
	2021-22	Not Available	80.00	Not Available
	2020-21	Not Available	64.00	Not Available
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Uncertain**		**Biomass: Uncertain**	
Climate Sensitivity **Uncertain**	There is currently no available information regarding climate change sensitivity of deepwater sharks.			

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	The Tier 4 assessment assumes there is a linear relationship between CPUE and exploitable biomass, and that the character of the estimated CPUE has not changed in significant ways.
Significant Changes to data inputs	Catches in this assessment are based on open areas Tier 4 assessment: Western Deepwater Sharks only. Discards were not used in this assessment as agreed by SERAG (26-27 September 2023) given they are poorly estimated - there is only one available estimate in 2018 and it also differs from the corresponding logbook recorded estimate (Sporcic, 2023b).
Assessment results and RAG comments	The 2023 estimated RBC is 326.7 t, an increase of 92.7 t compared to the 2018 estimated RBC (235 t; see Sporcic 2018). The increase in RBC of approximately 93 t can be mostly attributed to an increase in the most recent CPUE and hence the mean of the most recent four-year average which is used to calculate the RBC. Also, the CPUE in 2023 is above the CPUE target based on the Tier 4 Harvest Control Rule (0.62) and has been above target since 2015 (Sporcic, 2023b). SERAG (Nov 2023) recommended the RBC (326.7 t) produced by the Tier 4 for the Deepwater Shark West assessment. SERAG supported the use of this RBC for a three-year period but noted that a Dynamic Tier 4 may become available (subject to MSE testing) in 2024. SESSFRAG (Apr 2024) considered the results of the MSE of the Dynamic Tier 4 assessment method and recommended the use of this approach in preference to empirical Tier 4 assessments where practicable. The MSE showed that the Dynamic Tier 4 approach has improved performance compared to the empirical Tier 4 because it provided improved performance statistics, reduced variability in RBCs and reduced sensitivity to chosen reference years with fewer assumptions required and the option to fit multiple CPUE series. A Dynamic Tier 4 assessment of deepwater shark (west) was scheduled for 2024 but had to be deferred once it became apparent that further work was

	required on the catch reconstruction for both this and the deepwater shark (east) basket. Both deepwater shark baskets are scheduled for assessment in 2026.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommended maintaining the 327 t RBC for deepwater shark west during the 2026–27 season, as the third year of a three-year RBC.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC	2026	327	Static 3-year RBC of 327 t
	2025	327	
	2024	327	
Discount Factor	N/A	SERAG recommended not applying a discount factor as a large proportion (>54%) of the catch (east and west combined) was historically taken in waters >700 m and most of these areas are now closed (Knuckey *et al.* 2009).	
State catch	N/A	Previously recorded by SA however there are no recent catches, minimal WA catches.	
Discards	N/A	There are no reliable estimates of discards for the western species basket. Discards were not used in the Tier 4 assessment because they are poorly estimated and as such were not deducted from the RBC.	
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.	
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		327 t	

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a TAC of 327 t for the deepwater shark west during 2026–27 season, as the third year of a three-year RBC period, with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10 per cent and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest			
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)			
MAC advice and any dissenting views			
Undercatch (%)	**Overcatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**TAC (t)**

Final agreed TAC

.

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
327				

Orange Roughy Southern Zone



ABARES (2012): Line Drawing – Rosalind Poole

Hoplostethus atlanticus

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Southern Zone Orange Roughy is assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach. The Pedra Branca component has been assessed as part of the Eastern Zone Tier 1 stock assessment since 2002 and was last assessed by SERAG in 2021.
Stock Structure	Multiple regional stocks of orange roughy are assumed and the fishery is managed and assessed as a number of discrete regional stocks. Recent genetic studies indicate little genetic diversity between all south east Australian stocks, however they may be demographically separate. The part of the Southern Zone catch that is caught on the Pedra Branca grounds is assumed to be part of the eastern stock.

Southern Stock status against reference points (%B0 in reference year)

Tier	Assessment Year	Biomass	Target
-	2002	9% of the biomass in 1989	To rebuild the stock to above B_{20} by no later than 2072 (being one mean generation time [56 yrs] + 10 yrs [66 yrs] from the introduction of the 2006 Orange Roughy Conservation Programme).

Pedra Branca Stock status against reference points (%B0 in year +1)

Tier	Assessment Year	Biomass (from assessment year)	Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)	Target	Limit
1	2021	30	30	48	20
1	2017	33	26		
1	2014	26	22		

Stock trend and other indicators

Southern Zone

Stock status: unresolved in the Southern Zone. The most recent accepted assessment (2000) concluded that the stock was less than the limit reference point.

The component of the Southern Zone stock that resides in the Pedra Branca seamounts is assessed as a part of the Eastern Zone stock assessment due to the stock structure assumptions. The Eastern Zone assessment in 2021 estimated the stock status in the Pedra Branca area to be 30% of unfished biomass in 2021 and projected to be at 30% B0 at the beginning of 2022 (Burch et al, 2021).

Biomass trend: the 2021 stock assessment indicates that biomass is continuing to increase, however revisions to the model have resulted in an overall downward revision to the estimate of relative biomass – see 'Biomass

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>revised in most recent assessment' above.

Recent acoustic surveys (1999, 2006, 2010, 2012, 2013, 2016 and 2019) undertaken at St. Helen's Hill and St. Patricks' Head have estimated an increase in abundance, which supports the estimated increase in abundance from the Tier 1 stock assessments.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Species Category
Depleted</td><td>TAC setting approach
Annual bycatch TAC set in accordance with the Orange Roughy Rebuilding Strategy with an additional allocation for the Pedra Branca management area.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Catch and TAC</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>SESSF season (t)</th><th>Agreed TAC (t)</th><th>TAC after unders/overs (t)</th><th>Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</th></tr>
<tr><td>2025-26</td><td>98</td><td>98</td><td>-</td></tr>
<tr><td>2024-25</td><td>98</td><td>98</td><td>24</td></tr>
<tr><td>2023-24</td><td>105</td><td>105</td><td>49</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
<tr><td>Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>Financial Year</th><th>Species GVP ($m)</th><th>Fishery GVP ($m)</th><th>% Fishery GVP</th></tr>
<tr><td>2022-23</td><td>Not Available</td><td>65.88</td><td>Not Available</td></tr>
<tr><td>2021-22</td><td>Not Available</td><td>80.00</td><td>Not Available</td></tr>
<tr><td>2020-21</td><td>Not Available</td><td>64.00</td><td>Not Available</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
<tr><td>ABARES Status (2024 report)</td><td>Fishing mortality: Uncertain | Biomass: Overfished</td></tr>
<tr><td>Climate Sensitivity
Low</td><td> 

Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a minor influence on the biomass of Orange Roughy. Depletion by fishing in the 1980s-1990s affected the species much more strongly than any climate related shifts.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Assessment summary</td></tr>
</table>

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	For Pedra Branca, see Orange Roughy East.
Significant Changes to data inputs	For Pedra Branca, see Orange Roughy East.
Assessment results and RAG comments	SERAG has previously agreed that, despite the absence of an agreed assessment model for the Southern Zone stock, the data show there is little targeting or bycatch of orange roughy. As such, the incidental bycatch TAC is applicable and does not impede recovery of the stock. For the Pedra Branca area: SERAG (2021) recommended a 3-year TAC period for Orange Roughy (East) using the outputs of the MCMC analysis (see Orange Roughy East). If a TAC greater than the RBC were to be set in the east, the constant catch scenarios and associated risk profiles should be used as basis for determining the TAC. The orange roughy Pedra Branca area 3-year RBC will constitute 7 per cent of this RBC apportioned to the eastern zone. For more detail on the assessment, see Orange Roughy East. SERAG (Nov 2022) recommended maintaining the 31 t incidental bycatch TAC for the remainder of the Southern zone.

RAG Recommendations

The RBC for the Pedra Branca component of the Southern Zone will be set at 7 per cent of the RBC for Eastern Zone Orange Roughy once this RBC has been agreed.

SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the existing 31 t bycatch RBC for the ”non-Pedra Branca” component of Southern Zone Orange Roughy for the 2026-27 season noting there is insufficient evidence to warrant a change.

	Year	RBC (t): Southern	RBC (t): Pedra Branca	RBC arrangements
RBC	2025	0	58 (7% of 830)	Southern: No. Rebuilding species. Pedra Branca: Yes – (93% of the Eastern Zone Tier 1 stock assessment, with 7% apportioned to the Pedra Branca area of the Southern Zone.)
	2024	0	55 (7% of 789)	
	2023	0	52 (7% of 740)	
	2022	0	48 (7% of 681)	
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 stock assessments (Pedra Branca) and there is no assessment for the Southern Zone.		
State catch	N/A	There are no estimates of State catches.		

Discards	N/A	There are no estimates of discards.
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no known recreational catches for Orange Roughy.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		Southern Zone: 31 t - Incidental bycatch TAC Pedra Branca: TBA

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a single-year TAC of 31 t for Orange Roughy South (excluding the allocation of the Pedra Branca management area) with no overcatch or undercatch provisions and a determined amount of 2 t.

The 7% allocation to the Pedra Branca management area will be available following the out-of-session SERAG meeting on 28 January 2026.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC(t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
98				

Orange Roughy Western Zone



ABARES (2012): Line Drawing – Rosalind Poole

Hoplostethus atlanticus

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach.			
Stock Structure	Based on the existing data and fishery dynamics multiple regional stocks of orange roughy are assumed and the fishery is managed and assessed as a number of discrete regional stocks. Recent genetic studies indicate little genetic diversity between all South Eastern Australian stocks, however they may be demographically separate.			
Stock status against reference points ($\%B_0$)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**
	-	2002	8% of the biomass in 1985	To rebuild the stock to above B_{20} by no later than 2072 (being one mean generation time [56 yrs] + 10 yrs [66 yrs] from the introduction of the 2006 Orange Roughy Conservation programme).
Stock trend and other indicators	Stock status is unresolved in the Western Zone however considering that there has been minimal fishing in the Western Zone and that the eastern stock has rebuilt to a harvestable level it is appropriate to consider that similar rebuilding may have occurred in the Western Zone.			
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach**			
	Annual bycatch TAC set following the Orange Roughy Rebuilding Strategy			
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season (t)**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**
	2025-26	60	60	-
	2024-25	60	60	15
	2023-24	60	60	19
Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	Not Available	65.88	Not Available
	2021-22	Not Available	80.00	Not Available
	2020-21	Not Available	64.00	Not Available
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Uncertain**		**Biomass: Overfished**	

Climate Sensitivity Low	Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a minor influence on the biomass of Orange Roughy. Depletion by fishing in the 1980s-1990s affected the species much more strongly than any climate related shifts.
Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	N/A
Significant Changes to data inputs	N/A
Assessment results and RAG comments	The most recent accepted assessment of Western Zone Orange Roughy was conducted in 2002. The assessment used standardised catch-per-shot abundance indices from vessels that had regularly fished this zone and estimated the 2001 biomass to be 8% of unfished levels (below the current LRP of 20%B_0). The TAC for this stock was progressively lowered following the 2002 assessment and changed to an incidental (i.e. bycatch) TAC following the implementation of the Orange Roughy Conservation Program in 2006. The current incidental TAC of 60 t has been in place since the start of the 2009/10 SESSF season. The Western Orange Roughy Research Plan was endorsed by the AFMA Commission in March 2020 and sampling under the (SETFIA managed) Western Orange Roughy Data Collection Programme (WORDaC) commenced in May that year. The WORDaC programme has now collected over 27,000 fish lengths and 15,000 otoliths to support a stock assessment of Western Zone Orange Roughy. SERAG (October 2025) considered a review of available data on Western Zone Orange Roughy presented by CSIRO. The review covered historical and recent annual catches (1985–2023), CPUE trends, length frequency data from observer and WORDaC programs, and ageing data. Subsequent discussions focused on developing a standardised CPUE series, prioritising samples for ageing through Fish Ageing Services (FAS), and using WORDaC samples to estimate maturity and length-weight parameters. The need to differentiate between targeting and non-targeting behaviour in the fishery (to guide assessment assumptions) was also highlighted.
RAG Recommendations	
SERAG (November 2025) considered a proposal from Industry for a small (but unspecified) commercial TAC for Western Zone Orange Roughy – instead of an incidental TAC and RCA – for the 2026–27 fishing season. The RAG advised that it would support such a proposal providing that the TAC remained conservative and that any new arrangements retained the catch limits and research components of WORDaC.	

RBC	0 t	The RBC is set to zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to bycatch TACs.
State catch	N/A	There are no estimates of State catches.
Discards	N/A	Discards are not deducted from the bycatch TAC.
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no known recreational catches for Orange roughy.
RCA	N/A	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		60 t - Incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

The WORDaC programme has been in place for six years and continues to achieve its purpose of biological data collection to support a stock assessment. A stock assessment for OR W has been under development using this data and is expected to be delivered in 2026 for potential application in the 2027-28 fishing season.

AFMA Management supports the continuation of the WORDaC programme however recommends a TAC of 200 t for Western Zone Orange Roughy during the 2026–27 season instead of a RCA of 200 t (that has been granted for this stock since 2020 under the WORDaC programme) and the 60 t incidental bycatch TAC typically set each year. As such the TAC is conservative in that it is 60 t less than the total potential mortality allowed under the RCA / bycatch TAC arrangement currently in place. AFMA notes the RAG has repeatedly given advice that catch less than 200t is acceptable.

The proposal will not increase the incidental catch of orange roughy outside of the research area as it is not easily targeted in these areas, and access to the three deepwater WORDaC sampling zones (which are within fishery closures) will only be permitted under scientific permit with catches decremented against the operator's quota holdings, rather than RCA. The existing 100 t catch limit for each research zone will continue to apply and total catches will be constrained by the TAC.

The rationale for allowing catch to be taken under a conservative commercial TAC rather than an 'Olympic' RCA is to reduce the risk of large volumes of fish flooding the market, providing a return to quota holders that are paying for the management of this stock but to date have had no return, and ensuring the potential total mortality remains conservative.

A commercial TAC for an 'overfished stock' is inconsistent with the HSP. However, AFMA Management considers the recommended approach best pursues AFMA economic objectives while not compromising the sustainability of the stock until the stock assessment is finalised. Further, that given when overfishing occurred and duration of the stock closure, it is feasible that the stock has at least rebuilt to above its limit given the recovery of OR E stock.

AFMA Management recommends that the under/over catch provisions for Western Zone Orange Roughy remain at zero per cent for the 2026–27 season, with a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target,	

companion, and bycatch)			
MAC advice and any dissenting views			
Undercatch (%)	**Overcatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**TAC (t)**

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	**2026-27 recommended TAC (t)**	**Overcatch and undercatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**Change in TAC (t)**
60				

Shelf Species

Blue Warehou

Seriolella brama



ABARES (2012): Line Drawing – Rosalind Poole

<table>
<tr><th colspan="6">Species Summary</th></tr>
<tr><td>Stock Assessment</td><td colspan="5">Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Stock Structure</td><td colspan="5">There is evidence that there are two stocks of Blue Warehou, east and west of the Bass Strait. However, the stock is managed under a single TAC.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4"><u>East</u>
Stock status against reference points (CLim/CTarg)</td><td>Tier</td><td>Assessment Year</td><td>CPUE_{Recent}</td><td>CPUE_{Target}</td><td>CPUE_{Limit}</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2013</td><td>0.1861</td><td>2.0717</td><td>0.8287</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2012</td><td>0.2214</td><td>2.0055</td><td>0.8022</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2011</td><td>0.2219</td><td>1.939</td><td>0.7756</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4"><u>West</u>
Stock status against reference points (CLim/CTarg)</td><td>Tier</td><td>Assessment Year</td><td>Biomass</td><td>Target</td><td>Limit</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2013</td><td>0.2681</td><td>1.9249</td><td>0.7699</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2012</td><td>0.307</td><td>1.8679</td><td>0.7472</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2011</td><td>0.349</td><td>1.8175</td><td>0.727</td></tr>
<tr><td>Stock trend and other indicators</td><td colspan="5">The last agreed Tier 1 assessment in 2006, estimated the eastern stock to be depleted below the limit reference point. In 2013, blue warehou was assessed as a Tier 4 species and was estimated as being below the limit reference point.

In contrast, the western stock was estimated to be above the limit reference point and close to the biomass maximum sustainable yield (B40) level. However, the 2006 assessment predicted that the western stock will have dropped below the limit reference point by 2007 if the landed catches remained high and if recruitment was average.

<u>Biomass trend</u>

<u>Zone 10-30</u> – Annual standardised CPUE has been below average since about 1996 and shows a relatively (Sporcic, 2024a)
flat trend.
<u>Zone 40-50</u> – Annual standardised CPUE has been mostly below average since about 1996 while the trend has been mostly flat (Figure 114). CPUE are consistent from 1988 - 1991 (i.e., before the introduction of quotas in 1992) but are double that following the introduction of quota. Relatively very few vessels now contribute to significant catches. (Sporcic, 2024a).

<u>Catch against TAC</u>

Since the implementation of the Blue Warehou Rebuilding Strategy in 2008, the TAC has been progressively lowered from 365 t in 2008-09, to 183 t in</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>2009-10, 133 t in 2011-12, 118 t in 2012-13, 50 t in 2021-22 and finally 30 t in 2023-24, where it remains today.

Commonwealth catches have always been less than the incidental TAC, with the TAC being between 6 and 10 per cent caught in the previous three years.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Species Category
Depleted</td><td>TAC setting approach</td></tr>
<tr><td>Annual bycatch TAC set in accordance with the Blue Warehou Stock Rebuilding Strategy.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Catch and TAC</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>SESSF Fishing Year</th><th>Agreed TAC (t)</th><th>TAC after unders/overs (t)</th><th>Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</th></tr>
<tr><td>2025-26</td><td>30</td><td>30</td><td>-</td></tr>
<tr><td>2024-25</td><td>30</td><td>30</td><td>2</td></tr>
<tr><td>2023-24</td><td>30</td><td>30</td><td>3</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
<tr><td>Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>Financial Year</th><th>Species GVP ($m)</th><th>Fishery GVP ($m)</th><th>% Fishery GVP</th></tr>
<tr><td>2022-23</td><td>0.01</td><td>65.88</td><td>0.02</td></tr>
<tr><td>2021-22</td><td>0.03</td><td>80.00</td><td>0.04</td></tr>
<tr><td>2020-21</td><td>0.01</td><td>64.00</td><td>0.02</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
<tr><td>ABARES Status (2024 report)</td><td>East -Fishing mortality: Subject to overfishing
West -Fishing mortality: Subject to overfishing</td><td>Biomass: Overfished</td></tr>
<tr><td>Climate Sensitivity
High</td><td> 

Atlantis modelling suggests the current state of these species has been much more strongly influenced by climate change than fisheries and that current fisheries management may have been sufficient to keep the stocks sustainable in the absence of climate change.</td></tr>
</table>

Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	The Tier 4 assessment assumes there is a linear relationship between catch rates and exploitable biomass, and that the character of the estimated catch rates has not changed significantly since the reference period to the end of the most recent year. Due to low catches and avoidance behaviour, CPUE is no longer considered a reliable index of abundance for this species.
Significant Changes to data inputs	N/A
Assessment results and RAG comments	Logbook catch and effort data is the only information available for this species – age and length data are not collected. SERAG (Nov 2018) noted a significant increase in estimated discards in 2017 for the eastern stock – 91 per cent and 216 t. This was influenced by a single observed Danish seine trip where large amounts of small fish were discarded. Discards rates for Blue Warehou remain high; the 2022 estimate was 95 per cent (23 t), following figures of 97 per cent (43 t) in 2021 and 73 per cent (6 t) in 2020. There is evidence of considerable unreported blue warehou discards across the fleet. For example, blue warehou were only reported in 0.4% of Danish seine logbooks shots in 2021 compared to 43% of observed shots. Similarly, blue warehou were only reported in 0.9% of board trawl shots, compared to approximately 20% of observed shots. An alternative primary index of abundance needs to be developed as a high priority for use in future stock assessments, and this species should be considered as a candidate for application of close-kin genetics assessments. SERAG (Nov 2021) considered a companion species analysis which investigated the link between target species catch and the associated level of unavoidable bycatch of recovering species. The analysis incorporated a range of factors such as area, depth fished and gear type – also known as métiers. Using logbook data from 2019 and 2020, and expected 2022-23 TACs for the main companion species, the estimated unavoidable bycatch of blue warehou for 2022 was 11.4 t, with a range between 7.0 and 17.6 t. SERAG (Nov 2022) noted the revised Blue Warehou Rebuilding Strategy had been completed and uploaded to AFMA website. SERAG (Nov 2023) noted that additional trawl closures, the buyback of trawl boat statutory fishing rights (SFRs), and changes to Danish seine gear in 2023, while beneficial to depleted shelf species, will have a significant impact on the key index of abundance (CPUE) for these species and make it difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of these (and other) conservation measures contained in the relevant rebuilding strategies.

RAG Recommendations
SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the existing 30 t bycatch TAC for Blue Warehou on the basis that there is no new information that indicates a need to change the TAC.

RBC	0 t	The RBC is set to zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factor not applied to incidental bycatch TAC.
State catch	4.3 t	State catches are not deducted from the bycatch TAC.
Discards	East = 160.2 t West = N/A	There are no estimates of discards in the west. Discards are not deducted from the bycatch TAC. The high weighted average discard estimate is driven by observed discard rates in 2021 applied across Commonwealth and State catches (see “Data and RAG Comments”)
Recreational Catch	N/A	Most recent estimate is from 2018.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		30 t – incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends an incidental bycatch TAC of 30 t for Blue Warehou during the 2026–27 season, with no overcatch or undercatch provisions and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers’ interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
30				

Flathead

Neoplatycephalus richardsoni

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 Species - last assessed by SERAG in 2022.
Stock Structure	For management purposes a single continuous stock has been assumed throughout all zones of the SESSF.

Stock status against reference points (%B_0 in year +1)

Tier	Assessment Year	Biomass (from assessment year)	Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)	Target	Limit
1	2022	40	40	40	20
1	2019	34	33		
1	2016	42	34		

Stock trend and other indicators

Otter board trawl CPUE in Zone 30 (east coast of Tasmania) - The annual standardised CPUE trend was noisy and flat between 1986 - 2001, and after a transitional period between 2002 - 2006 during which catches surged, was noisy and flat from 2007 to 2023 (Figure 79). The catch in 2023 (195.6 t) from zone 30 increased compared to the previous year (Sporcic,2024).

Otter board trawl CPUE in Zones 10 and 20 – Annual standardised CPUE appears cyclical above and below average, has remained below average in 2017-2018, increased to the long-term average in 2021, and subsequently decreased to below average based on the 95% confidence intervals. The structural adjustment had a profound effect upon the influence of the vessel factor reducing the standardised trend well below the nominal geometric mean CPUE. (Sporcic, 2024a).

Danish seine in Zone 20 and 60 – Annual standardised CPUE appears cyclical above and below average and remained below average over 2012-21, based on 95% confidence intervals. There has also been an overall decrease in standardised CPUE over 2007-2020 and significant increases in CPUE since 2020 (i.e., 2021, 2022, 2023). The 2023 standardised CPUE has reached the long-term average. (Sporcic, 2024a).

Species Category
Multi-year RBC

TAC setting approach

The current season (2025–26) is the 3rd year of a 3-year RBC period. The next assessment is scheduled for 2026.

Catch and TAC

SESSF season	Agreed TAC (t)	TAC after unders/overs (t)	Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)
2025-26	2,333	2,496	-
2024-25	2,333	2,520	1,889

	2023-24	2,333	2510	1,798
Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	14.05	65.88	21.32
	2021-22	14.13	80.00	17.66
	2020-21	17.06	64.00	26.66
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**	
Climate Sensitivity **Low**	 Atlantis modelling indicates that climate change does not have an influence on the stock abundance.			

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	The current 2022 assessment models growth separately for both Males and Females. Tiger Flathead constitute a single stock within the area of the fishery, from zone 10 (NSW), through zone 20 (eastern Bass Strait), zone 60 (Bass Strait) and zone 30 (eastern Tasmania). While alternative stock structures have been previously suggested, with the eastern Tasmanian stock potentially a separate stock (Cui et al. (2004); Punt (2005a); Punt (2005b); Klaer (2006); Klaer (2009); Klaer (2010)), this is the current stock structure agreed to by the SERAG. The stock is assumed to be unexploited at the start of 1915 when the steam trawl fishery commenced. Catches prior to this time are thought to have been minimal. The CVs of all abundance indices (including the FIS) were initially set to the root mean squared deviation from a loess fit to the fleet specific indices

	(Sporcic, 2022), and then tuned to match the model-estimated standard errors by estimating an additional variance parameter within SS. Six fishing fleets are modelled. Selectivity is assumed to vary among fleets, but the selectivity pattern for each separate fleet is modelled as length-specific, logistic, and mostly time-invariant. The selectivity for Danish seine is allowed to change in 1978, and that of the East trawl is changed in 1985. The two parameters of the selectivity function for each fleet are estimated within the assessment. Retention is also defined as a logistic function of length, and the inflection and slope of this function are estimated for the 3 fleets where discard information is available (Danish seine, East trawl and Tas trawl). Retention for the Steam trawl fleet was implicitly assumed to be independent of length as no length frequency composition data is available on discards for this fleet. The sample sizes for length and age frequencies were tuned for each fleet so that the input sample size was approximately equal to the effective sample size calculated by the model. Before this retuning of length frequency data was performed, any sample sizes with a sample size greater than 200 shots or trips were individually down-weighted to a maximum sample size of 200. Samples with fewer than 100 measured fish were excluded from the analysis. This is because the appropriate sample size for length frequency data is more closely related to the number of shots or trips sampled, rather than the number of fish measured (Bessell-Browne 2022).
Significant Changes to data inputs	The following were included in the updated 2022 assessment: Steepness (h) was fixed at 0.75. rather than estimated in the base case. This change resulted from likelihood profiles in the 2019 assessment and 2022 preliminary base case highlighting that there was limited information in the available data to inform estimation of this parameter. This meant that each time the assessment was conducted, h was estimated to be higher (0.62, 0.72 and 0.85 in 2016, 2019 and 2022 respectively), resulting in undesirable retrospective patterns. Fixing h at the SESSF default value of 0.75 resolved this problem, however, future work should investigate whether another fixed value of h may be more suitable for tiger flathead (Bessell-Browne 2022). The maximum length bin was extended from 59 cm to 65 cm. This change was made because there was a large proportion of measured lengths, in both the East trawl and Tas trawl fleets, in the 59 cm plus group over the past 10 years. Extending the plus group to 65 cm removed the large peak of measured fish in the maximum length bin and allows more accurate estimation of growth parameters (Bessell-Browne 2022).
Assessment results and RAG comments	There are poor fits to the end of the Danish seine CPUE index from 2013 onwards, where the model is overestimating the input values, this trend was also apparent in the 2019 assessment (Day, 2019). While sensitivities have shown that this is not due to fitting the Tas trawl CPUE series further investigation into the cause of this misfit would benefit future assessments (Bessell-Browne 2022), SERAG (Nov 2022) supports this investigation.

	Discard proportion was showing cycler patterns in all fleets while the input data was remaining relatively flat. The RAG hypothesised this was perhaps due to smaller fish coming through the fishery. The RAG noted the increase in estimated stock status was in-part influenced by the new age and length data. Likelihood profiles have demonstrated there is conflict between different data sources in the assessment when estimating key parameters. The profile on MM suggests higher parameter values are preferred by the model and this is also supported by sensitivity results. The preference of the model to estimate higher values of MM has been evident since the 2010 assessment and investigating this alternative parameter space and its feasibility should be a focus of future work (Bessell-Browne 2022). The 2019 assessment and the 2022 preliminary base case identified a very flat likelihood profile for h, suggesting that there is insufficient data in the assessment to allow estimation of this parameter. This led to fixing this parameter at 0.75, the default value used in the SESSF, for this assessment. As likelihood profiles on h are not available for assessments prior to 2019 it is difficult to determine whether earlier assessments were able to accurately estimate h, or whether similar issues persisted. There is a likelihood profile on h that was conducted in Punt et al. (2014), which revealed a minimum log likelihood was obtained at h values around 0.6, suggesting that earlier assessments may have had adequate information in the data to inform estimation of this parameter. Given this estimated value of around 0.6 and the 2010 stock assessment h estimate of 0.62, these informed values would be a better choice to base the pre-specified value rather than the default value of 0.75, which has no specific relation to Tiger Flathead (Bessell-Browne 2022). SERAG (Nov 2022) noted this should be considered in future assessments. The assessment estimates that the projected 2023 stock status will be 40% of unfished spawning stock biomass (SSB0), assuming 2021 catches are maintained in 2022. Under the 20:35:40 HCR, the 2023 RBC is 2,838 t, while the long-term yield is 2,867 t. The average RBC over the 3-year period 2023-2025 is 2,831 t. Exploration of model sensitivity showed a variation in spawning biomass from 28% to 68% of SSB0 in 2022, which occurred when natural mortality (M) was fixed (M= 0.22) and estimated (M = 0.37), respectively. For the other standard sensitivities, the variation in spawning biomass was narrower, ranging between 33% and 45% of SSB0 (Bessel-Browne 2022). SERAG (Nov 2022) recommended a 3-year RBC period using the average RBC of 2,831 t. It is premature to deviate from the base case agreed at SERAG 1 (Oct 2022) which used a fixed steepness value (0.75), fixed natural mortality of 0.27 and a target reference point of B40. SERAG (Nov 2022) recommended that additional work be undertaken on estimating growth parameters, developing an informative prior on h, investigating the different trends apparent between CPUE series between fleets and whether these are indexing abundance, and the preference of the model to estimate higher values of M. The results should be presented as

	advice before the next scheduled Tier 1 tiger flathead assessment commences.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2023) recommended that the 3-year RBC period be revisited to ensure catches of eastern Jackass Morwong continue to be constrained.

SERAG (October 2024) noted the importance of the Tiger Flathead fishery and recommended priority be placed on working towards a more accurate estimation of total mortality (in particular discards) for companion species in the SESSF, whether through observer coverage or implementation of electronic monitoring.

SERAG (November 2025) noted previous concerns around discard rates of eastern Jackass Morwong (and associated uncertainty of total mortality) prior to closure implementation and recommended that Flathead TAC remains constrained at its current level of 2,333 t. SERAG recommended reviewing the Flathead constraint in 2026 following the updated assessment.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC	2025	2,828	Yes. Using the 3-year average value.
	2024	2,827	
	2023	2,838	
	3-year average	2,831	
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.	
State catch	150 t	Predominantly Tas and NSW catches	
Discards	213 t (2023) 214 t (2024) 215 t (2025)	The 3-year average modelled discards (i.e. 214 t) for the period 2023-2025 are deducted from the 3-year average RBC.	
Recreational Catch	N/A	Assessment only considers tiger flathead, which are not considered a key recreational species.	
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		2,467 t	

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends that the TAC for Flathead continue to be constrained at 2,333 t for the 2026–27 season (unchanged from the current season), as the fourth year of a three-year TAC period, with overcatch and undercatch provisions set at 10 per cent and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target,	

companion, and bycatch)			
MAC advice and any dissenting views			
Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
2,333				

Jackass Morwong



Nemadactylus macropterus

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach.					
Stock Structure	For assessment purposes it is assumed there are separate stocks of jackass morwong in the Eastern and Western Zones.					
West **Stock status against reference points (%B0 in assessment year +1)**	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2018	68	68	48	20
	1	2015	69	61		
	1	2011	67	38		
East **Stock status against reference points (%B0 in assessment year +1)**	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2021	15	15	48	20
	1	2018	35	15		
	1	2015	37	17		
Stock trend and other indicators	Zone 30 – Annual standardised CPUE has been below the long-term average since about 2001. More recently, the relative CPUE trend has been flat since at least 2015. The recorded catch of 54 t from zone 30 in 2019 was the highest since 2013. By contrast, the recorded catch (3.6 t) from zone 30 in 2023 was the lowest in the series. (Sporcic, 2024a). Zone 10-20 – Most catch was reported in zone 20 in less than 200 m. Annual standardised CPUE has been below the long-term average since about 2000 with apparent periodicity. The recorded catch (8 t) from zones 10 and 20 in 2023 was the lowest in the series. (Sporcic, 2024a). Zone 40-50 – Most catch from zone 40 occurred at a shallower depth compared to zone 50. Since 2007, standardised CPUE has been below the long-term average, with the most recent estimate decreased relative to the previous year. The recorded catch of 4.5 t from the west in 2023 was the lowest in the series and corresponds to the lowest number of vessels (4). (Sporcic, 2024a).					
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach**					
	Subject to an annual incidental bycatch TAC. Rebuilding strategy to be developed.					
Catch and TAC	**SESSF Fishing Year**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**		

	2025-26	50	50	-
	2024-25	50	50	37
	2023-24	50	50	32
Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	0.17	65.88	0.26
	2021-22	0.39	80.00	0.49
	2020-21	0.33	64.00	0.52
ABARES Status (2024 report) Eastern Zone	**Fishing mortality: Subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Overfished**	
ABARES Status (2024 report) Western Zone	**Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**	

Climate Risk Framework Summary

Jackass morwong (west) Climate Sensitivity **High**	 Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a major influence on the biomass of the Jackass morwong (west) stock and is contributing to a much lower biomass than would have occurred otherwise.
Jackass morwong (east) Step 1: risk score	**Extreme** Jackass morwong (East) is considered 'High' risk from climate change, and the stock status is estimated to be 'Depleted', which results in an overall risk score of 'Extreme'.
Step 2: Adaptation measures	Various adaptation measures have been implemented or are planned. Further details are provided in the species assessment report.
Step 3: residual risk	Extreme – SERAG (October 2025) noted that jackass morwong (East) has and will continue to be significantly impacted by climate change. While

	extensive adaptation measures have been implemented in recent years (bycatch TAC, spatial closures, companion species TAC, gear modifications, structural adjustment) and others are to be implemented over the coming 12 months (Trawl Industry Data Collection Program, EM in the CTS), there is insufficient data and information to assess the impact of these measures on total mortality and the future biomass trajectory of the stock. There is also uncertainty as to the level of discarding, noting the implementation of EM in the CTS in 2026 will support the collection of more accurate data on discards. It was noted that it will take some time for the impacts of adaptation measures to be detected in stock indicators. Given this SERAG recommended the extreme risk score be maintained. SEMAC advice pending.
Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SERAG (October 2025) supported the development of a rebuilding strategy for this species. As part of the process, SERAG recommended all available information be compiled on the drivers impacting on the distribution, abundance, phenology and physiology of jackass morwong (East), including climate change impacts and evidence of a regime shift. This will provide a more holistic picture to inform the rebuilding strategy, including the formulation of reference periods, rebuilding timeframes and subsequent management approaches. SERAG also recommended that understanding the reasons for increased NSW catch of jackass morwong (East) in recent years will be important for the rebuilding strategy. SEMAC advice pending.
Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	West (2018) Single sex model and single stock in Zones 40 and 50 One fleet: trawl Natural mortality (M) fixed at 0.15 (agreed by SERAG) Recruitment is estimated from 1989 to 2012 East (2021) Single sex model and single stock in Zones 10, 20 and 30 Six fleets: eastern trawl (Zones 10 and 20), Danish seine, Tasmanian trawl (Zone 30), steam trawl (1915-1961), early Danish seine (1929-1967), Mixed (DS + trawl) (1968-1985). Natural mortality fixed at 0.15 (agreed by SERAG) Recruitment is estimated from 1945 to 2015.
Significant Changes to data inputs	There were no significant changes to data inputs from the 2018 assessment.
Assessment results and RAG comments	West The 2015 Tier 1 assessment (Tuck et al, 2015) estimated a 2016 spawning stock biomass of 69%B0. The 2018 assessment estimated the biomass had fallen below the target reference point between 2006 and 2014, increasing to a 2019 spawning stock biomass of 68%B0.

	The initial western stock assessments were considered “preliminary” and then later classified as “increasingly uncertain” with concerns expressed about limited sampling effort, unrepresentative sampling, conflict between different data sources (highlighting potential unrepresentative sampling), very low catches and problematic retrospective patterns (Day et al, 2021). The results should be treated with considerable caution due to the limited data quality and quantity. SERAG (Oct 2021) noted there were no concerns in the few available indicator data, and on the basis that there had been very little recent catch, there was no reason to deviate from the previous management advice. East Bridging from 2018 assessment: estimates of absolute and relative spawning biomass in the early part of the time series were revised downwards, especially during the step where recruitment deviations were extended from 2015 to 2018, and the projected increase in biomass from 2015-2019 in the 2018 assessment now shows a flat to declining trend in the same time period. Recruitment has been below the long-term average since 2004. There has been a downward revision to the recruitment estimates from the 2018 assessment for the period 1998-2012, and the recruitment deviations in 2013 and 2014 are the lowest on record. The 2018 assessment estimated biomass trajectory has an increase at the end of the series, which has been revised down in the 2021 assessment. The fit to the CPUE at the end of the series in the 2021 assessment is better than it was in the 2018 assessment. SERAG agreed to project low recruitment (from 2016 onwards) in the base case (a ‘low recruitment base case’), on the basis that recruitment has been below average for the last 12 years in which it was estimated (2004-2015), and that projections should be based on a low recruitment scenario using the mean recruitment deviation of the most recent 10 years (2006-2015). Retrospective analyses show the estimated value of virgin biomass declines as each year of recent data is added to the model, as do recruitment deviations. There appears to have been a steady decline in productivity since around 1990, suggesting the ‘stepped’ shift in productivity accepted in 2011 was inappropriate. Under a dynamic B0, stock status first drops below B48 in the late 60’s and is just above the limit reference point in 2020. Under static B0, the stock status dropped below the target in 2003 and has been below the limit reference point since 2013. Under the ‘low recruitment’ base case, the time series of relative spawning biomass has shifted lower in recent years with a minimum stock status of 14% in 2020, which was projected to be 36% from the 2018 assessment, however this was based on average recruitment, which has proven to be optimistic. The stock is estimated to be 15% in 2022. See projected rebuild timeframes under ‘projected biomass’ below. SERAG considered a companion species analysis which investigated the link between target species catch and the associated level of unavoidable bycatch of recovering species, incorporating a range of factors such as area, depth fished and gear type – also known as métiers. Using logbook data from 2019 and 2020 and expected 2022-23 TACs for the main companion species

	(mostly flathead), the estimated unavoidable bycatch of eastern jackass morwong for 2022 ranged between 100-118 t, depending on assumed catches of flathead. The eastern stock is assessed to be below the limit reference point, and SERAG recommended a 0 t RBC, consistent with the requirements of the SESSF Harvest Strategy. Noting the requirement in the Commonwealth Harvest Strategy Policy to rebuild a stock to the limit reference point within TMIN (the minimum time that would be taken to rebuild in the absence of any commercial fishing), or up to 2xTMIN after assessing the trade-off between costs and benefits of alternative recovery trajectories, SERAG recommended restricting total mortality to 50 t. Recovery projections are based on landed catches of 50 t with discards estimated to be 2.5 t in addition to landed catch. This is consistent with the requirement under the HSP to rebuild the stock to the limit reference point within ten years ($2xT_{MIN}$). A métier analysis was not completed in 2022 because fishing effort will be impacted by closures and the structural adjustment. In the absence of a métier analysis, SERAG (2022) considered a bycatch TAC of 60 t would be sufficiently low to allow some catch in the west, while constraining catches in the east. SERAG (Nov 2023) noted that additional trawl closures, the buyback of trawl boat SFRs, and changes to Danish seine gear in 2023, while beneficial to depleted shelf species, will have a significant impact on the key index of abundance (CPUE) for these species and make it difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of these (and other) conservation measures contained in the relevant rebuilding strategies.

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the incidental bycatch TAC of 50 t for Jackass Morwong during the 2026–27 season on the basis that there is no new information to justify changing the TAC.

RBC	0 t	The RBC is set at zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor	N/A	SERAG did not recommend applying a discount factor on the basis that an incidental bycatch TAC in the east will require a global TAC well below the western RBC.
State catch	13.6 t	Four-year (2021–2024) weighted average state catch - mostly from NSW. These are not deducted from the Commonwealth bycatch TAC but should be considered as a source of total mortality.
Discards	N/A	Modelled discards in the east depend on the level of catch permitted under an incidental bycatch TAC. Discards in 2024 are estimated to be 2.4 t under an incidental bycatch TAC of 50 t (total mortality of 52.5 t), and 5.1 t under 100 t (total mortality of 105.1 t).

Recreational Catch	N/A	A recreational survey in 2000 estimated that a total of 294 t of jackass morwong was caught across NSW, Victoria, Tasmania, SA and WA. A survey in 2013 estimated Tasmanian catches of 18 t. There have been no additional surveys and recreational catches are not considered in the assessment.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		50 t – incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends an annual incidental bycatch TAC of 50 t for Jackass Morwong during the 2026–27 season with no overcatch or undercatch provisions and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
50				

John Dory

Zeus faber



Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach.				
Stock Structure	For management purposes, a single stock is assumed for the SESSF.				
Stock status against reference points (C_{Lim}/C_{Targ})	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**$CPUE_{Recent}$**	**$CPUE_{Target}$**	**$CPUE_{Limit}$**
	4	2021	0.4695	1.464	0.7320
	-	2020	N/A – Weight of evidence		
	3	2017	F_{CUR} = 0.036	F_{MSY} = 0.126	F_{LIM} = 0.198
Stock trend and other indicators	Catches and catch rates have declined since the early part of the time series – 1970. The status of the stock during the default reference period is uncertain for the purpose of estimating a current depletion level, however standardised catch rates show a continued long-term decline. The CPUE fluctuated around the limit reference point between 2002 and 2009 and has been below the limit since 2010. Zone 10-20 – Standardised CPUE has been below the long-term average since 1997. Also, there has been a gradually declining trend since at least 1996, with a small increase in CPUE in the last three years relative to 2019, but with a marked increase in the 2022 CPUE. The total catch in 2023 is the lowest in the series. (Sporcic, 2024a).				
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach** Subject to an annual incidental bycatch TAC. Rebuilding strategy to be developed.				
Catch and TAC	**SESSF Fishing Year**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**	
	2025-26	60	60	-	
	2024-25	60	60	38	
	2023-24	60	60	39	
Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**	
	2022-23	0.39	65.88	0.60	
	2021-22	0.23	80.00	0.29	
	2020-21	0.58	6400	0.91	

ABARES Status (2024 report)	Fishing mortality: Uncertain	Biomass: Overfished

Climate Risk Framework Summary

Step 1: risk score	**Extreme** Given the 'High' risk of impacts of climate change (which are assessed with low medium confidence) combined with a 'Depleted' stock status, the overall risk score is considered 'Extreme'.
Step 2: Adaptation measures	Various adaptation measures have been implemented or are planned. Further details are provided in the species assessment report.
Step 3: residual risk	Extreme – SERAG (October 2025) noted that John dory is highly vulnerable to climate change. While extensive adaptation measures have been implemented in recent years (bycatch TAC, spatial closures, companion species TAC, structural adjustment) and others are to be implemented over the coming 12 months (Trawl Industry Data Collection Program, EM in the CTS), there is insufficient data and information to assess the impact of these measures on total mortality and the future biomass trajectory of the stock. It was noted that it will take some time for the impacts of adaptation measures to be detected in stock indicators. Given this SERAG recommended the extreme risk score be maintained. SEMAC advice pending.
Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SERAG (October 2025) supported the development of a rebuilding strategy for this species. As part of the process, SERAG recommended all available information be compiled on the drivers impacting on the distribution, abundance, phenology and physiology of John dory, including climate change impacts and evidence of a regime shift. This will provide a more holistic picture to inform the rebuilding strategy, including the formulation of reference periods, rebuilding timeframes and subsequent management approaches. SEMAC advice pending.

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	The Tier 4 assessment assumes there is a linear relationship between standardised CPUE and exploitable biomass, and that the character of the estimated CPUE has not changed significantly since the reference period to the end of the most recent year. The assessment assumes the biomass was around the target reference point proxy of 48%B during the reference period 1986-1995. The average of discard rate estimates from 1998-2006 have been used to backfill discard proportion estimates pre-1998. This is consistent with estimates that NSW DPI have on record. Forward fill missing discards in the time series repeating 2019 discard data in 2020.

	The catch time series used was derived in Sporcic and Day (2021), which incorporated the July 2021 revised NSW estimates and was endorsed by SERAG (September 2021) (Sporcic, 2021d).
Significant Changes to data inputs	The 2021 Tier 4 assessment of John Dory was the first of its type to be conducted on this species.
Assessment results and RAG comments	Based on the results of the 2020 catch-MSY and SPM assessment for 1970 – 2019, and assuming that productivity has remained unchanged over the history of the fishery, the John dory stock is estimated to have been at a 40%B0 target in about 1985 (catch-MSY) or 1990 (SPM). This would indicate that the conventional reference period for SESSF Tier 4 assessments of 1986-1992 could be appropriate, assuming that productivity has not changed (Penney, 2020). SERAG (Oct 2021) considered a Tier 4 assessment (Sporcic, 2021d) for the purpose or providing RBC advice for the 2022-23 fishing year and noted the following: • The recent CPUE (0.4695) is below the CPUE limit (0.732) and has been since 2010 on the basis of the Tier 4 assessment. • There was insufficient catch in zone 30 to inform a revised CPUE series to account for the suspected southerly shift in catch. • John dory discards were estimated to be 8 t in 2020. • The dynamics of the fishery have changed over time and John dory are not a targeted component of the fishery. SERAG considered the 'alternative' CPUE series (requested by SESSFRAG) which excluded catch and effort from boats that are no longer a major part of the fishery – this made very little difference to the CPUE series. SERAG noted that the application of the default reference period and assumed stock status (48%B0 during the period 1986-92) assumes there has been no change in productivity. There is the potential for a change in productivity, in which case the CPUE series would be compromised, however there is little current evidence to support this and the RBC advice should be based on the outputs of the Tier 4 assessment. On the basis of the outputs of the Tier 4 assessment, SERAG recommended an RBC of 0 t. SERAG noted it is unlikely that fishing is driving the decline in abundance, and as a non-target species, total mortality is unlikely to be constrained by TACs. SERAG (Nov 2021) considered a companion species analysis which investigated the link between target species catch and the associated level of unavoidable bycatch of recovering species. The analysis incorporated a range of factors such as area, depth fished and gear type – also known as métiers. Using logbook data from 2019 and 2020, and expected 2022-23 TACs for the main companion species (trawl caught flathead) the estimated unavoidable bycatch of John dory for 2022 is 61.3 t, with a range between 56.5 and 66.4 t. This is close to the 60 t MSY value from the 2020 Surplus Production model.

	SERAG (Nov 2022) noted the absence of a métier analyses to inform bycatch TACs due to the impending significant structural changes and did not recommend any changes to the bycatch TAC. SERAG (Nov 2023) noted that additional trawl closures, the buyback of trawl boat SFRs, and changes to Danish seine gear in 2023, while beneficial to depleted shelf species, will have a significant impact on the key index of abundance (CPUE) for these species and make it difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of these (and other) conservation measures contained in the relevant rebuilding strategies.

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the incidental bycatch TAC of 60 t for John Dory during the 2025–26 season on the basis that there is no new information to justify a changing the TAC.

RBC	0 t	The RBC is set at zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor	N/A	A discount factor is not applied to the bycatch TAC.
State catch	4.7 t	Four-year weighted average – mostly NSW catch. State catches are not deducted from a bycatch TAC but should be considered as a source of mortality.
Discards	5 t	Four-year weighted average. Discards are not deducted from a bycatch TAC but should be considered as a source of mortality.
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		60 t – incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends an annual incidental bycatch TAC of 60 t for John Dory during the 2026–27 season with no undercatch or overcatch provisions and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	**202-27 recommended TAC (t)**	**Overcatch and undercatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**Change in TAC (t)**
60				

Mirror Dory

Zenopsis nebulosus

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 4 Species – last assessed by SERAG in 2024.				
Stock Structure	An eastern and western stock is currently assumed for assessment purposes. However, Mirror Dory is managed under a global TAC.				
East **Stock status against reference points (C_{Lim}/C_{Targ})**	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**$CPUE_{Recent}$**	**$CPUE_{Target}$**	**$CPUE_{Limit}$**
	4	2024	1.0218	1.1657	0.4857
	4	2023	0.8759	1.1686	0.4869
	4	2022	0.7170	1.1842	0.493
West **Stock status against reference points (C_{Lim}/C_{Targ})**	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**$CPUE_{Recent}$**	**$CPUE_{Target}$**	**$CPUE_{Limit}$**
	4	2024	0.8568	1.0196	0.4248
	4	2023	0.733	1.0219	0.4258
	4	2022	0.6374	1.0244	0.4268
Stock trend and other indicators	Zones 10 - 30 exhibits large scale, apparently cyclical changes in CPUE. It appears that as catches decline so does CPUE, and as catches increase so does the CPUE. This is unexpected as the intensity of fishing is usually expected to be negatively correlated with CPUE. It may be the case that catches and CPUE change relative to availability of the stock rather than the influence of the fishery on the stock. Better evidence is needed to make such an assertion with confidence. Over the period when CPUE was lower than average (about 1995 - 2004) there was an increase in small shots of < 30 kg, which is suggestive of either low availability or high levels of small fish. Standardised CPUE has declined on average from 2009 to 2016. It differs from unstandardised CPUE early in the fishery (1986 - 1990), in the second half of the fishery (2000 - 2007), over the 2014 - 2017 period and over the last five years. The most recent changes appear strongly correlated with changes in the average depth of fishing with a shift to more relatively shallow water fishing, compared to the second half of the fishery. Standardised CPUE increased in 2023 relative to the previous year and has been below the long-term average and relatively stable for the past six years. The recorded catch of 52.7 t from the east in 2023 has increased compared to the previous year. (Sporcic, 2024a). Zones 40-50 – Mirror Dory catches in the west appear to be episodic with peaks in 1997, 2001 - 2003, and 2010 and 2011, which roughly coincides with minor peaks in CPUE in a manner similar to that observed in the east, although with a more rapid cycle and less extreme variation. There has been an increase of reported catches in waters of 200 m, relative to the start of the series, which is unusual for Mirror Dory in the west. The statistical model fit is very good with the deviations at the extremes in the qqplot being made up of far less than 5% of records at each end.				

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>The amount of catch remains minor until about 1995 (Table 27) after which the amount of catch and the number of records remain at levels that permit usable analyses, with relatively tight precision levels around the mean estimates to be made. From 1990 the CPUE trend for Mirror Dory in the west appears to be relatively periodic and noisy around the long-term average with periods above and below. The 2023 estimated standardised CPUE has exceeded the long-term average, the first time since 2010. (Sporcic, 2024a).</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Species Category
Multi-year RBC</td><td>TAC setting approach</td></tr>
<tr><td>The current season (2025–26) is the 1st year of a 2-year RBC period. The next assessment is scheduled for 2026.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Catch and TAC</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>SESSF Fishing Year</th><th>Agreed TAC (t)</th><th>TAC after unders/overs (t)</th><th>Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</th></tr>
<tr><td>2025-26</td><td>334</td><td>321</td><td>-</td></tr>
<tr><td>2024-25</td><td>182</td><td>185</td><td>193</td></tr>
<tr><td>2023-24</td><td>121</td><td>130</td><td>122</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
<tr><td>Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>Financial Year</th><th>Species GVP ($m)</th><th>Fishery GVP ($m)</th><th>% Fishery GVP</th></tr>
<tr><td>2022-23</td><td>0.47</td><td>65.88</td><td>0.71</td></tr>
<tr><td>2021-22</td><td>0.13</td><td>80.00</td><td>0.16</td></tr>
<tr><td>2020-21</td><td>0.50</td><td>64.00</td><td>0.78</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
<tr><td>ABARES Status (2024 report)</td><td>Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing</td><td>Biomass: Not overfished</td></tr>
<tr><td>Climate Sensitivity
Medium</td><td colspan="2">Preliminary projections indicate (with medium confidence) a 15% decline in abundance through to 2040.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">Assessment summary</td></tr>
<tr><td>Key Model technical assumptions/parameters</td><td colspan="2">The Tier 4 assessment assumes there is a linear relationship between catch rates and exploitable biomass, and that the character of the estimated catch rates has not changed significantly since the reference period to the end of the most recent year.</td></tr>
<tr><td>Significant Changes to data inputs</td><td colspan="2">The Mirror Dory east Tier 4 assessment used mean discard estimates from years where data exists (over the 1998-2020 period) to backfill discard estimates (1986-1997). The same average discard estimates will be used to forward fill any missing years (i.e. 2011-2014, 2016 and 2018).</td></tr>
<tr><td>Assessment results and RAG comments</td><td colspan="2">East</td></tr>
</table>

	The increase in RBC of approximately 103 t, a 38% increase from the year prior, can be mostly attributed to an increase in the most recent four-year average CPUE (including discards) which was used to calculate RBC. The RAG (Nov 2024) noted the discard estimates used in the eastern assessment are high. Industry members noting there are significant catches of Mirror Dory being constrained by quota availability. <u>West</u> The increase in RBC of approximately 31 t, a 41% increase from the year prior, can be mostly attributed to an increase in the most recent four-year average CPUE which was used to calculate the RBC.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommended maintaining the combined RBC of 480 t (107.60 t West + 372.92 t East) for Mirror Dory during the 2026-27 season, as the second year of a two-year RBC period.

	Year	RBC (t)		RBC arrangements
RBC	2026	East: 372.92 West: 107.60	Total: 480.52	Static two-year RBC of 481 t
	2025	East: 372.92 West: 107.60	Total: 480.52	
Discount Factor	72 t	The default Tier 4 discount factor of 15 per cent is applied.		
State Catches	East: N/A West: N/A	There are no estimates of State catches for Mirror Dory (east or west).		
Discards	East: 75 t West: 1 t	Discards are considered low for the west and are not included in the Tier 4 assessment. Estimated discards in the east decreased to 75.23 t in the 2024 assessment compared to 92.1 t in 2023. These discard estimates result in an increase to the 4-year weighted average discard estimate that is deducted from the RBC.		
Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	Recreational catches are not considered in assessment and are assumed to be low.		
RCA(t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.		
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		The provisional TAC of 334 t from the 2024 assessments (481 t RBC - 72 t discount - 75 t discards) exceeded the 2024-25 TAC (of 182 t) by more than 50%. The AFMA Commission (March 2025) agreed to waive the large-change limiting rule when setting the TAC for Mirror Dory during the 2025-26 and 2026-27 SESSF seasons.		

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a TAC of 334 t for Mirror Dory during the 2026–27 season, as the second year of a two-year TAC period, with overcatch and undercatch provisions set at 10 per cent, and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations			
Commercial fishers' interest			
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)			
MAC advice and any dissenting views			
Undercatch (%)	**Overcatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**TAC (t)**

Final agreed TAC				
2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	**2026-27 recommended TAC (t)**	**Overcatch and undercatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**Change in TAC (t)**
334				

Redfish

Centroberyx affinis



Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach.					
Stock Structure	No formal stock discrimination studies have been conducted in Australia. Tagging studies suggested a single unit stock of redfish off NSW. Previous studies of mean length at age suggest differences in growth rates between the 'northern' and 'southern' sectors of the fishery off eastern Australia. The boundary being Latitude 36°S (just north of Montague Island).					
Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2020	4	4	48	20
	1	2017	8	3		
	1	2014	12	2		
Stock trend and other indicators	The 2020 Tier 1 assessment estimates a slightly larger absolute spawning biomass compared to the 2017 assessment. However, the relative spawning biomass has decreased from 7.8%B0 to 3.8%B0. Zones 10-20 – Annual standardised CPUE has declined since 1994 (relative to the previous year) and has been below average since 2000 (Figure 100). There have been minimal increases in four of the last five years. (Sporcic, 2024a).					
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach**					
	Annual bycatch TAC set in accordance with the Redfish Stock Rebuilding Strategy.					
Catch and TAC	**SESSF season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**		
	2025-26	30	30	-		
	2024-25	30	30	8		
	2023-24	30	30	14		
Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**		
	2022-23	0.13	65.88	0.20		
	2021-22	0.22	80.00	0.28		

	2020-21	0.16	64.00	0.25
ABARES Status (2024 report)	**Fishing mortality: Uncertain**		**Biomass: Overfished**	
Climate Sensitivity **Medium**	 Atlantis modelling suggests that both fishing and climate change have influenced the state of the stock. Climate change has a moderate influence on the biomass of Redfish and is contributing to a lower biomass than would have occurred otherwise.		
Assessment summary				
Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	Two sex, single stock in two regions; NSW and East Bass Strait. Steepness is fixed at 0.75. Natural mortality (M) estimated at 0.075 (range 0.066 - 0.083) Recruitment deviations are estimated to 2015. The previous assessment (2017) estimated two selectivity patterns, one for onboard data and another for port, with one retention function. The current assessment structure only estimates one selectivity pattern for both NSW and eastern Bass Strait. The current assessment estimates two retention functions, one for each region to allow for differences in discard practices between each region.			
Significant Changes to data inputs	There were no significant changes to data inputs other than the standard inclusion of revised catch, CPUE, discards and biologicals.			
Assessment results and RAG comments	Length composition data is missing for the period 1993–1998 which has been filtered out in the data processing due to missing length type and location information Fits to CPUE: Fitting to two separate catch rate indices results in similar fits to NSW catch rates as in the 2017 assessment, while also fitting to the eastern Bass Strait index.			

	Recruitment: The model estimates above average recruitment in 2013, returning to below average recruitment in 2014 and 2015 and addresses the retrospective pattern revising recruitment deviations down with the inclusion of additional years of data. Biomass Estimate: The new model structure reduces uncertainty in the biomass estimate in the early part of the time series, and results in a lower estimate of absolute and relative biomass. Likelihood profiles: Natural Mortality (*M*) – the likelihood profile suggests a range of values from 0.066 - 0.083, with the most likely value 0.075. Steepness (*h*):There is little information in the model that can inform estimation of *h* and it is fixed at 0.75 in the model. SS_{B2019} - the likelihood profile suggests that the model estimates depletion in 2019 with high certainty, between 2% and 4.75% of unfished levels. Fixed catch projections from the 2020 Tier 1 assessment suggested there is three years difference in expected rebuilding timeframes for catches of 0 t, 50 t or 100 t under average recruitment, and five years between catch scenarios under a low recruitment scenarios (see Projected Biomass, Fig 18). However, catch projections should be treated with caution given the limited recovery of this species observed to date (Figure 16). SERAG (Nov 2020) considered a companion species analysis which investigated the link between target species catch and the associated level of unavoidable bycatch of recovering species. The analysis incorporated a range of factors such as area, depth fished and gear type – also known as metiers. Using logbook data from 2018 and 2019, and expected 2021-22 TACs for the main companion species, the estimated unavoidable bycatch of Redfish for 2021 is 32.2 t, with a range between 26.7 and 38.7 t. SERAG (November 2021) noted that the majority of catch is taken off the east coast of NSW, and there has been no apparent shift distribution. There are two boats which have consistently caught redfish in high amounts, relative to other boats in the fleet, and a third boat has appeared in 2020 and has caught more than any other boat in recent years – this may be evidence of targeting. SERAG (Nov 2022) noted there was no metier analyses being presented at this meeting as they rely on fishing activity as a predictor of catches for next year. SERAG (Nov 2023) noted that additional trawl closures, the buyback of trawl boat SFRs, and changes to Danish seine gear in 2023, while beneficial to depleted shelf species, will have a significant impact on the key index of abundance (CPUE) for these species and make it difficult to evaluate the effectiveness of these (and other) conservation measures contained in the relevant rebuilding strategies. The RAG also noted that AFMA has begun to collect tissue samples from Redfish for CKMR analysis (to estimate population size).

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the incidental bycatch TAC of 30 t for Redfish during the 2026–27 season on the basis that there is no new information that indicates a need to change the TAC.		
RBC	0 t	The RBC is set at zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.
State catch	4.3 t	State catches are not deducted from the bycatch TAC but are considered as part of the annual review of the rebuilding strategy each year. The four-year (2021-2024) weighted average catch for the states is 4.3 t.
Discards	N/A	Discards are modelled in the Tier 1 assessment but are not deducted from the bycatch TAC. The estimate for 2025 is 17.1 t.
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		30 t – incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

Redfish has been under a rebuilding strategy since 2015 with additional management measures implemented in 2023 in the CTS that are expected to provide benefits to this species but that have not yet had time to be realised. Climate is considered to be impacting the stock and maintaining the biomass at lower levels than would have otherwise occurred. Further, the retained catch of redfish is very low, making it difficult to collect sufficient data to undertake a stock assessment and monitor the performance of the rebuilding strategy. The introduction of electronic monitoring in July 2026 is intended to provide improved estimates of total mortality.

Redfish is currently being considered for listing as “Critically Endangered” under the EPBC Act 1999 noting AFMA has recommended that it be considered in the category of Conservation Dependent.

AFMA is considering the implementation of a species-specific management measure to strengthen arrangements under which rebuilding to at least its limit reference point, can occur. Two options being considered are:

(1) A TAC of 5 tonnes to allow for some retention of incidental catches
(2) A TAC of 0 tonnes

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers’ interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC				
2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	**2026-27 recommended TAC (t)**	**Overcatch and undercatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**Change in TAC (t)**
30				

School Whiting



Sillago flindersi

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 Species - Last assessed by SERAG in 2024
Stock Structure	Eastern school whiting is currently managed as a single stock from western Victoria, around Tasmania and along the east coast of Australia to southern Queensland. FRDC 2019-030 project demonstrated that School Whiting form a single stock on the east coast of mainland Australia and in Bass Strait (Hall et al. 2024). While there is some evidence of stock separation with the east coast of Tasmania, catches of School Whiting are low in this area (zone 30).

Stock status against reference points ($\%B_0$ in year +1)

Tier	Assessment Year	Biomass (from assessment year)	Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)	Target	Limit
1	2024	54	54	48	20
1	2020	41	41		
1	2017	47	36		

Stock trend and other indicators

Annual standardised CPUE in the base case assessment varies among the fleets in the assessment, with the difference primarily associated with location. Standardised CPUE for the two Commonwealth fleets was sourced from Sporcic (2024), while CPUE for NSW fleets was standardised by Karina Hall (NSW DPI) using similar methods to Sporcic (2024).

The recent standardised CPUE for Victorian Danish seine, which operates predominately in Bass Strait (zone 60), declined around 50% from 2014–2020, reaching its lowest recorded level. Standardised CPUE increased in 2021, before declining to be just above the 2020 value in 2023.

The Commonwealth trawl fleet operates predominately in Commonwealth waters off southern NSW, eastern Victoria and northern Tasmania (zones 10 and 20). Its standardised CPUE increased rapidly over the past decade to be four times higher in 2023 than it was in 2013. A similar trend in standardised CPUE was observed for the NSW southern trawl fleet, that operates in NSW State waters south of Barrenjoey (Sydney, zone 10). Its standardised CPUE also increased around four times over 2013–2023.

The two other NSW fleets with CPUE indices (northern trawl and prawn trawl), operating in NSW State waters north of Barrenjoey (zone 91) all experienced relatively variable, but generally flat standardised CPUE over the past decade.

The assessment is unable to simultaneously fit to the separate trends in CPUE observed in Bass Strait, southern NSW and northern NSW. The base case assessment fits more closely to the Victorian Danish seine CPUE, which is declining, so in this respect the assessment is more precautionary than if it were to fit to the Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl CPUE. The

<table>
<tr><td></td><td>discrepancy between the CPUE series was the main motivation to consider separate NSW and Victorian assessment models.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Species Category
Multi-year RBC</td><td>TAC setting approach</td></tr>
<tr><td>The current season (2025–26) is the 1st year of a two-year RBC period</td></tr>
<tr><td>Catch and TAC</td><td colspan="3"><table>
<tr><th>SESSF season</th><th>Agreed TAC (t)</th><th>TAC after unders/overs (t)</th><th>Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</th></tr>
<tr><td>2025-26</td><td>1,202</td><td>1,289</td><td>-</td></tr>
<tr><td>2024-25</td><td>914</td><td>998</td><td>356</td></tr>
<tr><td>2023-24</td><td>914</td><td>998</td><td>259</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
<tr><td>Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook</td><td colspan="3"><table>
<tr><th>Financial Year</th><th>Species GVP ($m)</th><th>Fishery GVP ($m)</th><th>% Fishery GVP</th></tr>
<tr><td>2022-23</td><td>1.44</td><td>65.88</td><td>2.20</td></tr>
<tr><td>2021-22</td><td>7.48</td><td>80.00</td><td>9.35</td></tr>
<tr><td>2020-21</td><td>2.22</td><td>64.00</td><td>3.47</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
<tr><td>ABARES Status (2024 report)</td><td colspan="2">Fishing mortality: Not subject to overfishing</td><td>Biomass: Not overfished</td></tr>
<tr><td>Climate Sensitivity
Medium</td><td colspan="3"> 

Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a moderate influence on the biomass of Whiting and is contributing to a lower biomass than would have occurred otherwise.</td></tr>
</table>

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	The 2024 base case assessment of School Whiting uses an age and size structured model implemented in the generalised stock assessment software package, Stock Synthesis (SS) (Version 3.30.22.01). The base case assumes School Whiting forms a single stock across south eastern Australia (zones 10–60 and 91). The vast majority of catches are taken in zones 10, 20, 60, 91 and adjacent NSW State waters. The stock assumed to be unexploited at the start of 1942, as catches prior to this time are thought to have been minimal. Six fishing fleets are modelled: 1. Victorian Danish seine: Danish seine vessels operating in eastern Victoria, Bass Strait and Tasmania (1947–2023). 2. Commonwealth trawl: Commonwealth otter trawlers operating in south eastern Australia (1947–2023). 3. NSW Danish seine: Danish seine vessels operating in NSW regulated waters (1942–1994, 2010–2023). 4. NSW northern trawl: otter trawlers operating in NSW regulated waters north of the Barrenjoey (Sydney, 1942–2023). 5. NSW prawn trawl: prawn trawlers targeting Eastern King Prawns (*Melicertus plebejus*) in NSW state waters north of Barrenjoey (Sydney, 1942–2023). 6. NSW southern trawl: otter trawlers operating in NSW waters south of Barrenjoey (Sydney, 1942–2023). The population plus-group is modelled at age 9 years. Recruitment to the stock is assumed to follow a Beverton-Holt stock recruitment relationship, parameterised by the average recruitment at unexploited spawning biomass, R_0, and the steepness parameter, h. Steepness for the base case is pre-specified to be 0.75. The parameter determining the magnitude of the process error in annual recruitment, σ_R, is set to 0.7. Growth is assumed to follow the von Bertalanffy growth equation and is estimated within the assessment separately for both sexes combined. Natural mortality (M) is pre-specified within the assessment to be 0.6.
Significant Changes to data inputs	<u>Maximum Modelled Length</u> The maximum length in the 2020 assessment model was limited to 25 cm, with only a small proportion of School Whiting have been observed to grow larger than this. The 2024 assessment increased the maximum length in the model to 30 cm, resulting is an increase in the estimated growth rate and maximum length (Burch et al. 2024). Limiting the maximum length in previous models appears to have impacted the estimation of growth, resulting in biomass estimated to be lower than it would have been had the maximum modelled length been higher (Burch et al. 2024). <u>Time Varying Discarding</u>

	Time-block discarding for Commonwealth vessels in 2017-2019 to accommodate an increase in observed discards, Industry noted this may be market driven. Change to fleet structure The southern trawl fleet (named Commonwealth trawl in the 2020 assessment) that comprised NSW registered otter trawlers operating in zone 10 (south of Barrenjoey, Sydney) and Commonwealth otter trawlers operating in south eastern Australia (zones 10, 20, 91) was split into separate NSW and Commonwealth fleets. This was done because the southern trawl fleet used discard rate estimates from Commonwealth trawl vessels, however, NSW vessels south of Barrenjoey are estimated to have much lower discard rates (as they are not under quota). Stock Structure While FRDC 2019-030 found little evidence of biological differences across its range, the 2020 review of the School Whiting assessment (Smith, 2020) noted that even if School Whiting formed a single biological stock over south eastern Australia, separating the assessment may still be justified based on differing exploitation patterns. In an attempt to accommodate differences in CPUE trends between the Commonwealth Danish seine and the Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl fleets and following the recommendations of the 2020 Smith review, the 2024 assessment explored separating the combined assessment was subsequently split into complementary NSW and Victorian components (Burch et al. 2024). The complementary assessments retained the same model structure, pre-specified and estimated model parameters as the final combined assessment.
Assessment results and RAG comments	The base case (the final combined assessment) fits reasonably well to the recent CPUE for the Victorian Danish seine fleet and the early and late NSW northern trawl and prawn trawl CPUE, but poorly to the recent Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl CPUE. The failure to fit the Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl CPUE is due to the other CPUE series declining or remaining relatively constant over 2019–2023 and the model not being able to fit both trends simultaneously. Fits to the discards proportions were generally good, with the time-blocks added for the two Commonwealth fleets accommodating the increase in discarding observed from 2017–2019. Fits to aggregated length data and the conditional age-at-length data were relatively good for most fleets. Separating the assessment into complementary NSW and Victorian components led to similar fits to most of the data sources. The exception was the fits to the CPUE data, with the NSW only assessment fitting more closely to the Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl fleets than the combined assessment. The fits of the Victorian assessment were very similar to the combined assessment. The combined estimate of SSB_0 for these two complementary assessments is 13,992 t, quite similar to the estimate from the final combined assessment. Trends in spawning biomass and stock status between the final combined assessment and the total of the two complementary assessments are quite similar until 2018 when the combined assessment stabilises at 40–48% SSB_0, over 2020–2024 while the total of the two complementary assessments increases to be 72.0% of SSB_0 at the beginning of 2025 (Burch et al. 2024,

	Figure 3). This difference appears to be driven by the NSW assessment fitting the increase in recent CPUE of the Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl fleets, fleets which catch a small proportion of the total catch (16–25% of the catches in the NSW assessment for 2009–2023). SERAG accepted the final combined assessment as the base case due to concerns about the NSW only assessment showing an increase in stock status of the NSW assessment to 81% of SSB_0 that was driven by the model fitting to the Commonwealth trawl and NSW southern trawl Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE), which takes only a small proportion of the catch, compared to the NSW Danish seine, northern trawl and prawn trawl fleets. SERAG 2024 recommended the 2-year average RBC of 3,024 t (Retained Catch 2,432 t and Discarded Catch 592 t) produced by the combined Tier 1 assessment for School Whiting for the 2025–26 and 2026–27 SESSF seasons.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommended maintain the RBC of 3,024 t for School Whiting during the 2026–27 season, as the second year of a static two-year RBC.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC	2026	2,961	Static 2-year RBC using the 2-year average from the 2024 assessment
	2025	3,086	
	2-year average	3,024	
Discount Factor	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments	
State catch	811 t (4-year weighted average) (29 t non-NSW)	The 4-year weighed average is not used in the final TAC calculation. See Commonwealth/NSW catch split calculation in AFMA advice below that excludes NSW state catches from the Commonwealth TAC calculation.	
Discards	592 t (2-year average)	Model estimated discards from the most recent assessment are deducted from the RBC.	
Recreational Catch	N/A	Recreational catch estimates are uncertain, and species (including King George whiting) are not clearly delineated.	
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		1,621 t (3024 t RBC – 811 t state – 592 t discard) noting that a catch sharing arrangement is used to calculate the TAC.	

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends a TAC of 1,202 t for School Whiting during the 2026–27 season, as the second year of a two-year TAC period with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10 per cent and a determined amount of 2 t.

The above TAC includes the 50:50 catch split with NSW and is calculated by deducting the model estimated discards (592 t) and non-NSW catches (29 t) from the 2-year average RBC (3,024 t) then multiplying by 0.5.

MAC Recommendations				
Commercial fishers' interest				
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)				
MAC advice and any dissenting views				
Undercatch (%)	**Overcatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**TAC (t)**	

Final agreed TAC				
2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	**2026-27 recommended TAC (t)**	**Overcatch and undercatch (%)**	**Determined amount (t)**	**Change in TAC (t)**
1,202				

Silver Trevally

Pseudocaranx georgianus



Species Summary

<table>
<tr><td>Stock Assessment</td><td colspan="5">Following the development of the interim SESSF Harvest Strategy Framework in 2025, the 2023 joint assessment of Silver Trevally (by CSIRO and NSW DPI) has been reclassified as a Tier 3 assessment</td></tr>
<tr><td>Stock Structure</td><td colspan="5">Preliminary research suggests that the silver trevally off south-eastern Australia consist of a single stock.</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">Stock status against reference points (%B_0 in year +1)</td><td>Tier</td><td>Assessment Year</td><td>Biomass (from assessment year)</td><td>Target</td><td>Limit</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>2023</td><td>26.7</td><td>48</td><td>20</td></tr>
<tr><td>Tier</td><td>Assessment Year</td><td>$CPUE_{Recent}$</td><td>$CPUE_{Target}$</td><td>$CPUE_{Limit}$</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>2022</td><td>0.4787</td><td>0.9504</td><td>0.396</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>4</td><td>2021</td><td>0.5172</td><td>0.9418</td><td>0.3924</td></tr>
<tr><td>Stock trend and other indicators</td><td colspan="5">Zones 10-20 including MPA- Annual standardised CPUE trend is noisy and relatively flat since about 1992 and has remained mostly below average since 2012, despite recent increases towards average between 2020 and 2023 relative to 2019, based on 95% confidence intervals. A major change from the nominal geometric mean occurs from 2013 onwards and this is mainly due to changes in the vessels operating, the depths in which they fish, and the reduced the quantity of fish caught. The number of vessels actively contributing to this fishery has reduced to low numbers and this may also be related to the recent major deviation from the nominal CPUE. Seven vessels operated in 2019 contributing to a total of only 1.9 t, the lowest in the series. By contrast, annual catches have increased between 2020-2022 which corresponds to more vessels operating across these years. The 2020 catch (32.7 t) is comparable with the 2018 catch (30 t). The 2023 catch (13.2 t) is about 48% less than the 2022 catch (25.4 t). (Sporcic, 2024a).

Zones 10-20 excluding MPA- Annual standardised CPUE trend is noisy and relatively flat since about 2012 and mostly below average, despite recent increases towards average between 2020 and 2023 relative to 2019, based on 95% confidence intervals (Figure 250). A deviation similar to that in the ‘include MPA’ scenario is apparent where the standardised trend deviates markedly from the nominal geometric mean trend from 2013 - 2017 and for the same reasons of changes in vessels fishing, low numbers of significantly contributing vessels, changes in the depth distribution of fishing and lower catches and numbers of records. (Sporcic, 2024a).</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">Species Category
Multi-year RBC</td><td colspan="5">TAC setting approach</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Silver Trevally is currently (2025-26) in the 2nd year of a 3-year RBC/TAC period.</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><td rowspan="4">Catch and TAC</td><td>SESSF Fishing Year</td><td>Agreed TAC (t)</td><td>TAC after unders/overs (t)</td><td>Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)</td></tr>
<tr><td>2025-26</td><td>25</td><td>27</td><td>-</td></tr>
<tr><td>2024-25</td><td>25</td><td>25</td><td>13</td></tr>
<tr><td>2023-24</td><td>25</td><td>25</td><td>13</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook</td><td>Financial Year</td><td>Species GVP ($m)</td><td>Fishery GVP ($m)</td><td>% Fishery GVP</td></tr>
<tr><td>2022-23</td><td>0.23</td><td>65.88</td><td>0.35</td></tr>
<tr><td>2021-22</td><td>0.20</td><td>80.00</td><td>0.25</td></tr>
<tr><td>2020-21</td><td>0.08</td><td>64.00</td><td>0.12</td></tr>
<tr><td>ABARES Status (2023 report)</td><td colspan="2">Fishing mortality: Not Subject to Overfishing</td><td colspan="2">Biomass: Uncertain</td></tr>
<tr><td>Climate Sensitivity
Medium</td><td colspan="4">These species were assessed to be moderately sensitive to climate change using an information poor assessment based on life history characteristics.</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="5">Assessment summary</td></tr>
<tr><td>Key Model technical assumptions/parameters</td><td colspan="4">The summary below was sourced from Burch et al. (2023).

Stock Structure and Data

The assessment assumes a single stock of Silver Trevally in NSW and Victorian state waters and in the adjacent Commonwealth waters (CTS zones 10, 20 and 60). This region provides more than 95% of the catches and all of the available biological data (lengths, ages, biological parameters).

Commercial and recreational landed catch estimates from NSW, Victoria and Commonwealth CTS zones 10, 20 and 60 (the areas off NSW and Victoria) from 1955–2022.

Seven catch fleets: NSW trawl, NSW trap, NSW line, NSW estuary, Commonwealth trawl, the NSW and Victorian recreational sector and Victorian commercial.

Estimated discards for the NSW trawl fleet after the introduction of the minimum legal length (MLL) in 2007. Other NSW fleets do not select fish below the MLL.

Length data for six of the catch fleets and the Kapala survey, with selectivity estimated for all fleets except NSW trawl (fixed at values estimated from an earlier model due to difficulties implementing the retention function) and Victorian commercial (mirrored to Commonwealth trawl).

Age-at-length data for 1998 from NSW trawl, NSW trap, NSW line and NSW estuary fisheries.

Standardised CPUE for the NSW trawl, NSW trap and Commonwealth trawl fisheries.

Low Recruitment</td></tr>
</table>

	Several SESSF stocks have shown evidence of below average recruitment over the last two decades, including eastern Jackass Morwong, eastern Redfish, eastern Gemfish, Blue Warehou and Silver Warehou. For Silver Trevally, the estimated recruitment deviations provide a reasonable level of evidence that this stock has experienced below average recruitment over much of the last 30 years. SERAG directed that the base case assessment be projected assuming future recruitment remains below the long-term average. Future recruitment was set to the average of the most recent 10 years of estimated recruitments (2010–2019). Natural Mortality Estimates of natural mortality for Silver Trevally from life history studies in south-eastern Australia are M=0.12–0.19 yr^{-1}, while the estimate from New Zealand is M=0.10 yr^{-1}. The New Zealand estimate is based on a maximum age of 45 years, which is almost double the maximum age of 25 years observed in Silver Trevally from south-eastern Australia. Additionally, New Zealand Silver Trevally also mature at 32–37cm, much larger than the 19–23cm for Silver Trevally in south-eastern Australia. Finally, the growth rates of Silver Trevally in south-eastern Australia and New Zealand are substantially different. Based on these differences, natural mortality for the 2023 base case Silver Trevally assessment was pre-specified at the estimate of M=0.18yr^{-1} derived from life history correlates in the most recent NSW assessment (Fowler et al. 2023).
Significant Changes to data inputs	N/A - this was the first Tier 3 assessment on Silver Trevally.
Assessment results and RAG comments	The base case assessment estimates unfished female spawning biomass (SSB_0) at 5,091t and current spawning biomass (SSB_{2024}) at 1,360 t with current stock status (SSB_{2024}/SSB_0) estimated to be 26.7%. If average recruitment is assumed, then current stock status is estimated to be 32.8%. The assessment is very sensitive to the assumed value of natural mortality, with the base case assuming M = 0.18 yr-1. Current stock status estimates from models with plausible natural mortality values of M = 0.11–0.18 yr^{-1} are 12.8–26.7%. For catches of 50–150 t per annum, stock status in 2027 is estimated to increase to 30.3–32.9% for the base case and 22.3–24.5% for a low recruitment scenario with M = 0.14 yr^{-1} (Burch et al. 2023). **Table 1. Selected sensitivity scenarios to the 2023 base case Silver Trevally model. Estimates of current stock status and the difference between the current stock status from the base case and the sensitivity (Δ Stock Status). All models assume below average recruitment during the projection period (2020 onwards), with the exception of the 2023 base case with average recruitment. † Denotes models that have had their Francis weighting recalculated, while the other models retain the base case Francis weighting.** **Sensitivity Scenario** / **Current Stock Status (%)** / **Δ Stock Status (%)** **†2023 base case (*M*=0.18yr^{-1})** / **26.7** / **-** **†2023 base case (*M*=0.18yr^{-1}) assuming average recruitment** / **32.8** / **6.1** **†Low natural mortality (*M*=0.14yr^{-1})** / **18.6** / **-8.1** **Very low natural mortality (*M*=0.11yr^{-1})** / **12.8** / **-13.9** Low steepness (*h*=0.6) / 22.7 / -4.0 High steepness (*h*=0.8) / 30.3 / 3.6 †Remove NSW trap CPUE / 23.8 / -2.9 Commonwealth CPUE 1992–2022 / 23.3 / -3.4 Halve the weighting on the CPUE data / 24.4 / -2.4 Double the weighting on the CPUE data / 29.0 / 2.2

	SERAG (Nov 2023) noted if models can estimate M this is often a preferred approach, however estimating natural mortality will not be possible for this year's assessment. CSIRO noted most assessments where an estimation of *M* is used there is a reasonable set of age data available, this assessment is only using the one year of age data. This highlights the need to collect Silver Trevally otoliths for ageing. SERAG (Nov 2023) was comfortable with accepting the base case (low productivity scenario) as it reflects the decisions agreed to by SERAG 1 (2023) and that of the Silver Trevally Working Group.

RAG Recommendations

SESSFRAG (August 2025) recommend an RBC of 25 t for Silver Trevally during the 2026-27 season, as the third year of average three-year RBC.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC recommendations
RBC	2026	25	Yes 3-Year RBC using average RBC 25 t.
	2025	25	
	2024	25	
Discount Factor	N/A	A Tier 3 (10%) discount factor is not applicable as the TAC was set based on a constant catch projection (of 100 t yr^{-1} by all user groups) under a low recruitment scenario.	
State catch	72.85 t	There has been a decrease in the state Weighted-Average Catch (WAC) between the 2020–23 period and 2021-2024 period.	
Discards	N/A	Discards are accounted for in the catch projections	
Recreational Catch	19.1-19.2 t	NSW and Vic Recreational catches for 2019–2022	
RCA	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	

Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy	Provisional TACs under fixed catch projections: 50 t yr^{-1} – 0 t TAC 70 t yr^{-1} – 0 t TAC 100 t yr^{-1} – 27 t TAC 125 t yr^{-1} – 52 t TAC 150 t yr^{-1} – 77 t TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a TAC of 25 t be applied to Silver Trevally for the 2026–27 season, as the third year of a three-year TAC period with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10 per cent and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
25				

Slope Species

Blue-eye Trevalla

(Hyperoglyphe antarctica)



ABARES (2012): Line drawing – FAO

Species Summary					
Stock Assessment	A Dynamic Tier 4 (DT4) assessment for the blue-eye trevalla slope stock was considered by SERAG in 2024. Catch-Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) and age-structured stock reduction analyses for the seamount stock were considered by SERAG in 2018 and 2021.				
Stock Structure	Variation in age and growth, otolith chemistry and potential larval dispersal, indicate there is likely to be one stock on the continental slope (from which most of the catch is taken) which is separate from the stock/s found on the east coast seamounts. Fish on the seamounts are assumed to be reproductively isolated from the slope stock. Potential stock structure among the seamounts is not clear. Separate RBCs were determined for the slope and seamount stocks for the first time in 2018. However, a combined TAC continues to be set for blue-eye trevalla.				
<u>SLOPE</u> Stock status against reference points	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass**	**Target**	**Limit**
	DT4	2024	36	48	20
	4	2023	$CPUE_{Recent}$ = 0.8131	$CPUE_{Target}$ = 1.2285	$CPUE_{Limit}$ = 0.5119
	4	2022	$CPUE_{Recent}$ = 0.7917	$CPUE_{Target}$ = 1.2286	$CPUE_{Limit}$ = 0.5119
<u>SEAMOUNT</u> Stock status against reference points ($\%B_0$)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Stock Status**	**Target**	**Limit**
	5	2021	33*	48	20
	5	2018	33*	48	20
	No assessment prior to 2018. *Depletion for the seamount stock was estimated to be about $0.33B_0$; however, the uncertainty associated with this estimate is extremely high. SERAG (November 2021) considered the available data and agreed there was no basis on which to revise the outputs of the 2018 catch-MSY analysis.				
Stock trend and other indicators	Total blue-eye trevalla retained catches have declined from 700 tonnes in 2004 to 222.2 t in 2024. <u>Slope</u>				

	Zone 20-30 - Annual standardised CPUE has been below average since about 1996 and shows a relatively flat trend (Sporcic, 2024). Zone 40-50 - Annual standardised CPUE has been mostly below average since about 1996 while the trend has been mostly flat. CPUE are consistent from 1988–1991 (i.e., before the introduction of quotas in 1992) but are double that following the introduction of quota. Relatively very few vessels now contribute to significant catches (Sporcic, 2024). Seamount Annual catch from the seamounts have averaged less than 10 tonnes over the past 3 years.
Species Category	**TAC setting approach**
Slope: MYTAC species	The blue-eye trevalla slope stock is currently (2025-26) in the 1st year of a 2-year TAC period.
Seamount: Trigger species	A review of available data and assessment options for the blue-eye trevalla seamount stock is scheduled for 2027, in accordance with the 6-year threshold, or if 75% of the seamount TAC of 27 t is landed, whichever occurs first.

Catch and TAC

SESSF season	Agreed TAC (t)	TAC after unders/overs (t)	Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)
2025-26	282	307	-
2024-25	263	267	203
2023-24	238	242	235

Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook

Financial Year	Species GVP ($m)	Fishery GVP ($m)	% Fishery GVP
2022-23	3.79	65.88	5.75
2021-22	3.09	80.00	3.86
2020-21	2.48	64.00	3.87

ABARES Status (2024 Report)		
	Fishing Mortality: Not subject to overfishing	**Biomass: Not overfished**

Climate Risk Framework Summary

Step 1: risk score	**Low** Blue-eye trevalla (slope) is considered 'Medium' risk from climate change, and the 2024 stock assessment estimated the biomass to be 'Near Target' which results in an overall risk score of 'Low'.
Step 2: Adaptation measures	N/A as species assessed as 'Low' risk at Step 1
Step 3: residual risk	N/A as species assessed as 'Low' risk at Step 1

Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SERAG (October 2025) noted that for blue-eye trevalla (slope), climate change is not expected to have an immediate impact on the stock. SERAG agreed that no immediate response, beyond periodic review of existing data collection and monitoring programs, is required. SEMAC advice pending.
Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	Slope stock: The DT4 assessment method has recently been developed for Australia's Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) (Bessell-Browne et al., in press). This method can be used for stocks that have limited available information, namely catch and standardised catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE), akin to the long-standing traditional empirically based Tier 4 assessment method (Little et al., 2009) that has been used in the SESSF for many stocks for over 10 years. A major difference between these two methods is that the DT4 is based on a Biomass Dynamics Model (BDM) which can fit multiple CPUE series, while the traditional Tier 4 method is empirically based. Both methods rely on a reference period, where the stock is assumed to be at the target reference point. Seamount stock: *Catch-MSY* The catch-MSY assessment is a data-poor approach which makes assumptions about unfished biomass as well as a range of other assumptions around carrying capacity, intrinsic growth, maximum harvest rate, and current stock status, and requires a time series of known catches that have not been impacted by management. *Age-structured stock reduction analysis* Noting that not all seamounts would be fished in a given year, the model has assumed that harvest rates do not exceed 50 per cent in a single year. This adds constraints to the analysis and assumes that there must have been at least twice the biomass relative to what was caught in any year. Known biological parameters (length at age, length at maturity, weight at length) are used and plausible ranges are assumed for steepness, natural mortality, unfished stock size and current stock status.
Significant Changes to data inputs	Slope stock The 2024 DT4 assessment uses a new catch history series spanning 1969–2023 inclusive, compared with the previous assessment that commenced much later i.e., 1997–2022. This assessment uses two new standardised CPUE series: (i) dropline-CPUE between 1997-2006; and autoline-CPUE that incorporates pink ling (*Genypterus blacodes*) as a covariate in the statistical standardisation analysis -between 2005–2023, as requested by the Blue-eye Trevalla and Pink Ling Working Group (November 2024). Seamount stock The 2021 update to both data-poor assessment methods included catches from the Tasman seamounts and the Lord Howe Rise, whereas the 2018 assessment did not include the Lord Howe Rise. Catch data were provided by NSW fisheries

	and the Commonwealth logbooks. Discard rates are extremely low. SERAG (September 2021) agreed that data should be collected to move this stock out of the Tier 5 assessment method, noting that the Close Kin Mark Recapture scoping project currently underway may provide a reasonable avenue to do this.
Assessment results and RAG comments	Slope stock: *Dynamic Tier 4 (2024)* The 2025 RBC for the blue-eye trevalla slope stock was estimated at 295.71 t, representing a 20.55 t increase relative to the 2024 RBC (275.16 t) based on the traditional Tier 4 assessment. This increase in RBC may be attributed to the use of new assessment method, standardised CPUE series for autoline and dropline fisheries, and annual catch history data. The DT4 assessment requires the same data inputs, namely catch, CPUE and a reference year period as a traditional Tier 4 assessment. However, it allows multiple CPUE series to be fitted unlike the traditional Tier 4 method and is model-based compared with empirical-based traditional Tier 4 method. While the DT4 assessment is an improvement on the empirical Tier 4 assessment, SERAG (November 2024) noted that it still has limitations, and recommended that work be undertaken to support a Tier 1 assessment of this commercially valuable stock. SERAG (November 2025) supported the development of candidate integrated assessment model (Tier 1) for the blue-eye trevalla slope stock for consideration by SESSFRAG in May 2026. Seamount stock: The blue-eye trevalla seamount stock is categorised as a 'trigger species' under the SESSF Harvest Strategy Framework. In accordance with the trigger species approach, the AFMA Commission has set a long-term TAC of 36 tonnes for the this stock, based on the recommendation provided by SERAG in November 2021.

RAG Recommendations

The MYTAC Working Group (October 2025) reviewed the available data for the blue-eye trevalla slope stock and, despite noting a continued decline in CPUE and that the TAC has not been fully taken, concluded that an RBC of 296 tonnes for the 2026-27 fishing season is unlikely to compromise stock sustainability. The MYTAC Working Group therefore recommended maintaining the RBC, while noting a new assessment is scheduled for 2026.

SERAG (October 2025) supported the recommendation made by the MYTAC Working Group to retain an RBC of 296 tonnes for the blue-eye trevalla slope stock as the second year of a two-year static RBC for the 2026-27 fishing season.

No triggers were breached by the blue-eye trevalla seamount stock during the 2025-26 fishing season. Consistent with the trigger species approach, SERAG (October 2025) recommended maintaining the TAC for this stock at 36 tonnes for the 2026-27 SESSF fishing season.

	Year	RBC (t): Slope	RBC (t): Seamount	RBC arrangements
RBC (t)	2026	296	36	

	2025	296	36	2-year static RBC of 296 tonnes
Discount Factor	44 t (applied to DT4 RBC)	SERAG (November 2023) supported the application of the 15 per cent discount factor to the RBC for the slope stock. No discount factor is applied to the seamount stock as it is managed as a trigger species, with a catch trigger of 27 tonnes.		
State catches	8.7 t	Mostly NSW catches – declining in recent years.		
Discards	N/A	Estimates of discards are considered to be extremely low and are not used in the assessment. As such, they are not deducted from the RBC.		
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are no records of recreational catches.		
Research Catch Allocation	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.		
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		279 tonnes TAC (243 tonnes slope + 36 tonnes seamount)		

AFMA Advice

AFMA management recommends a TAC of 279 tonnes for blue-eye trevalla during the 2026–27 fishing season, as the second year of a two-year TAC period, with overcatch and undercatch provisions set at 10 per cent, and a determined amount of 2 tonnes.

AFMA will review the TAC and assessment options for the seamount stock in 2027, unless the 27-tonnes catch trigger is breached beforehand, in which case the review will occur within that year.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final Agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)

282				

Blue Grenadier

Macruronus novaezelandiae

ABARES (2012) Line drawing - Rosalind Poole

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 Species - last assessed by SERAG in 2025.					
Stock Structure	Blue grenadier is assessed as one stock, however there is some evidence of separate stocks occurring across the SESSF. There are two defined sub-fisheries: the spawning fishery as defined by fishing occurring in Zone 40 (western Tasmania) in months June, July and August, and the non- spawning fishery that includes all other zones and months (except the GAB).					
Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2025	100	100	48	20
	1	2022	124	112		
	1	2021	155	107		
Stock trend and other indicators	Annual standardised CPUE were below average from 1993–2013, with two apparent cycles, peaking in 1999 and 2008 respectively. From 2014–2015, these indices were above average. Also, there was a consistent and above average increase from 2018–20, then a decrease in 2021 and 2022. The 2023 standardised CPUE dropped below the long-term average. (Sporcic, 2024a).					
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach**					
	The current season (2025–26) is the 3rd year of a three-year RBC period.					
Catch and TAC	**SESSF Season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**		
	2025-26	17,084	18,764	-		
	2024-25	17,084	18,585	11,807		
	2023-24	17,084	18,899	6,655		
Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**		
	2022-23	14.46	65.88	22.00		
	2021-22	33.12	80.00	41.40		
	2020-21	21.86	64.00	34.16		
ABARES Status (2024 Report)	**Fishing Mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**			

Climate Sensitivity **Neutral**	 Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change does not have an influence on the biomass of Blue Grenadier. While greater climatic variability may contribute to increased variability in recruitment success and stock abundance, there is no apparent long-term influence of climate.
Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	2 sex model, age-structured Steepness (h) is fixed at 0.75 Recruits estimated between 1974 and 2019 Maturity: 50% female maturity at 63.7 cm The base case estimates natural mortality for females at 0.238 and males at 0.248
Significant Changes to data inputs	The 2025 assessment was updated with the inclusion of data up to the end of either 2023 (CPUE, discard mass, length composition data), or 2024 (acoustic surveys, catch and age data). The final year of data available was determined by whether that data source had been processed. New acoustic survey points for 2023 and 2024 were included in the base case model, with the surveys from 2019 and 2022 included as a sensitivity
Assessment results and RAG comments	The last full Tier 1 assessment for Blue Grenadier was conducted in 2022 (Tuck and Bessell-Browne, 2022). The 2025 assessment was updated with the inclusion of data up to the end of either 2023 (CPUE, discard mass, length composition data), or 2024 (acoustic surveys, catch and age data). The final year of data available was determined by whether that data source had been processed. New acoustic survey points for 2023 and 2024 were included in the base case model, with the surveys from 2019 and 2022 included as a sensitivity. Results of the base case show reasonably good fits to the length-composition data, conditional age at length, egg and discard mass. Fits to the newly included 2023 and 2024 acoustic survey biomass estimates are good. As has been noted

	in previous Blue Grenadier assessments, the fit to the standardized non-spawning CPUE index is generally poor; the model is unable to fit to the high early catch rates and over-estimates catch rates during the early 2000s. More recent catch rates fit reasonably well, with a reduction in recent estimated catch rates coinciding with a decrease in the observed catch rate value in 2021. The estimated time series of recruitment under the base case parameter set shows the typical episodic nature of Blue Grenadier recruitment, with strong year-classes in 1979, the mid-1980s, 1994, and 2003, with very little recruitment between these years. However, recent recruitments are more consistent, as was first observed in the 2018 assessment. The trajectories of spawning biomass show increases and decreases in spawning biomass as strong cohorts move into and out of the spawning population. Sensitivity tests and jitter analysis suggest a reasonably stable model. However, unlike the 2022 assessment, retrospective patterns are now evident for the 2025 assessment that might indicate model misspecification. Further exploration indicated that the retrospective patterns may be driven by the model responding to the inclusion/exclusion of the 2023 and 2024 acoustic biomass estimates that are of similar magnitude to 2020 and 2021, while also accommodating large recent catches. The RAG noted the variation in estimates of B0 between assessments. Further exploration revealed that even though the assessment estimates of B0 may vary widely, this does not greatly influence the subsequent productivity of the stock and the consequent long-term RBCs. The 2025 preliminary base case estimated virgin female spawning biomass (B0) to be 42,626 tonnes (compared to 35,680 tonnes in the 2022 assessment) and the projected 2026 spawning stock biomass will be 99.5% of virgin female spawning biomass (projected assuming 2024 catches in 2025), compared to 124% at the start of 2023 from the 2022 assessment. The reduction in estimated relative spawning biomass is likely due to the reduced recent catch rates (from the recent high point of 2020) and increased catches. The 2026 recommended biological catch (RBC) under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule is 17,744 t that includes 218 t of estimated discards (17,526 t retained). The long-term RBC is approximately 8,300 t with 185 t discards. Note that the current high RBCs are due to the estimated stock status being well above target (a result of consistent good recent recruitment). If the stock returns to average recruitment over time, the RBCs will in theory reduce towards the long-term RBC. Based on the uncertainty in the retrospective patterns for the Blue Grenadier assessment, SERAG (November 2025) recommended that this assessment be categorised as a Tier 2 (instead of a Tier 1) assessment and a 5% discount factor applied to the RBC. Industry members also stressed the economic importance of the Blue Grenadier stock and taking a precautionary approach when setting the RBC.

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) recommended either a 3-year step-down RBC or a 3-year average RBC, subject to the application of a 5% discount factor. AFMA has elected to use the 3-year average RBC value for the below calculations.

RBC (t)	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements

	2026	17,744	Static 3-year average RBC of 15,643 t for the 2026-27 to 2028-29 fishing seasons.
	2027	15,459	
	2028	13,727	
	3-year average	15,643	
Discount Factor (t)	782 t	The Tier 2 discount factor of 5% applied to the 3-year average RBC values equates to 782 t.	
State catches (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of state catch.	
Discards (t)	211 t (3-year average)	Model estimated discards are 218 t (2026), 209 t (2027) and 205 t (2028).	
Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.	
RCA (t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.	
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy	**14,650 t**	Calculated from the 15,643 t RBC minus the 782 t Tier 2 discount factor minus 211 t of estimated discards.	

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends a TAC of 14,650 t for Blue Grenadier during the 2026–27 fishing season, as the 1st year of a three-year MYTAC, with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10% and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final Agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
17,084				

Gemfish East

Rexea solandri



Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Assessed annually by SERAG through a weight-of-evidence approach.				
Stock Structure	Recent genetic research (Ovenden et al. 2020) has revealed evidence of genetically different populations between the east and west (boundary: west of 146°22'E, north of 42°43'S) (with no gene flow), with a mixing (overlap) of the two stocks in western Bass Strait, through to Portland. The current assessment is based solely on eastern gemfish, caught south of Latitude 43° south off western Tasmania, and east of longitude 146° 22`.				
Stock status against reference points $\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2010	15.6	48	20
	1	2008	16.5		
	1	2007	10		
Stock trend and other indicators	Non spawning stock: Following a large spike in standardised CPUE in the late 1980s, which coincided with a large spike in catches, the annual standardised CPUE trend dropped rapidly despite large reductions in catches and, since 1995 has been relatively flat and below average although with what appears to be a 14–15 year cycle (Figure 264). The 2021 estimate increased significantly relative to the previous year and there was no discernible difference between the last three years. It has been reported that there have been efforts to actively avoid eastern Gemfish for the last few years, and this may have been reflected in the change apparent in the depth of fishing. If these reports are correct, this means that the most recent CPUE, from about 2013, will not be representative of the state of the stock (Sporcic, 2024a). Spawning stock: Annual standardised CPUE trend has declined since 2010 and remained below average since 2011, with the last two years either below or above average, based on 95% confidence intervals (Figure 271). This reflects what appears to be a long-term cycle of CPUE values, which suggests that CPUE values would soon be expected to rise, which occurred in 2019 and 2020. However, the relatively low catches since the past nine years indicate that industry avoidance strategies are effective, and this means the recent CPUE may not provide an unbiased representation of relative stock status. (Sporcic, 2024a).				
Species Category Depleted	**TAC setting approach**				
	Annual bycatch TAC set in accordance with the Eastern Gemfish Stock Rebuilding Strategy.				
Catch and TAC	**SESSF Season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**	
	2025-26	100	100	-	
	2024-25	100	100	21	

	2023-24	100	100	31
Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	Not Available	65.88	Not Available
	2021-22	0.23	80.00	0.29
	2020-21	0.16	64.00	0.25
ABARES Status (2024 Report)	**Fishing Mortality: Uncertain**		**Biomass: Overfished**	
Climate Sensitivity **High**	 Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a major influence on the biomass of Gemfish East and is contributing to a much lower biomass than would have occurred otherwise.			

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	The data in the model is divided into four fleets: i. A non-trawl fleet (1993 – 2009) ii. A fleet targeting the winter spawning run (1975 – 2000 and inclusion of the results of the 2007 and 2008 surveys) iii. A non-spawning (summer) season fleet (1975 – 2009), and iv. A recent (spawning season) winter bycatch fleet (2000 – 2009).
Significant Changes to data inputs	N/A
Assessment results and RAG comments	SERAG (Dec 2020) noted the non-spawning CPUE index has increased over the last 3- years, which is consistent with industry reports of higher catch rates in 2019 and 2020. Total Commonwealth landings for 2019 were 72 t, more than double the 2018 catch of 34 t.

	The stock assessment has not been formally updated since 2010 due to a paucity of data, and the main index of abundance is no longer considered reliable due to avoidance behaviour. Model fits to the non-spawning trawl fleet in the 2010 Tier 1 stock assessment were good. While not as valuable as the winter spawning index, it could be considered as an alternative index of abundance. CSIRO will clarify what is required to update the assessment, including whether the non-spawning CPUE index can be used as an index of abundance. Fixed catch projections from the 2010 Tier 1 assessment estimate catches of up to 100 t will allow for recovery under average recruitment. However, catch projections should be treated with caution given the failure of this species to recover since the 2010 stock assessment. SERAG has previously pointed out the eastern gemfish stock may now be at a new equilibrium and the stock may not rebuild under current conditions. Recent research by (Ovenden, et al., 2020) identified genetic drift and divergence as potential factors influencing the ability of gemfish stocks to rebuild. SERAG (Dec 2020) noted that total mortality for the 3 years immediately after the 2010 stock assessment were higher than those expected to allow for rebuilding of the stock. While the projections are based on landed catches of 100 t, the discard proportion for those years was high, between 55 and 67 per cent, resulting in total mortality of nearly double the projected catches. Total mortality from 2014 to 2018 was less than 100 t, and recent increases in CPUE are consistent with the modelled predicted increase in biomass when total mortality is less than 100 t. Eastern gemfish was scheduled for a Tier 1 stock assessment in 2022. The main index of abundance from the spawning stock is not thought to be reliable because of avoidance behaviour, so completing a new assessment might not be possible. However, SERAG recommended at least updating the 2010 stock assessment to include catches up to 2020, and projecting forward using various fixed catches and recruitment scenarios. SERAG (Nov 2021) considered a targeting analysis for eastern gemfish as part of the 2021 annual review of the rebuilding strategy and noted: - While catches in the northern part of the fishery have reduced, there is no apparent shift in the spatial distribution of catches. - There is no evidence of boats targeting eastern gemfish. - There are higher catches in the automatic longline hook sector in 2020, however these need to be understood before any advice around targeting or indicators of stock status can be resolved. - Standardised spawning and non-spawning CPUE has increased over the last 3 years, but without an assessment it isn't possible to determine whether this is indicative of an increase to biomass. - There is still some uncertainty around whether total mortality is sufficiently low to allow rebuilding – this will need to be resolved as part of updating the stock assessment in 2022. SERAG (Nov 2021) considered a companion species analysis which investigated the link between target species catch and the associated level of

	unavoidable bycatch of recovering species. The analysis incorporated a range of factors such as area, depth fished and gear type– also known as métiers. Using logbook data from 2019 and 2020, and expected 2022-23 TACs for the main companion species (blue-eye trevalla, pink ling and blue grenadier) the estimated unavoidable bycatch of eastern gemfish for 2022 is 88.7 t, with a range between 68.9 and 111.9 t. SERAG (Nov 2022) noted the absence of métier analyses to inform bycatch TACs due to the significant structural changes occurring next year. SERAG (Nov 2023) noted the spatial closures, structural adjustment and gear changes, while beneficial to the species, have significant impacts on the key index of abundance (CPUE) for many rebuilding species and that this makes it very difficult to measure the performance of the rebuilding strategies.

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the 100 t bycatch TAC for Gemfish East noting there is insufficient evidence to warrant a change in the TAC.

RBC (t)	0	The RBC is set at zero for all depleted species.
Discount Factor (t)	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.
State catches (t)	N/A	State catches are considered negligible and are not deducted from the bycatch TAC.
Discards (t)	N/A	Projections from the 2010 Tier 1 assessment were based on landed catch and so estimates of discards (23.1 t) are not deducted from the bycatch TAC but should be considered as a source of mortality.
Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.
RCA (t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		100 t – Incidental bycatch TAC

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends an incidental bycatch TAC of 100 t for Gemfish east during the 2026–27 season, with no undercatch or overcatch provisions, and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
100				

Pink ling

Genypterus blacodes

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 Species – eastern stock last assessed by SERAG in 2024, western stock last assessed in 2021.				
Stock Structure	Pink ling are assessed as separate stocks east and west of Longitude 147° East. Genetic variation between eastern and western pink ling has not been found, however, there are differences in size and age structure, growth and catch rates between the Eastern and Western Zones. These differences suggest there is little mixing of pink ling between the zones, and that fishing in one area will have limited impact on fish in the other area.				
East **Stock status against reference points ($\%B_0$ in year +1**	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2024	43	48	20
	1	2021	34		
	1	2018	30		
West **Stock status against reference points ($\%B_0$ in year +1)**	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2021	91	48	20
	1	2018	84		
	1	2015	73		
Stock trend and other indicators	Zones 10-30: Annual standardised CPUE has been below average corresponding to a relatively flat trend from 2001–19, with the most recent estimate just below the long-term average, based on 95% confidence intervals. CPUE has increased since 2015, despite the decreases in both 2021 and 2023 relative to the previous years' respectively. The first structural adjustment had a major influence on the vessel factor from 2006 or 2007 onwards. (Sporcic, 2024a). Zones 40-50: Annual standardised CPUE declined to its lowest point in 2005 then increased to the long-term average from 2013–2016. CPUE fluctuated around the long-term average thereafter and has been above average since 2020, based on the 95% confidence intervals. (Sporcic, 2024a).				
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach**				
	The current season (2025–26) is the first year of a four-year RBC period for pink Ling east and pink ling west stocks.				
Catch and TAC	**SESSF Season**	**Agreed TAC (t)**	**TAC after unders/overs (t)**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch (t)**	

	2025-26	1,541	1,656	-
	2024-25	1,533	1,657	1,001
	2023-24	1,565	1,677	951
Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	7.51	65.88	11.38
	2021-22	9.10	80.00	11.38
	2020-21	6.76	64.00	10.56
ABARES Status (2024 Report)	**Fishing Mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**	
Climate Sensitivity Medium	  Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a moderate influence on the biomass of pink ling and is contributing to a lower biomass than would have occurred otherwise.			

Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	Pink ling east stock The 2024 base-case assessment for the pink ling east stock applies an age- and size-structured model implemented in the generalized stock assessment software package, Stock Synthesis (SS) (Version 3.30.22.01). This stock was assessed independently from the pink ling west stock . For the purposes of this assessment, the pink ling east stock is assumed to be unexploited at the start of 1970, as catches prior to this time are thought to have been minimal. Four fishing fleets are modelled: 1. Trawl: otter trawlers 1970-2023 2. Non-trawl: non trawl vessels 1970-2023 3. Fishery independent survey: fishery independent survey operating in NW, eastern Victoria and Bass Strait 2008-2016 4. Kapala survey: fishery independent survey 1975-1996 The population plus-group is modelled at age 20 years. Recruitment to the stock is assumed to follow a Beverton-Holt stock recruitment relationship, parameterised by the average recruitment at unexploited spawning biomass, R_0, and the steepness parameter, h. Steepness for the base case is pre-specified at 0.75. The initial value of the parameter determining the magnitude of the process error in annual recruitment, σ_R, is set to 0.7. Growth is assumed to follow the Von Bertalanffy growth equation and is estimated within the assessment separately for males and females. Natural mortality (M) is pre-specified within the assessment at 0.23. This value is taken from the estimate in the 2021 pink ling west stock assessment. M is assumed to be sex and time invariant.
Significant Changes to data inputs	The 2024 assessment for pink ling east stock was an update of the 2021 assessment with some key changes. These changes include: 1. Transition from CASAL to Stock Synthesis. 2. Different CPUE standardisation methods. 3. Fitting to discard information for the trawl fleet. 4. Using length-based rather than age-based selectivity. 5. Including all available composition data. 6. Using 2 cm rather than 5 cm length bins. 7. Extending the maximum length from 115 to 150 cm.
Assessment results and RAG comments	<u>West stock (2020 - CASAL)</u> A comparison of trawl CPUE series across the last four stock assessments shows a similar trend, with CPUE trending upwards.

	The mode of the posterior distribution (MPD) for the western stock estimates relative biomass ranging from 78%B_0 to 93%B_0 based on the addition of data since the 2018 stock assessment. Estimates of relative spawning biomass are highly dependent on values of *M*, and range 71%B_0 to 95%B_0 under high (0.26) and low (0.2) values of *M*. Model fits to trawl CPUE are good in the later part of the time series. Model fits to FIS and trawl length frequencies are also good, but less so for the FIS indices. There is a 'spike' in fish around 90-95cm in 2018 which the model cannot fit. The likelihood profile for B_0 showed little conflict between the data sets with the MPD estimate at 5910 t and little support for values below 4000 t. The likelihood profile for *M* showed little conflict between the data sets with most information coming from age data. This provides a good basis for estimating M in the model (the MPD estimate was 0.22 and the MCMC estimate was 0.23). <u>East stock (2024 – Stock synthesis)</u> The base-case assessment estimates the unexploited female spawning stock biomass, SSB_0 at 7,297 tonnes (compared to 5,886 tonnes from the 2021 assessment). This decreases to 3,156 tonnes by 2024. This change in SSB_0 is due to changes in model structure, mainly the inclusion of additional conditional age-at-length data and transition to length-based selectivity. The change in stock status is due to recovery towards the target reference points, changes in the processing of age data (mix of age composition data and conditional age-at-length data to all conditional age-at-length), and using length rather than age-based selectivity (Bessell-Browne, 2024). Results show reasonable fits to the CPUE abundance indices, although some residual patterns are evident in fits to the trawl series. Fits to the discard data are reasonable given the variability observed from year to year for some estimates. The fits to the length composition and the conditional age-at-length data are very good There is some uncertainty surrounding the pre-specified values of *M* in the assessment. The pre-specified value of *M* is the same value as used in the 2021 assessment (Cordue, 2021). The assessment of the western stock in 2021 estimated *M* to be 0.23 and this parameter was borrowed for the assessment of the eastern stock. Given this value is higher than used for pink ling stock assessments in New Zealand (*M* =0.18), and the model preference for lower values, further investigation of suitable values of *M* is required. This work should include development an informative prior for M to reduce the uncertainty associated with the pre-specified value of this parameter in the assessment. To further understand this uncertainty a cross-catch risk assessment has been completed to investigate the risk of applying the SESSF HCR to the base case assessment with *M* =0.23 and *M* =0.18. This investigation demonstrated no increased risk to stock status over the next four years if catches are implemented following standard protocols. No scenarios resulted in a decline in female spawning stock biomass or stock status. SERAG (November 2024) noted no major concerns to the stock from model diagnostics and reccomended the 4-year average RBC.

RAG Recommendations

<u>East</u>

The MYTAC Working Group (October 2025) concluded that an RBC of 661 tonnes for the eastern pink ling stock for the 2026–27 fishing season poses a low risk to stock sustainability and recommended maintaining the long-term average static four-year RBC.

SERAG (October 2025) supported the recommendation made by the MYTAC Working Group to retain an RBC of 661 tonnes for pink ling east stock as the second year of a four-year average RBC for the 2026-27 fishing season.

West stock

SERAG (November 2024) recommended RBCs of 1,004 tonnes and 924 tonnes for the pink ling west stock for the 2025-26 and 2026–27 fishing seasons, respectively. These values continue the ~8.5% stepwise reduction in the RBC for this stock adopted after the 2021 assessment. SEMAC (February 2025) recommended adopting a TAC based on a static, 2-year RBC of 964 tonnes that represents the average of the two step-down values above.

The MYTAC Working Group (October 2025) concluded that an RBC of 964 tonnes for the western pink ling stock in the 2026–27 season poses a low risk to stock sustainability and recommended maintaining it.

SERAG (October 2025) supported the recommendation made by the MYTAC Working Group for a 964 tonnes RBC for the pink ling west stock as the second year of a two-year static RBC for the 2026-27 season.

	Year	RBC (t): East	RBC (t): West	Combined (t)	RBC arrangements
East RBC	2028	677	-	-	**East:** static 4-year 661 t RBC from the 2024 assessment **West:** 2-year ~8.5% stepdown RBC following the pattern from the 2021 assessment. RBC calculated using the 2-year average.
	2027	669	-	-	
	2026	657	924	1,585	
	2025	642	1,004	1,665	
	Long term yield	726	730	1,456	
	Long term average	661	964	-	
Discount Factor	N/A	A discount factor is not applied.			
State catch	E = 50.09 t W = 0.47 t	The majority of State catches were recorded in NSW (east) and TAS (west) and are deducted from the RBC.			
Discards	E = 25.8 t W = 9.9 t	Discards are not modelled in the Tier 1 assessment – weighted average discards are deducted from the RBC.			
Recreational Catch	N/A	There are reports of increasing recreational catch of Pink Ling. Catches are not estimated and unlikely to be significant.			
Research Catch Allocation	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.			
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		East: 585 tonnes (661 t RBC – 50 t state – 26 t discards) West: 954 tonnes (964 t RBC –10 t discards) Combined: 1,539 tonnes			

AFMA Advice

AFMA recommends a combined TAC of 1,539 (East:585 t, West:954 t) for pink ling during the 2026–27 season, as the second year of a two-year TAC period, with overcatch and undercatch provisions set at 10 per cent, and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
1,541				

Royal Red Prawn

Haliporoides sibogae

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	JABBA Bayesian production model (Tier 4) - last assessed by SERAG in 2025
Stock Structure	Little is known of the stock structure in Australia, but they are assumed to comprise a common stock off eastern Australia which straddles the Barrenjoey Point SESSF management line.

Stock status against reference points (C_{Lim}/C_{Targ})

Tier	Assessment year	C_{Targ}	B_{Targ}	B_{Curr}
4 (JABBA)	2025	573.4	2,042.4	4,141.0

Tier	Assessment year	$CPUE_{Recent}$	$CPUE_{Target}$	$CPUE_{Limit}$
4	2020	1.6045	0.9463	0.3943
4	2017	1.1114	1.0692	0.4455

Stock trend and other indicators

Annual standardized CPUE trend is noisy and relatively flat across the years analysed, except between 2017 and 2020, where the trend is increasing and above the long-term average. From 2013 - 2016 the standardized trend deviates from the nominal geometric mean trend such that the trend stays on the long-term average CPUE while the geometric mean appears to rise well above it. The significant drop in the 2021 and 2022 standardized CPUE relative to 2020 is attributed to the relatively low and sparse catches in 2021 (2.1 t) from only two vessels, which has been confirmed as gear trials and non-targeted fishing. These years were excluded from the base-case assessment which uses the standardized CPUE index for 1986–2024 (excluding 2021–2022). Targeted fishing resumed in 2023, with CPUE returning to levels similar to 2017–2020 and remaining high in 2024.

The updated standardization incorporates corrections for depth reporting errors (including conversion of fathoms to metres and GIS-based adjustments) and vessel name inconsistencies, improving confidence in recent CPUE estimates. Despite these improvements, uncertainty remains higher in recent years due to fewer vessels and variable fishing practices.

Species Category

TAC setting Approach
TBC based on SEMAC outcomes

Catch and TAC (t)

SESSF Season	Agreed TAC	TAC after unders/overs	Common wealth Retained Catch
2025-26	628	677	-

	2024-25	628	680	138
	2023-24	628	693	165
Economics (Secondary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**
	2022-23	0.6	65.88	<0.01
	2021-22	0.01	80.00	<0.02
	2020-21	0.10	64.00	0.16
ABARES Status (2024 Report)	**Fishing Mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**	

Climate Risk Framework Summary

Step 1: risk score	**High (Positive)** The impacts of climate change on Royal Red Prawn are considered 'Medium' (positive), and the 2025 stock assessment estimated the biomass to be 'Well Above Target' which results in an overall risk score of 'High' (positive).
Step 2: Adaptation measures	Some adaptation measures implemented or planned. Further details provided in the species assessment report.
Step 3: residual risk	High (Positive) - SERAG (October 2025) noted that regardless of which TAC option SEMAC choose, full utilisation of the RBC is not expected to move the stock towards the target reference point quickly. On that basis, SERAG agreed there was no basis to revise the residual risk score for this species.
Step 4: Advice to AFMA Commission	SERAG (October 2025) noted that for royal red prawn, the stock is expected to benefit from climate change. SERAG agreed that no response, beyond ongoing data collection, monitoring and periodic updates to the stock assessment, is required. SEMAC advice pending.

Assessment summary

Key Model technical assumptions/parameters	Multi-pass Bayesian Estimation (MBE) was used to develop an informed prior for the intrinsic rate of increase r, and this was the main parameter varied in assessments. All other priors were left uninformative, to allow the data to inform the model fit. Three alternative values of r were used in assessment runs, being the average, lower and upper values of the range in likely r determined using MBE. In addition to the base case and two alternative r-prior runs, three sensitivity runs were conducted to evaluate the effect of excluding discards, the effect of using data only from 1986 – 2024 over which CPUE data are available, and the effect of using standardized CPUE indices for all years, including the two years of low CPUE in 2021 and 2022. The proposed base case and additional five sensitivity runs were agreed by the SESSFRAG Data Meeting of 27 August 2025. The assessment base-case using an r-prior of 0.41 estimated K to be 4,255 t and current depletion to be 0.97, indicating that, following many years of low catches, the stock is essentially unfished. The stock is assessed to have been above BMSY, and harvest rate assessed to have been below HMSY,

	over the entire history of the fishery, within the 'sustainable' region of surplus production and Kobe exploitation/depletion phase plots. Model diagnostics for the base case indicate good model convergence and fit to CPUE. Excluding discards from the base-case run shows no distinguishable differences between trends in biomass or depletion, with slightly lower estimated harvest rate over ~1984 – 2005 due to lower removals. Using catch date only from 1986 – 2024 results in slightly higher estimates of K and MSY, no distinguishable difference in depletion (B/B0, B/BMSY), slightly higher estimated biomass and slightly lower harvest rate. The most informative sensitivity runs conducted were the low r and high r runs, with r priors of 0.36 and 0.46 respectively. The resulting trends in biomass and in harvest rate symmetrically straddled the base case, indicating somewhat lower productivity and higher productivity stocks respectively, but with no distinguishable differences in trends in depletion (B/B0, B/BMSY), or in relative exploitation rate (H/HMSY). The run including the two low CPUE index values in 2021 and 2022 resulting in altered fit to the CPUE from 2018 onwards. The fitted CPUE does not manage to fit the two low CPUE values, but the attempt to do so results in pulling the fitted CPUE down over 2019 – 2020 and 2023 – 2024. The standardized CPUE values from 2019 – 2023 become outliers in the runs test plot for CPUE residuals. The run including the two years of low CPUE shows little change in the fitted surplus production model, with a slight decrease in K and slight changes in BMSY and MSY. Results of retrospective hindcast analysis are presented to show the effect of sequentially excluding increasing numbers of years of recent data from 2024 back to 2018. Results for a retrospective peel back to 2019 are closely similar to the full assessment. Results of peels back to 2020, over the period of unreliable CPUE, indicate a somewhat less productive stock.
Significant Changes to data inputs	The 2025 assessment of Royal Pred Prawn was the first application of the JABBA Bayesian production model to this species. Errors in depth, position, vessel names and day-night designations were corrected across the dataset. Corrected data were then used to develop a re-standardised CPUE index over 1986-2024.
Assessment results and RAG comments	The CPUE index was re-standardized after correcting errors in depth, position, vessel names, and day/night designation across the dataset (1986–2024). The revised CPUE series was endorsed by SESSFRAG (August 2025) for use in the assessment. State catches were high early in the time series (150–330 t) but have been negligible since 2007. Commonwealth catches have generally ranged between 115–220 t since 2010, with discards consistently low (1.3–5.5%). The TAC has never been a limiting factor; catches remain market-driven. The assessment used the JABBA Bayesian production model with an informed prior for r (0.41 base case). Estimated K = 4,255 t and current depletion = 0.97, indicating the stock is essentially unfished. Biomass has remained above BMSY and harvest rate below HMSY throughout the fishery history. The assessment estimated the median estimated current biomass (4,141.0 t) to be above the TRP (2,042.4 t).

	Sensitivity runs tested low and high r priors (0.36 and 0.46), exclusion of discards, limiting data to 1986–2024, and inclusion of two anomalously low CPUE years (2021–2022). Results showed minimal differences in depletion trends; low CPUE years caused poor model fit, but little change in biomass estimates. Retrospective analysis indicated similar results when peeling back to 2019, with lower productivity suggested when excluding recent data with unreliable CPUE. Projected RBCs under the base case decline from 1,134 t in 2026 to 636 t in 2030. Long-term estimates: median target catch = 573.4 t; MSY = 587.4 t.

RAG Recommendations

SERAG accepted the base case assessment for Royal Red Prawn and recommended the following two RBC options, noting that both were sustainable:

1. The long-term average RBC of 573.4 t and;
2. A 5-year average RBC of 804.3 t

SERAG noted that managing Royal Red Prawn as either a Trigger Species (through the use of the long-term average RBC) or a Multi-year RBC species (using the 5-year average RBC), was a MAC decision and that both proposed RBCs are considerably higher than current catches (noting that AFMA recommends maintaining it as a Trigger Species).

SERAG agreed that the JABBA assessment should be categorised as a Tier 4 assessment (given that it is a data limited assessments fitting to CPUE) and recommended that a discount factor of 15% be applied to the RBC.

	Multi-year RBCs		
	Year	**RBC (t)**	**RBC arrangements**
Recommended Biological Catch	2026	1,134.1	5-year average RBC of 804.3 t
	2027	846.5	
	2028	731.7	
	2029	673.0	
	2030	636.0	
	Long-term	573.4	
Discount Factor (t)	120 6	Calculated by applying the 15% Tier 4 discount factor to the 5-year average RBC value.	
State catch (t)	21.1	Four-year weighted average state catch, exclusively by NSW. NSW catches have been decreasing over the last four years (Althaus and Sutton 2025).	
Discards (t)	3.8	2024 discard value from the catch table agreed by the Priors Working Group. Earlier discard values were not used due to lack of fishing activity.	

Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	Royal Red Prawn is a deepwater species and is not targeted by recreational fishers.
Research Catch Allowance (t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy	659	Calculated from the 5-year average RBC of 804.3 t, minus the 120.6 t Tier 4 discount factor, minus 21.1 t of state catch, minus 3.8 t of discards. Note that AFMA Management proposes the use of the long-term RBC value (of 573.4 t) as per the advice below.

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends that Royal Red Prawn remains a Trigger Species, subject to a long-term TAC of 462 t, a catch trigger of 347 t (i.e. 75% of the TAC), an initial time trigger of five years (to coincide with the 2030 data processing year) and six years thereafter.

The long-term TAC was calculated from the long-term RBC value of 573.4 t, minus the 86.0 t Tier 4 discount factor, minus 21.1 t of state catch, minus 3.8 t of discards.

AFMA Management also recommends that undercatch and overcatch provisions for Royal Red Prawn be set at 10%, with a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
628				

Silver Warehou

Seriolella punctata



ABARES (2012): Line drawing – FAO

Species Summary

Stock Assessment	Tier 1 Species - last accepted assessment by SERAG in 2021					
Stock Structure	Considered to be a single stock in the SESSF.					
Stock status against reference points ($\%B_0$ in year +1)	**Tier**	**Assessment Year**	**Biomass (from assessment year)**	**Biomass (revised in most recent assessment)**	**Target**	**Limit**
	1	2021	29	29	48	20
	1	2018	31	25		
	1	2015	40	22		
Stock trend and other indicators	Annual standardised CPUE has declined since 1994, has been below average since 2000 and flat since about 2013. The 2022 catch (37.0 t) of Silver Warehou east of 147° longitude is the lowest in the series (i.e., since 1986). Also, the 2023 recorded catch (37.2 t) is very similar to the previous year. (Sporcic, 2024a).					
Species Category Multi-year RBC	**TAC setting approach**					
	The current season (2025–26) is the 4th year of a three-year RBC period. A Weight of Evidence approach is to be conducted in 2026.					
Catch and TAC (t)	**SESSF Season**	**Agreed TAC**	**TAC after unders/overs**	**Commonwealth Retained Catch**		
	2025-26	350	383	-		
	2024-25	350	383	134		
	2023-24	350	384	106		
Economics (Primary) Commonwealth Trawl and Scalefish Hook	**Financial Year**	**Species GVP ($m)**	**Fishery GVP ($m)**	**% Fishery GVP**		
	2022-23	0.59	65.88	0.90		
	2021-22	0.50	80.00	0.63		
	2020-21	0.73	64.00	1.14		
ABARES Status (2024 Report)	**Fishing Mortality: Not subject to overfishing**		**Biomass: Not overfished**			

Climate Sensitivity **Medium**	 Atlantis modelling suggests that climate change has a moderate influence on the biomass of Silver Warehou and is contributing to a lower biomass than would have occurred otherwise.
Assessment summary	
Key Model technical assumptions/ parameters	2021 assessment: Single stock model with two fleets, one in the east and one in the west Von Bertalanffy growth curve estimated males and females combined. Natural mortality (M) fixed at 0.3. Stock recruitment steepness fixed at 0.75. The initial value of the parameter determining the magnitude of process error in annual recruitment is set to 0.7. Selectivity estimated for both fleets. Retention estimated for both fleets, with a time block included in 2002, with all sizes discarded earlier and sized based discarding after this time. In the east trawl fleet an additional retention time block is included in 2018 to allow the model to fit increased discard estimates between 2018 and 2020. The age observation plus group is modelled to be 23 years. The length-weight relationship is fixed based on previously determined estimates (a = 0.0000065 g-1 cm, bb = 3.27). Female length at 50% maturity is fixed at 37 cm.
Significant Changes to data inputs	There were no significant changes to data inputs for the 2021 assessment.
Assessment results and RAG comments	**2021 Tier 1 assessment:**

	Recruitment has been below the long-term average since 2004. Only one additional recruitment deviation was estimated from the previous assessment rather than the usual 3 (removing a known retrospective pattern in estimation). This had no impact in the estimation of stock status. An extra time-block on retention from 2018 onwards for the east trawl fleet was also included to allow the model to fit the dramatic increase in discard estimates. This improved the model fits to discard estimates and CPUE in the east trawl fleet. The rapid increase in the estimated stock status at the end of the series, which uses assumed catches in 2021 based on those in 2020 was discussed by SERAG. This projected estimate is not constrained by data inputs, most notably CPUE, and may be an overoptimistic representation of recovery. On the basis that recruitment has been below average since 2004, SERAG recommended adopting a 'low recruitment' base case to use the average of recruitment deviations from 2011-2015 from 2016 onwards. SERAG requested fixed catch projections under the low recruitment scenario and fixed catch projections using the RBC produced from the HCR, and a range of lower catches. The 2021 base case stock assessment estimates that the 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 29% of virgin stock biomass (projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021 under low recruitment scenario) and a 2022 RBC of 587 t . Retrospective analyses under the low recruitment scenario have alleviated concerning patterns observed in previous assessments and in the model with average recruitment projections. Application of the harvest control rule catches estimate that there is no increase in stock status towards the target reference point (48%B0) and stock status remains at 29%B0. Projected stock status under constant catch scenarios and the low recruitment scenario are provided below. SERAG noted silver warehou are not a targeted species, and that a reduction to the TAC alone is not likely to constrain total mortality. The TAC is currently set at 450 t, of which 289 t and 307 t was caught in the 2020-21 and 2019-20 season, respectively. **2024 Tier 1 assessment:** SERAG considered a Tier 1 assessment of Silver Warehou when it met in November 2024. This assessment was not accepted on the basis that: • There was general lack of model fit to the data. • CPUE (i.e. the index of abundance) for a major part of the fishery, the western area, is not well fitted for the most recent years and is the lowest on record, noting that this period also corresponds to the lowest number of boats. • Recent discard estimates are large and highly uncertain, especially in the east. These appear to be, at least in part, driving increased

	estimates of recent recruitment and subsequent spawning biomass (current stock status estimated to be 48% of unfished biomass). • Silver Warehou is second to eastern Jackass Morwong in terms of being affected by climate change and there is a concern there could have been a change in productivity in the stock. SERAG recommended that the existing 350 t TAC (based on the constant catch projections from the 2021 assessment) be maintained for Silver Warehou. The RAG also recommended reviewing the Silver Warehou assessment in 2026.

Constant catch scenarios developed using low recruitment projections (average recruitment over the last five years).

Retained catch scenario (t)	Mean Discards (t)	2022 (%B_0)	2023 (%B_0)	2024 (%B_0)	2025 (%B_0)	2026 (%B_0)
0	0	29	31	34	36	37
250	59	29	30	31	32	33
350	85	29	30	30	31	31
450	110	29	29	29	29	29
2022 RBC	118	29	29	29	29	29

RAG Recommendations

SERAG (November 2025) noted that a Weight of Evidence approach will be conducted in 2026 for Silver Warehou. The RAG recommended maintaining the existing 350 t TAC for Silver Warehou based on the constant catch projections from the 2021 assessment as there was no new information to justify changing the TAC, and catches are fairly low.

	Year	RBC (t)	RBC arrangements
RBC (t)	2025	N/A	2024 assessment was not accepted by the RAG
	2024	575	2021 Assessment: The RBCs from the HCR (left) would not result in any rebuilding towards the TRP (and the stock status would remain at 29%B_0). TAC advice for the 2022–23 and subsequent seasons was based on a constant catch of 350 t.
	2023	580	
	2022	587	
	3-year average	581	
Discount Factor (t)	N/A	Discount factors are not applied to Tier 1 assessments.	
State catch (t)	N/A	State catches are very low and are not deducted from the RBC because a TAC based on constant catch is recommended.	
Discards (t)	84	Modelled discards from the 2021 stock assessment.	

Recreational Catch (t)	N/A	There are no estimates of recreational catch.
RCA (t)	N/A	There has been no specific research catch allocated.
Provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy		No provisional TAC under the Harvest Strategy as 2024 assessment was not accepted. SERAG recommended continuing to set the TAC based on the fixed catch projection of 350 t.

AFMA Advice

AFMA Management recommends a TAC of 350 t for Silver Warehou during the 2026–27 season, the fifth year of a three-year TAC period, with undercatch and overcatch provisions set at 10 per cent, and a determined amount of 2 t.

MAC Recommendations

Commercial fishers' interest	
Species specific management (target, companion, and bycatch)	
MAC advice and any dissenting views	

Undercatch (%)	Overcatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	TAC (t)
10	10	2	350

Final agreed TAC

2025-26 agreed TAC (t)	2026-27 recommended TAC (t)	Overcatch and undercatch (%)	Determined amount (t)	Change in TAC (t)
350				

Non-Quota species recommendations

Boarfish and Orange Roughy are non-quota species in the East Coast Deepwater Trawl (ECDWT) Sector. Boarfish is managed as a Trigger Species with a long-term TAC of 200 t and catch trigger of 10 t. Orange roughy caught by this sector are subject to a bycatch TAC of 50 t, set through the annual review of TACs for rebuilding species.

Targeted fishing for hagfish (*Eptatretus cirrhatus*) commenced in 2015 and is managed as a non-quota species in the Gillnet, Hook and Trap (GHAT) sector of the SESSF. AFMA sets a precautionary TAC on an annual basis based on the best available information and advice. The current TAC of 80 tonnes is based on the maximum annual landed catch of the fishery taken during the 2018–19 and 2019–20 fishing seasons and is split across two zones via concession conditions (north and south of the latitude 36°45'South) that restricts catch to 40 tonnes in each zone. The AFMA Commission considers this to be a precautionary catch limit that is unlikely to pose a risk to the sustainability of the hagfish stock.

Since the introduction of the TAC during 2020–21 fishing season, the annual landed catch of hagfish has ranged between 20 and 68 tonnes and averaged 45 tonnes per fishing season. This average represents 56.25% of the TAC.

Species specific research and priorities

Escape holes are required for hagfish traps to allow for the escapement of individuals smaller than the desired commercial size (> 100 g). At the SERAG meeting in November 2021, preliminary results of the escape hole trial were presented which suggested that 16 mm hole size may be appropriate to allow smaller animals to escape while optimising commercial catch. The trial was continued into 2023 to further examine the use of different escape holes with various diameters for optimising commercial catch. Continued support for the escape hole trial in 2023 and 2024 was provided by both SERAG and SEMAC. AFMA is waiting on industry to collate and provide the data from the escape hole size trial.

RAG advice

Boarfish: SERAG (September 2023) supported the categorisation of the ECDWT Boarfish stock as a 'Trigger Species' and recommended that a catch limit be set at 200 t for six seasons (starting in 2024-25) with a catch trigger set at 10 t.

Orange roughy: SERAG (November 2025) recommended maintaining the bycatch TAC of 50 t.

Hagfish: The data from the escape hole size trial has yet to be collated by industry and provided to AFMA. Without new information, SERAG (November 2025) advised there is no justification to change the TAC for the 2026-27 fishing season.

AFMA management recommends:

Boarfish: 200 t as per trigger species approach.

Orange Roughy: Maintain bycatch TAC of 50 t for the 2026-27 fishing year.

Hagfish: Maintain TAC of 80 tonnes for hagfish for the 2026-27 fishing year

Undercatch an overcatch: There are no undercatch or overcatch provisions for non-quota species.

SEMAC advice: Pending

Table 1 Summary of TACs for non-quota species determination

Non-Quota species	2025-26 TAC (t)	2026-27 Recommended Catch Trigger (t)	Change from 2025-26 (t)
Boarfish (ECDWT)	200	10	0
Orange Roughy (ECDWT)	50	N/A	0
Hagfish	80 40 t each north and south of 36˚45'South	N/A	0

AFMA Commission: Pending

Glossary

Biological reference points – quantitative values, often stated in terms of fishing mortality or stock size, that summarise either a desired state for the stock (a target) or a state of the stock that should be avoided (a threshold).

Biomass – the total weight of all the fish in a stock or a component of a stock.

B_{LIM} (biomass limit reference point) – The point beyond which the risk to the stock is regarded as unacceptably high.

B_{MEY} (biomass at maximum economic yield) – Average biomass corresponding to maximum economic yield.

B_{MSY} (biomass at maximum sustainable yield) – Average biomass corresponding to maximum sustainable yield.

B_{TARG} (target biomass) – The desired biomass of the stock.

B_0 (mean equilibrium unfished biomass) – Average biomass level if fishing had not occurred.

CASAL (C ++ Algorithmic Stock Assessment Laboratory) - an advanced software package developed by the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA, New Zealand) for fish stock assessment. The software implements a generalised age or length structured fish stock assessment model that allows a great deal of choice in specifying the population dynamics, parameter estimation and model outputs.

Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) – the number or biomass of fish caught as by a unit of fishing effort. Often used as a measure of fish abundance.

C_{TARG} (Catch target) – The target catch level.

CE_{LIM} (CPUE limit reference point) – the point below which CPUE is too low and can indicate stock depletion.

CE_{TARG} (CPUE target) – The target CPUE rate.

Close Kin Mark Recapture (CKMR) – uses advances in genetics to affordably and reliably identify parent-offspring pairs (and conceivably other types of kin) and then analyses the number and pattern of pairs in a mark-recapture framework.

Commonwealth Trawl Sector Fishery Independent Survey (CTS FIS) - a survey undertaken in the CTS to provide a time-series of abundance indices that are independent from commercial fishing.

Confidence interval – also called the confidence bound, a range of values within which the true value most likely lies.

Determined amount - for a quota species and a fishing year, means the amount (in kilograms) of that species specified in a determination made by AFMA for section 22A of the *Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery Management Plan 2003* for that species and year.

F (fishing mortality) – The instantaneous rate of fish deaths due to fishing a designated component of the fish stock. F reference points may be applied to entire stocks or segments of

the stocks and should match the scale of management unit. Instantaneous fishing mortality rates of 0.1, 0.2 and 0.5 are equivalent to 10 per cent, 18 per cent and 39 per cent of deaths of a stock due to fishing.

F_{LIM} (fishing mortality limit reference point) – The point above which the removal rate from the stock is too high.

F_{MEY} (fishing mortality at maximum economic yield) – The fishing mortality rate that corresponds to maximum economic yield.

F_{MSY} (fishing mortality maximum sustainable yield) – The fishing mortality rate that achieves maximum sustainable yield.

F_{TARG} (fishing mortality target) – The target fishing mortality rate.

Great Australian Bight Fishery Independent Survey (GABFIS) - a survey undertaken in the GAB to provide a time-series of abundance indices that are independent from commercial fishing.

Index of abundance – numerical value used to demonstrate the trend in relative abundance over time.

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) – an approach to estimate uncertainty in a statistical model by beginning with a final model and shifting its associated parameter values slightly to recalculate the model's goodness of fit thousands or millions of times.

Maximum economic yield (MEY) – The sustainable catch level for a commercial fishery that allows net economic returns to be maximised. For most practical discount rates and fishing costs, MEY implies that the equilibrium stock of fish is larger than that associated with maximum sustainable yield (MSY). In this sense, MEY is more environmentally conservative than MSY and should, in principle, help protect the fishery from unfavourable environmental impacts that could diminish the fish population.

Maximum sustainable yield (MSY) – The maximum average annual catch that can be removed from a stock over an indefinite period under prevailing environmental conditions. MSY defined in this way makes no allowance for environmental variability, and studies have demonstrated that fishing at the level of MSY is often not sustainable.

Mortality – Deaths from all causes (usually expressed as a rate or as the proportion of the stock dying each year).

Overfished – A fish stock with a biomass below the biomass limit reference point. 'Not overfished' implies that the stock is not below the threshold.

Overfishing, subject to – A stock that is experiencing too much fishing, and the removal rate from the stock is unsustainable. Also:

- Fishing mortality (F) exceeds the limit reference point (F_{LIM}). When stock levels are at or above B_{MSY}, F_{MSY} will be the default level for F_{LIM}.
- Fishing mortality in excess of F_{LIM} will not be defined as overfishing if a formal 'fish down' or similar strategy is in place for a stock, and the stock remains above the target level (B_{TARG}).
- When the stock is less than B_{MSY} but greater than B_{LIM}, F_{LIM} will decrease in proportion to the level of biomass relative to B_{MSY}.
- At these stock levels, fishing mortality in excess of the target reference point (F_{TARG}) but less than F_{LIM} may also be defined as overfishing, depending on the harvest strategy in place and/or recent trends in biomass levels.

- Any fishing mortality will be defined as overfishing if the stock level is below B_{LIM}, unless fishing mortality is below the level that will allow the stock to recover within a period of 10 years plus one mean generation times the mean generation time, whichever is less.

Spawning stock biomass (SB) – the total weight of all adult (reproductively mature) individuals in a population. Also called spawning biomass.

SB_{MSY} – Spawning or 'adult' equilibrium biomass at maximum sustainable yield.

State Catch – State Catch is calculated using the Weighted Average of the state catch from the last four years of available data. This formula is: WA = ((a*1) + (b*0.5) + (c*0.25) + (d*0.125))/1.875; where 1.875 is the sum of weightings.

Stock assessment – an evaluation of the past, present and future status of the stock that includes a range of life history characteristics for a species, such as the geographical boundaries of the population and the

stock; information on age, growth, natural mortality, sexual maturity, and reproduction, feeding habits and habitat preferences; and the fisheries pressures affecting the species.

Stock Synthesis (SS) – is a statistical age-structured population modeling framework that has been applied in a wide variety of stock assessments globally (Methot & Wetzel, 2013).

Von Bertalanffy (VB) growth model – used in stock assessments to model the mean length or weight of fishes.

References

Althaus, F., and Sutton, C. (2024). Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery catches and discards for TAC purposes using data until 2023. Prepared for the SESSFRAG Data Meeting, 21-23 August 2024; Revised after SharkRAG meeting 2 December. CSIRO Environment, Australia.

Bessell-Browne, P., and Tuck, G.N. (2020). Redfish (*Centroberyx affinis*) stock assessment based on dataup to 2019 – development of a preliminary base case. Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 19-21 October 2020, Hobart, Australia.

Bessell-Browne, P. and Day, J. (2021). Silver Warehou (*Seriolella punctata*) stock assessment based ondata up to 2020. Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 29 November-1 December 2021, Hobart, Tasmania.

Bessell-Browne, P. (2022) Tiger Flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) stock assessment based on dataup to 2021. Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 29th November 2022, Melbourne, Victoria.

Bessell-Browne, P. (2024) Eastern Pink Ling (Genypterus blacodes) stock assessment based on data up to 2023. Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 26-28 November 2024, Melbourne, Australia

Braccini, M., Van Rijn, J. and Frick, L., 2012. High post-capture survival for sharks, rays and chimaeras discarded in the main shark fishery of Australia? PloS one, 7(2), p.e32547

Burch, P., Day, J., Castillo-Jordán, C., Osorio, S.C. (2018). Silver Warehou (*Seriolella punctata*) stock assessment based on data up to 2017. Report for the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere.

Burch P., Curin Osorio S., and Bessell-Browne P (2021). Eastern zone Orange Roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) stock assessment based on data up to 2020. Revised after the South East Resource AssessmentGroup meeting 29 November – 1 December 2021. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere and Institute for Marineand Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania.

Burch P, Cannard, T, and Sporcic, M (2022). An investigation of the bycatch of School Shark in theSouthern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery. December 2022, CSIRO, Australia.

Burch, P, Fowler, A, Liggins, G, Sporcic, M, Dowling, N, Chick, R., Tuck, G. 2023. A joint Commonwealth and NSW assessment of Silver Trevally (Pseudocaranx georgianus). Prepared for the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. 83pp.

Butler, I, Patterson, H, Bromhead, D, Galeano, D, Timmiss, T, Woodhams, J and Curtotti, R (2024). Fishery status reports 2024. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics and Sciences, Canberra.

Burch, P, Hall, K, and Bessell-Browne, P. (2024). School whiting (Sillago flindersi) stock assessment based on data up to 2023. December 2024, CSIRO Environment and NSW DPIRD Fisheries, Australia.

Day, J. (2019). Tiger flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) stock assessment based on data up to 2018. Technical report presented at SERAG, Hobart, 3 December 2019.

Day J., Hall K., Bessell-Browne, P., and Sporcic M. (2020). School Whiting (*Sillago flindersi*) stock assessment based on data up to 2019. For discussion at SERAG, December 2020.

Day, J., Bessell-Browne, P., and Curin-Osorio, S. (2021). Eastern Jackass Morwong (*Nemadactylus macropterus*) stock assessment based on data up to. For discussion at SERAG, November 2021.

Dixon P. I., Crozier R. H., and Black M. (1986). School whiting – how many species. Australian Fisheries 45:33-38.

Dixon P. I., Crozier R. H., Black M., and Church A. (1987). Stock identification and discrimination of commercially important whitings in Australian waters using genetic criteria (FIRTA 83/16). Centre for Marine Science, University of New South Wales. 69 p. Appendices 1-10.

Fulton E. A., Mazloumi M., Puckeridge A., Hanamseth R. (2023). Modelling perspective on the climate footprint in south east Australian marine waters and its fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science, fsad185, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsad185

Fulton E.A., van Putten E. I., Dutra L. X. C., Melbourne-Thomas J., Ogier E., Thomas L., Rayns N., Murphy R., Butler I., Ghebrezgabhier D., Hobday A. J. (2021) Guidance on Adaptation of Commonwealth Fisheries management to climate change. Appendix: Summary of Commonwealth Fishery Climate Sensitivity. CSIRO Report for FRDC. Hobart.

Hall, K.C., Coleman, M., Cooling, K., Corrie, D., Day, J., Dudgeon, C., Kelaher, B., Moore, A., Stewart, J., 2024. An updated understanding of Eastern School Whiting stock structure and assessment for cross-jurisdictional management (DRAFT FRDC Final Report Project No. 2019-030). NSW DPI Fisheries.

Kompas, T., Che, N., Chu, L., & Klaer, N. (2012). Transition to MEY goals for the Great Australian Bight Trawl Fishery, report to FRDC, Australian Centre for Biosecurity and Environmental Economics, Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University, Canberra.

Little, R., and Rowling, K. (2010). Update of the Eastern Gemfish (*Rexea solandri*) stock assessment. Technical report presented at ShelfRAG 2010.

Knuckey I., Hudson R., and Nemec J (2010). Monitoring Orange Roughy in the Great Australian Bight 2010, report to the AFMA, Canberra.

Knuckey, I., Koopman, M., and Hudson, R. (2021). Resource Survey of the Great Australian Bight Trawl Sector –2021. AFMA Project 2019/0837. Fishwell Consulting 40pp.

Kuckey, I., Fuller, M., Moore, J., and Daley, R. (2009). Empowering Industry R&D:

Redefining deepwater closures in the SESSF to reduce the impact on the commercial deepwater fishery and maintain adequate protection of orange roughy. AFMA Project 2008/836, report to AFMA, Canberra.

Kompas, T, Che, N, Chu, L & Klaer, N 2012, Transition to MEY goals for the Great Australian Bight Trawl Fishery, report to FRDC, Australian Centre for Biosecurity and Environmental Economics, Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University, Canberra.

Methot, R.D., Wetzel, C.R., (2013). Stock Synthesis: a biological and statistical framework for fish stock assessment and fishery management. Fish. Res. 142, 86–99.

Mobsby, D (2018). Forthcoming, Australian fisheries economic indicators report (2018) financial and economic performance of the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery, Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Canberra.

Ovenden, J., Davenport, D., Moore, A. (2020) A perfect storm of genetic drift and divergence may prevent the rebuilding of the gemfish (*Rexea solandri)* stock on the east Australian coast, Fisheries Research, Volume 230.

Penney, A. (2020). Exploratory data-poor catch-MSY and production model assessments for John Dory in the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery. Technical report presented to SERAG Nov 2020.

Smith, T., 2020. Eastern School Whiting – Tier 1 Stock Assessment Review – August 2020 (Final report to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority).

Sporcic, M. (2020). Draft Tier 4 Sawshark assessment in Australia's Gillnet Hook and Trap Sector of the SESSF (data to 2019). Technical report presented to SharkRAG in December 2020.

Sporcic, M. (2020a). Tier 4 Assessments for selected SESSF Species (data to 2019). Technical paper presented to the SERAG, Dec 9-10, 2020. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart.

Sporcic, M. (2020b). Update: Draft Statistical CPUE (catch-per-hook) Standardisations for Blue-eye Trevalla (Auto-line and Drop-line) in the SESSF (data to 2019). Technical paper presented at SESSFRAG 25-26 August 2020. CSIRO, Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 26p.

Sporcic, M. (2021). Tier 4 Assessment for Blue-eye Trevalla *(Hyperoglyphe antarctica)* slope (data to 2020). Technical report presented at the SERAG, MS Teams meeting 29 November – 1 December 2021. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 16 p.

Sporcic, M. (2021a). Update Part 2: Statistical CPUE (catch-per-hook) Standardizations for Blue-eye Trevalla (Auto-line and Drop-line) in the SESSF (data to 2020). CSIRO, Hobart. Technical report presented at SERAG meeting 29 November to 1 December 2021. 26 p.

Sporcic, M. (2021b). Update Part 1: Statistical CPUE (catch-per-hook) Standardisations for Blue-eye Trevalla (Auto-line and Drop-line) in the SESSF (data to 2020). CSIRO, Hobart. Technical report presented at SERAG meeting 29 November to 1 December 2021.

Sporcic, M. (2021c). Statistical CPUE Standardisations for selected SESSF species (data to 2020). Technicalreport prepared for the SERAG (MS Teams Video) Meeting 1, 28-29 September 2021.

Sporcic, M. (2021d). Draft Tier 4 Assessments for selected SESSF Species (data to 2020). Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 19 -20 October 2021. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 28p.Sporcic, M., Day J. (2021). Draft catch history time series for selected Tier 4 SESSF species (data to 2020). Prepared forSERAG meeting 28-29 September 2021. 19p.

Sporcic, M. (2022). Statistical CPUE standardizations for selected SESSF Species (data to 2021).Technical paper presented at SESSFRAG, 23-25 August 2022. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 383 p.

Sporcic, M. (2023a). Statistical CPUE standardizations for selected deepwater SESSF Species (data to2022). CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 73 p.

Sporcic, M. (2023b). CPUE standardizations for selected shark SESSF species (data to 2022). Technicalpaper presented to SESSFRAG, 23-25 August 2022. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 191 p.

Sporcic, M. (2022c). Tier 4 Assessments for selected SESSF Species (data to 2021). Technical paperpresented to the SERAG, 5 - 6 October 2022. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 21 p.

Sporcic, M. (2023). Draft Tier 4 assessments for selected SESSF species (data to 2022). Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 26 - 27 September 2023. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 20 p.

Sporcic, M. (2023a). Tier 4 Assessment for Blue-eye Trevalla (Hyperoglyphe antarctica) (data to 2022). Technical report presented to the SERAG, 2 - 3 November 2023. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 16 p

Sporcic, M. (2023b). Tier 4 Assessment for Western Deepwater Sharks in the SESSF (data to 2022). Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 2 - 3 November 2023. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 17 p.

Sporcic, M. (2024a). Statistical CPUE standardizations for selected SESSF Species (data to 2023). Technical report prepared for MYTAC Meeting, 16 August 2024 and SESSFRAG Data Meeting, 21-23 August 2024. Report for the Australian Fisheries Management Authority. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 396 p.

Sporcic, M. (2024b). CPUE (catch-per-hook) standardizations for Blue-eye Trevalla (*Hyperoglyphe antarctica*), accounting for the covariate: Pink Ling (*Genypterus blacodes*) in the SESSF (data to 2023) Part 2. Report for the Blue-eye Trevalla and Pink Ling Working Group. 8 p.

Sporcic, M. (2024c). Tier 4 assessments for Mirror Dory and Mixed Oreos in the SESSF (data to 2023). Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 26 - 27 November

2024. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 23 p.

Sporcic, M. (2024d). Statistical CPUE standardizations for selected deepwater SESSF Species (data to 2023). Technical report prepared for the MYTAC Meeting, 16 August 2024 and SESSFRAG Meeting, 21-23 August 2024. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 73 p.

Sporcic, M. and Bessell-Browne, P. (2024). Dynamic Tier 4 Assessment for Blue-eye Trevalla (data to 2023). Technical paper presented to the SERAG 2, 26-28 November 2024. CSIRO Environment, Hobart. 18 p.

Thomson, R.B., Bravington M.V., Feutry, P., Gunasekera, R. and Grewe, P. (2020). Close kin markrecapture for School Shark in the SESSF, Hobart, August 2020.

Thomson, RB, Bravington MV, Lloyd-Jones L, Baylis S, Feutry P, Gunasekera R (2025), GLM applied to Close-Kin Mark-Recapture data for school shark in the SESSF. CSIRO, Australia. Report of work presented to SharkRAG, 26-27 November, 12 December 2025.

Thomson RB, Daley RK, Dowling N and Althaus F (2022) The SESSF Deepwater Shark basket: exploitationhistory, data exploration, and FishPath outcomes for Deania spp. Presented to AFMA's SERAG meeting. 29-30 November 2022. CSIRO, Australia.

Tracey, S.R. and Stark, K.E. (2024). 2022/23 Survey of recreational fishing in Tasmania. Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania 2024. 79 p.

Tuck, G.N. (ed.) (2016). Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2015.Part 1. Australian Fisheries Management Authority and CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 245p

Tuck, G.N, Day, J. and Burch, P. (2019). Deepwater flathead (*Neoplatycephalus conatus*) stock assessmentbased on data up to 2018/19. For discussion at GABRAG, December 2019.

Tuck, G.N. and Bessell-Browne, P. (2022) Blue Grenadier (*Macruronus novaezelandiae*) stock assessmentbased on data up to 2021. Technical paper presented to the SERAG2, 29–30th November 2022, Melbourne, Victoria.

Tuck, G.N., Bessell-Browne, P and Burch, P. (2024) Silver Warehou (Seriolella punctata) stock assessment based on data up to 2023. Technical paper presented to the SERAG, 26-28 November 2024, Melbourne, Victoria

Tuck, G.N. (2025) Blue Grenadier (*Macruronus novaezelandiae)* stock assessment based on data up to 2024. Technical paper presented to the SERAG2, 18th-20th November 2025, Melbourne, Victoria.

Wayte, S. (2004). Stock assessment of the Cascade Plateau orange roughy. Technical report presented toDeepRAG 2004.

Appendix A – SESSF ISMP Scalefish Zones



Appendix B - Orange Roughy Zones