



Australian Government

Australian Fisheries Management Authority

[AFMADMW-1932364602-97650](#)

Minutes

Meeting	South East Management Advisory Committee (SEMAC)		
Meeting Number	60	Dates	22 April 2026
Location	Online	Time	9:30 AM
Members	Mr Clayton Nelson, Chair Ms Anissa Lawrence, Environment member Ms Sally Weekes, Senior Manager, AFMA Dr Sarah Jennings, Economics member Dr Paul McShane, Scientific member Mr Simon Boag, Industry member Mr Kyri Toumazos, Industry member Mr Will Mure, Industry member Mr Daniel Hogan, Industry member Ms Danielle Ghosn, Recreational member		
AFMA	Dr Mark Grubert Dr Lianos Triantafillos Mr Will Bowman Ms Michelle Henriksen Mr Tamre Sarhan Ms Rebecca Darcy Ms Hannah Maude		
Apologies	Mr Jim Raptis, GAB Industry member Mr Will Mure, Industry member Mr Daniel Hogan.		
Invited Participants	Mr Keith Rowling, GABIA		
Observers	Ms Rosie Katunar, NRE Tas Mr Jakob Fries, NRE Tas		

Agenda Item	Title/Topic/Issue	Notes, Action & Recommendations
1.	Preliminaries	Welcome and apologies The Chair, Mr Clayton Nelson opened the meeting with an Acknowledgement of Country and welcomed participants. Meeting participants were informed that the meeting would be recorded for the purpose of assisting the preparation of meeting minutes. • Apologies were received from industry members Will Mure and Daniel Hogan. • Prior to the meeting, conservation member Anissa Lawrence advised that she would arrive 30 minutes late, depart at 13:00 pm, and provide written comments on any agenda items missed. Adoption of agenda SEMAC adopted the agenda at Attachment A as final. Minutes of previous meeting AFMA noted that the minutes from SEMAC 59 meeting held on 11-12 February 2026 have been finalised and are pending publication on the AFMA website. No amendments were proposed. Minutes were formally moved and seconded. Declarations of interests Members were reminded of their obligations under Fisheries Management Paper 1 to declare all relevant interests (pecuniary and non-pecuniary). • Declarations were invited verbally. • Industry member Simon Boag, declared conflicts for agenda items 4, 5 & 6, 6A. • Industry member Kyri Toumazos, declared conflicts for agenda 6 & 6A. • Declared interests are recorded in the register of interest at Attachment B. • The Chair outlined the agreed approach to managing conflicts: ○ Members may participate in discussion. ○ Members may be excluded from decision-making where a direct conflict exists. ○ Conflicts to be managed on an item-by-item basis. The committee agreed this approach was appropriate. Actions arising from previous meetings AFMA provided a status update on actions arising from previous SEMAC meetings:

		• E-log depredation recording: New field is under testing; expected to be available to fishers via vendors by late May. • Electronic Monitoring (EM) cost reporting: Detailed expenditure breakdown to be included in the annual EM report (due July). • OCS regulations for bugs: AFMA correspondence sent to Victoria; legal clarification and drafting underway. • Dogfish closure directions: Amendments to align with Marine Park boundaries nearing final clearance; procedural correction only, no material fishing impact • EM privacy impact statement: Provided to members; action closed. • Gillnet EM cost–benefit analysis: On hold pending investigation of lower-cost camera systems; paper to be prepared for July.
2	Managers Update (taken as read)	The Manager’s Update was taken largely as read, with the Committee noting the following additional points: The outcomes from the March 2026 Commission meeting: • TAC decisions for the SESSF and SPF were largely consistent with MAC and RAG advice. • Amendments to the SESSF Harvest Strategy Framework were supported. • The Orange Roughy East TAC apportionment to the Pedra Branca area was the only matter not progressed: ○ The Commission maintained the status quo for 12 months. ○ This was not opposition to the proposal, but a request for more detailed consideration, particularly around principles for reallocating access. ○ Members acknowledged the sensitivity of the term “reallocation” and noted discomfort with its use. Updates regarding operations of the <i>FV Aurora Australis</i> in the western SPF: • Catches to date have been variable but generally positive. • Initial plans for at-sea unloading have been deferred. • Observer coverage requirements for a new entrant (100% coverage for the first 10 trips) are nearing completion. • The vessel has commenced MSC assessment for western stocks, noting challenges associated with limited catch history. • Research planning is underway with SARDI and IMAS, including DEPM surveys for species such as western blue mackerel and redbait. • Members noted a positive outlook for the long-term utilisation of western SPF stocks, which have historically been under-fished.

3	Industry Update (For Noting)	The Industry Update was taken as read, with updates circulated to members prior to the meeting. The following additional points were raised: • Significant concern was expressed regarding diesel prices, particularly for trawl vessels. • Industry advised that fuel costs are materially affecting operational decisions across trawl and pelagic fisheries. • Daily fuel costs were described as approaching unsustainable levels. • Members noted that Talleys Australia has advised it will not deploy one planned Blue Grenadier vessel due to fuel supply risks • The reduced freezer vessel presence is expected to have flow-on impacts to catch delivery and supply. • Fuel pressures are impacting not just fishing effort but also market supply, freight, and product availability. • Similar impacts are being felt across commercial, recreational and processing sectors. • High fish prices were not viewed positively, as they risk reducing long-term consumer demand for seafood. • Industry reported on recent engagement with NOPSEMA regarding proposed seismic surveys and were encouraged by the level of senior engagement from NOPSEMA. • Industry have advised that a proposed survey in western Victoria was not progressed, citing consultation and impact concerns. • Research undertaken by Ian Knuckey for FRDC was referenced indicating substantial catch reductions (up to 90–99%) associated with seismic activity. • Members acknowledged broader challenges balancing resource extraction and fisheries impacts. Great Australian Bight (GAB) trawl fishery • GABIA advised that a major operator has entered administration, resulting in multiple vessels being tied up. • As a result, only one vessel is currently operating in the GAB trawl fishery. • This has created a significant reduction in South Australian finfish supply, with broader impacts on freight, processing and markets. • Members raised concerns that reduced supply is driving very high fish prices (e.g. flathead reported at \$18–\$20/kg). • It was noted that high prices are not positive for long-term industry viability, as they risk reducing consumer demand and pushing consumers toward substitute proteins. • Impacts were described across commercial, recreational and retail seafood sectors. Recreational sector
---	--	--

		• The recreational sector is also being affected by fuel costs, with tournaments cancelled and reduced participation. • Members noted compounding pressures from fuel costs, algal blooms and reduced availability of key species. • The Chair noted a growing public scepticism of science, describing it as a concerning trend that affects fisheries, environmental management and public discourse more broadly. • Members supported the importance of reinforcing evidence-based science across fishing sectors.
4.	Management of chondrichthyans of concern Presenter: Michelle Henriksen	SEMAG discussed AFMA's advice on five shark and ray species being considered for EPBC Act listing, focusing on the quality of the data and what management actions are appropriate. Significant concerns were raised regarding spatial data accuracy, particularly the overlay of fishing effort and catch data against expected habitat. Identified issues included: • species misidentification (notably whitefin swellshark being recorded draughtboard shark due to CABB code errors, not fishers), • CAAB coding errors, • depth and bathymetry mismatches, • coordinate system conflicts, and • limitations of historical logbook data. The maps showing fishing effort were considered misleading and not reliable and there was agreement that the data requires removal of records outside the species depth range. Species Specific advice Whitefin swellshark: • Significant concerns were raised regarding spatial data accuracy, particularly the overlay of fishing effort and catch data against expected habitat. • Misidentification and coding errors were considered material issues noting that a significant proportion of catch records shown in the maps are likely draughtboard shark. • Members noted that declines in trawl CPUE likely reflect reduced fishing effort, not real population decline. • Fishery-independent surveys indicate stable and increasing trends. • Existing closures and effort reductions already provide protection. • Strong emphasis was placed on improving species identification and avoiding reliance on poor-quality historical data. • Members generally agreed the evidence did not support a critically endangered listing.

		Australian longnose skate: • Noted absence of species-specific logbook data and uncertainty arising from mixed-species groupings. • Members supported a precautionary no-take approach, while acknowledging ongoing uncertainty. • Improved species identification and examination of bycatch mitigation options (including potential gear modifications) were supported. Greeneye spurdog: • Acknowledged substantial historical declines, but noted the species is already subject to no-take arrangements under existing management. • Existing upper-slope dogfish closures were recognised as providing significant protection. Grey skate: • Concerns similar to the Australian longnose skate were raised regarding mixed-species data and interpretation of declines. • Members supported precautionary management, including no-take status, improved identification and exploration of bycatch reduction measures. Eastern angelshark: • Discussion noted that most of the species' range lies outside Commonwealth waters. • Members generally considered that additional Commonwealth management measures were unlikely to be effective, with state fisheries being more relevant. SEMAM discussed: • The appropriateness of a Conservation Dependent listing for each species, noting the challenges for bycatch species given data limitations and the costs associated with formal rebuilding requirements. • SEMAM agreed that historic fishery dependent CPUE is an unreliable indicator of abundance, given major structural adjustment and reduced fishing effort – greater weight should be given to fishery-independent surveys and ERA outcomes, which generally indicate low to moderate risk. • The importance of leveraging existing measures (closures, dogfish strategy, monitoring) rather than introducing new burdens was highlighted. Actions:
--	--	---

		1. AFMA to re-examine mapping data inputs, remove fishing effort data outside of the species depth range, circulate an updated map to SEMAM prior to TSSC submission. 2. Clarify if possible the input data used to developed the CSIRO shape files used in mapping species spatial distribution. Recommendations: 1. Whitefin swellshark – SEMAM does not support threatened listing (particularly Critically Endangered) for whitefin swellshark based on current evidence. 2. Australian Longnose skate – SEMAM recommended that AFMA implements a no-take approach and continues to work with industry to trial bycatch reduction devices (BRDs/TEDs). 3. Greeneye spurdog – SEMAM recommended that AFMA supports the current management approach, noting species identification issues and that the species is already managed under the Upper Slope Dogfish Strategy. 4. Grey skate – SEMAM recommended that AFMA implements a no-take approach and consults with industry on potential bycatch excluder device options. 5. Eastern angelshark – SEMAM supported AFMA's approach of seeking greater justification for the listing noting a significant proportion of the species habitat occurs outside of the SESSF but that if listed it would also be made zero take. 6. SEMAM supported pursuing a conservation dependent listing should any species be listed.	
5	Electronic monitoring (EM) Program changes. Presenter: Tamre Sarhan & Rebecca Darcy	AFMA presented an overview of significant changes to the electronic monitoring (EM) program, aimed at modernising the framework and improving flexibility, efficiency and future capability. The Committee was invited to note these changes and provide advice on the draft EM directions, including proposed updates to monitoring requirements in the GHAT sector. SEMAM noted the key program changes, including: • EM footage review will be conducted in-house by AFMA, enabling risk-based prioritisation and consistent review standards. • Removal of a single EM provider model, with operators able to choose from approved systems that meet AFMA standards. • Responsibility for EM system ownership and maintenance shifting to operators, replacing the earlier levy-funded model. The Committee broadly supported the proposed changes to the EM program and recognised the benefits of moving to a more flexible and modern framework.	

		Draft EM Directions Discussion focused on: • The definition for low effort vessels in the Gillnet, Hook and Trap Sector was amended to 50 fishing days per year (from 100 days for longline vessels), aligning with other sectors; across a combination of fishing methods in the GHaTSector. • New entrants to the fishery from 1 July will be expected to have EM, regardless of effort level; and • Approximately 7–8 vessels expected to newly require EM under the revised threshold. • SEMAG discussed impacts on small and low-effort operators, flexibility for multi-fishery participation, and climate adaptation considerations. Grandfathering of existing EM systems: • Draft directions included a grandfathering period to 30 June 2031 for legacy EM systems. • SEMAG raised concerns about the fixed end date and potential confusion. Additional Direction Amendments Discussed: • Inclusion of dual-method vessels (e.g. mid-water trawl and purse seine) in SPF EM requirements. • Clarification that operators must notify AFMA (not contractors) when EM systems are non-operational. • Requirement for a vessel monitoring plan and AFMA-approved installation configuration. • Monthly data drive exchange following first landing of the month. • Data drives to be sent by registered post with tracking details provided to AFMA. • Explicit requirement that data drives must not be damaged or tampered with. • Removal of requirement for concession holder statements (to be addressed through compliance processes). Members emphasised the importance of EM delivering reliable discard data for quota and non-quota species. AFMA advised EM review capability is improving and AI-assisted analysis is a future priority.
--	--	--

		Industry-led collaboration with CSIRO on AI development for species identification was noted. Recommendations: 6. SEMAM noted and supported the proposed changes to the EM program. 7. SEMAM supported the draft EM directions, subject to amendments including: I. removing the fixed end date in the grandfather clause and instead allow legacy systems to remain until non-operational. II. Update Small Pelagic Fishery direction to mandate EM for dual method vessels (e.g. mid-water trawl and purse seine).	
6.	Proposed change to SPF SFR conditions Presenters: Mark Grubert	AFMA proposed extending the existing dolphin interaction condition (SFR Condition 34) to also apply to the western sub-area of the SPF, following the commencement of fishing activity in that area. Members supported consistent management across sub-areas. Written feedback from the Conservation member (not present for this agenda item) was also received and supported the proposal, noting the importance of consistency across fishing areas. A conflict of interest was declared by Industry member Simon Boag who is associated with the vessel operating in the western sub-area; this was managed by allowing participation in discussion but not the decision. Recommendation: 8. SEMAM supported the revised wording to expand SPF SFR Condition 34 to include the western sub-area of the fishery.	
6A.	Contract variation to SPF monitoring project	AFMA presented a request from the research provider to increase the SPF monitoring project budget to account for additional sampling requirements following the arrival of a new vessel. • Members agreed the increase in costs was reasonable, reflecting increased monitoring effort required. • It was noted that without additional funding, sampling effort across the fleet would be reduced, which was not supported. • SEMAM noted the proposal is subject to AFMA Research Committee approval and available funding.	

		Industry member Simon Boag declared a conflict of interest and this was managed by allowing participation in discussion but not the decision. Recommendation: 9. SEMAM supported the proposed budget increase (~\$63.9K, GST inclusive).
7.	Introduction of a size limit for striped trumpeter Presenter: Lianos Triantafillos	AFMA presented the proposal to introduce a 55 cm minimum size limit for striped trumpeter in the GHAT sector from 1 May 2026, to support cross-jurisdictional management and stock recovery efforts. Discussion focused on the depleted status of the stock and the need for coordinated management between Commonwealth and Tasmanian jurisdictions. Members noted that Commonwealth catches are relatively low and largely incidental, with recreational fishing identified as the primary source of fishing pressure. There was general support for improved alignment between jurisdictions, with Tasmania acknowledging the measure as a positive step toward co-management. Some members questioned the effectiveness of size limits alone, particularly given high post-release mortality for fish caught at depth, and highlighted the importance of considering discard mortality in management settings. Discussion also noted broader management gaps, including limited data on charter sector catches and challenges in managing fishing pressure consistently across sectors. SEMAM noted this measure and acknowledged its support for continued cooperation and information sharing between jurisdictions.
9.	Other Business	There were no other business items
Next Meeting/Meeting Close		Confirmed Dates for SEMAM: SEMAM 61: 13 th July (noting a potential scheduling conflict). The EO will explore alternative dates and provide the group with updated options. SEMAM 62: 29 Oct 1 SEMAM 63: Feb 9-10
Close of meeting		The Chair closed the meeting at 14.34 pm.

Attachment A – Adopted agenda

Start (Duration)	Item	Purpose	Presenter/s
9.30 (30 min)	1. Preliminaries		
	1.1. Welcome and apologies	For ACTION	Chair
	1.2. Declarations of interest	For ACTION	Chair
	1.3. Adoption of agenda	For ACTION	Chair
	1.4. Minutes from previous meetings	For NOTING	Chair
	1.5. Actions arising from previous meetings	For NOTING	AFMA
10:00 (15 min)	2. Manager's Update (taken as read)	For NOTING	Sally Weekes
10:15 (15 min)	3. Industry Update (taken as read)	For NOTING	Industry
10:30 (15 min)	Morning Tea		
10:45 (1 hr)	4. Chondrichthyans of Concern	For ADVICE	Michelle Henriksen
11:45 (1 hr)	5. Electronic monitoring (EM) program changes	For ADVICE	Tamre Sarhan
12:45 (30 min)	Lunch		
13:15 (30 min)	6. Proposed change to SPF SFR conditions 6A. Contract variation for SPF monitoring project	For Advice	Mark Grubert
13:45 (15 min)	7. Introduction of a size limit for striped trumpeter	For NOTING	Lianos Triantafillos
14:00 (15 min)	Review of action items	For ADVICE	Membership
14:15	End of Day		

Attachment B – Register of interests

Table 1. SEMAC meeting 60 member, invited participant and observer declarations of interest.

Name	MAC position / organisation	Declared interests	Relevant agenda item(s)
Mr Clayton Nelson	Chair	Chair Member of Seafood Industry Australia Independent consultant	
Ms Anissa Lawrence	Environment member	No pecuniary interest Director of TierraMar Ltd Independent consultant Undertakes contracts for a number of Conservation NGOs, government departments, non-government agencies and the private sector on a range of fishery related matters Conservation member on SharkRAG, SPFRAG, SEMAC, Spencer Gulf Prawn RSC and the South Australian Rock Lobster MAC Chair of Ocean Future Fund Inc.	
Daniel Hogan	Industry member	Managing director - Toberfish Pty Ltd Owner/Operator – FV Zeehaan CTS Trawl Licence & Quota Holder Non-Beneficiary Director – SETFIA Industry member for SERAG	
Mr Will Mure	Industry member	Sole Director of Mures Fishing P/L	

		Commonwealth fish receiver permit Tasmania fish processing licence Scalefish hook boat SFR, SEQ Quota Holding Permits, Auto longline fishing permit High Seas permit Blue eye trevalla SFRs, Ling SFRs, Ribaldo ITP Mixed species Individual Transferable Quotas (ITQs) and SFRs Member of various fishing related associations including Seafood Industry Australia (SIA), South East Trawl Fishing Industry Association (SETFIA), Southern Shark Industry Alliance (SSIA), Tasmanian Seafood Industry Council (TSIC) Industry member on SERAG	
Dr Paul McShane	Scientific member	Chair of SERAG and a member of SEMAC and SESSFRAG. No pecuniary interest in the SESSF. Principal of Global Marine Resource Management Pty Ltd. Adjunct Professor (Fisheries and Aquaculture) College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University.	
Dr Sarah Jennings	Economic member	Economics member on SESSFRAG. Member of AFMA Economics Working Group. Independent economics consultant. Member on SA MSFMAC	

		No pecuniary or other interest in the SESSF	
Ms Danielle Ghosn	Recreational member	Recreational member of SEMAC & SPFRAG Independent Consultant and Scientific Officer of the NSW Game Fish Tournament Monitoring Program.	
Mr Simon Boag	Industry member	Industry member on SERAG. Executive Officers to SETFIA, SSIA, SPFIA, Commonwealth Scallop and Eastern zone Rock lobster. SETFIA and SSIA receives funding from AFMA to complete projects under co-management agreements. Undertakes contracts as an independent consultant. Member of the investment committee for the Australian fisheries investment trust SSIA is engaged by AFMA to collect shark industry biological data. Atlantis undertakes work to assist shared marine space developers (wind, oil etc) understand the fishing industry. Atlantis undertakes other work within the fishing industry from time to time including on MSC assessments. SETFIA is the PI on the orange roughy east AOS.	Agenda 4, 5, 6, 6A.

		SETFIA is engaged by participants within the W ORS research fishery to collect biological samples. SETFIA is engaged by AFMA under co-management to undertake a variety of tasks including snapper management, ling management, future industry data collection and consultation. Investment committee member of a large fund that owns fishing rights including SBT, ling and flathead.	
Mr Kyriakos Toumazos	Industry member	Chief Executive Officer (South Australian Northern Zone Rock Lobster Fishermen's Association Inc.); Director of Southern Sea Eagles Pty Ltd; Director of Southern Fisheries Pty Ltd; Director Health Balance Pharmacies Pty Ltd; Member South Australian Boating Facility Board; Member of Shark Resource Assessment Group (AFMA); Member of South East Management Advisory Committee; Member of AMSA Regional Safety Committee; Director Southern Shark Industry Alliance; Director PACK Investments Pty Ltd; Director Cruickshank's Corner Developments Pty Ltd;	Agenda 6, 6A

OFFICIAL

		Director Cruickshank's Corner Commercial Pty Ltd; Director Seafood Industry Australia;	
Mr Jim Raptis	GAB Industry member	Operates two boats in the GABT Fishery and owns four GABT SFRs as well as quota in the SESSF President of GABIA.	

AFMA

Ms Anna Willock	AFMA Deputy Chief Executive Officer.	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise	
Ms Sally Weekes	AFMA Demersal and Midwater Senior Manager– AFMA SEMAC member –	No interest pecuniary or otherwise.	
Ms Rebecca Jol	AFMA Senior Management Officer, Demersal and Midwater Fisheries. Executive Officer SEMAC	No interest pecuniary or otherwise.	
Dr Mark Grubert	AFMA Manager SPF, Scallop and Squid.	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Mr Lianos Triantafillos	AFMA Manager-Gillnet, Hook and Trap (GHAT) and High Seas (SPRFMO) Fisheries,	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Ms Yvette Lamont	AFMA Senior Management Officer SPF, Scallop and Squid.	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	

OFFICIAL

Ms Michelle Henriksen	AFMA Senior Management Officer Gillnet, Hook and Trap (GHAT)	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Mr Daniel Corrie	AFMA, Senior Manager - Climate Adaptation and Strategic Reform	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Ms Jennifer Power-Geary	AFMA Senior Management Officer, Demersal and Midwater Fisheries. Executive Officer SESSFRAG	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Ms Audrey Kent	AFMA, Senior Management Officer. Executive Officer (EO) of SERAG.	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Ms Natalie Couchman	AFMA, Manager. Climate Adaptation and Strategic Reform	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Mr Will Bowman	AFMA, Manager South East Trawl & Great Australian Bight Trawl Manager	No interest, pecuniary or otherwise.	
Invited Participants			
Mr Keith Rowling	GABIA	Owner – Bluefin Consulting Associate – NMAC(SA) Pty Ltd Executive support of Great Australian Bight Industry Association (GABIA) Executive officer of Charter Boat Association of South Australia (CBASA)	Agenda 6, 6A

OFFICIAL

		Executive officer of Southern Fishermen's Association (SFA) Executive support of Saint Vincent Gulf Prawn Boat Owner's Association (SCGPBOA) Executive support of South Australian Professional Fishers Association (SAPFA) Marine Scalefish Fishery Management Advisory Committee (MSFMAC) – CBASA member Allocation Review Committee – Commercial fishing representative <i>SERAG - Industry member</i> <i>Structural Adjustment Assessment Panel (SAAP) - Industry member</i>	
Observers			
Ms Rosie Katunar	Fisheries Manager Department of Natural Resources and Environment Tasmania	No pecuniary interest in the fishery	
Mr Jakob Fries	DNRE Tas	No pecuniary interest in the fishery	

Attachment C: Summary of Actions and Recommendations

Agenda Item	No.	Action	Agency/Person Responsible	Timeframe
4.	1.	AFMA to re-examine mapping data inputs, remove fishing effort data, circulate an updated map to SEMAC prior to TSSC submission.	AFMA	Prior to next meeting
	2.	Re-investigate and validate CSIRO shape files used in mapping species spatial distribution.	AFMA	Prior to next meeting
		Recommendation		
4.	1.	Whitefin swellshark – SEMAC does not support threatened listing (particularly Critically Endangered) for whitefin swellshark based on current evidence.		
	2.	Australian Longnose skate – SEMAC recommended that AFMA implements a no-take approach until species-specific information is available and continues to work with industry to trial bycatch reduction devices (BRDs/TEDs).		
	3.	Greeneye spurdog – SEMAC recommended that AFMA supports the current management approach, noting species identification issues and that the species is already managed under the Upper Slope Dogfish Strategy.		
	4.	Grey skate – SEMAC recommended that AFMA implements a no-take approach and consults with industry on potential bycatch excluder device options.		
	5.	Eastern angelshark – SEMAC recommended that AFMA supports the proposed management approach.		
5.	6.	SEMAC noted and supported the proposed changes to the EM program.		
	7.	Supported the draft EM directions, subject to the following amendments: I. Remove the fixed end date in the grandfather clause and instead allow legacy systems to remain until non-operational. II. Update Small Pelagic Fishery direction to cover dual method vessels (e.g. mid-water trawl and purse seine).		
6.	8.	SEMAC supported the revised wording to expand SPF SFR Condition 34 to include the western sub-area of the fishery.		
6A	9.	SEMAC supported the proposed budget increase (~\$63.9K, GST inclusive).		

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL